Decision No.	63429	ORIGINA

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

UNITED STATES BORAX & CHEMICAL CORPORATION, a corporation,

Complainant,

vs.

Case No. 7064 (Filed February 16, 1961)

PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY. a corporation,

Defendant.

Robert L. Starkey, for United States Borax &

Chemical Corporation, complainant.

F. T. Searls, John C. Morrissey and Malcolm A.

MacKillop, for Pacific Gas and Electric Company, defendant.

Dion R. Holm, Thomas M. O'Connor and Robert Laughead, for City and County of San Francisco; Donald J. Carman and Richard Edsall, by Richard Edsall, for California Electric Power Company; and William W. Eyers, for California Manufacturers Association; interested parties.

## OPINION

## Nature of Proceeding

The issues raised in this proceeding are the same as those raised in American Cement Corporation v. Pacific Gas and Electric Company (Case No. 7036), this day decided; the respective complainants in Cases Nos. 7036, 7038 and 7064 have filed joint briefs. For the reasons stated in today's decision in Case No. 7035, defendant's motion to dismiss the complaint herein should be granted.

## Findings

The Commission has considered the evidence and the arguments of the parties. We find as follows:

- 5. Complainant has elected to pay the installation cost of \$76,087 over a period of time rather than by a lump sum payment.
- 6. The extension to serve complainant was completed, and actual initial gas deliveries were made, prior to September 15, 1959, the issue date of Decision No. 59011, and prior to April 20, 1960, the effective date of defendant's new Rule 15.
- 7. The evidence does not sustain a finding of unlawful discrimination against complainant by defendant.
- 8. Defendant's motion to dismiss should be granted and the relief sought by complainant should be denied.

## $\underline{O} \times \underline{D} \times \underline{R}$

Public hearing having been held on the above-entitled complaint, the matter having been duly submitted, and the Commission being fully advised,

IT IS ORDERED that the relief sought by complainant is hereby denied and that this complaint is hereby dismissed.

The effective date of this order shall be twenty days after the date hereof.

	Dated at	San Francisco	, California, this	20 st
day of	HARCH !	, 1962	La For	
			The The the	To age
		(	J X X	President
			ceta Dutchell	
			4 44	101
			(Learge L. Wrov	
			Treduce B. H.	What
		·		
			Comm	issioners