MW

ORIGINAL

Decision No. 63551

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Application of the County of Los Angeles for a public grade crossing at Drayton Street (formerly "J" Street) across the Southern Pacific Railroad in Saugus, County of Los Angeles.

Application No. 43654

## ORDER

County of Los Angeles is hereby authorized to reconstruct Drayton Street at grade across Southern Pacific Company's El Paso Route near the community of Saugus, Los Angeles County, at the location described in the application, identified as Crossing No. B-450.51.

Construction and maintenance expenses shall be borne in accordance with an agreement to be entered into between the parties, and copy of said executed agreement shall be filed with the Commission prior to commencement of reconstruction. Width of crossing shall be not less than 30 feet and grades of approach not greater than three percent. Construction shall be equal or superior to Standard No. 2 of General Order No. 72. Protection shall be by two Standard No. 8 flashing light signals (General Order No. 75-B).

Applicant and Southern Pacific Company have agreed that the present location is not the most desirable and that the crossing should in the near future be relocated southerly. Protection costs for any relocation of crossing signals from Crossing No. B-450.51 to such contemplated new location shall be provided for in above agreement.

Within thirty days after completion, pursuant to this order, applicant shall so advise the Commission in writing. This authorization shall expire if not exercised within one year unless time be extended or if above conditions are not complied with. Authorization may be revoked or modified if public convenience, necessity, or safety so require.

The effective date of this order shall be twenty days after the date hereof.

Dated at \_\_\_\_\_\_\_, California, this \_\_\_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_\_APRIL ( \_\_\_\_\_\_\_, 1962.

Leorge II. Trover

Tradeice & Holofurge

Commissioners

President

Commissioner Everett C. McKeage, being necessarily absent, did not participate in the disposition of this proceeding.