SD

ORIGINAL

Decision No. 63916

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Investigation into the safety, use, and protection of the grade crossing of Brookside Drive and the tracks of The Atchison, Topeka and Santa Fe Railway Company in the City of Richmond, Crossing No. 2-1187.2.

Case No. 7292 (Filed March 13, 1962)

James P. C'Drain, for the City of Richmond, respondent.

Hugh N. Orr and James K. Gibson, for the Commission staff.

## OPINION

This Commission, upon its own motion, ordered an investigation into the safety, maintenance, operation, use, and protection of the grade crossing of Brookside Drive and The Atchison, Topeka and Santa Fe Railway Company tracks in the City of Richmond for the following purposes:

- 1. To determine whether or not public safety and health require the installation and maintenance of additional or improved protection.
- 2. To determine whether or not public safety and health require the relocation, widening, or other alteration of the crossing.
- 3. To prescribe the terms on which any such installation and maintenance of protection, or relocation, widening, or other alteration shall be done, and to make such apportionment of costs among respondents as may appear just and reasonable.
- 4. To enter any other order that may be appropriate in the lawful exercise of the Commission's jurisdiction.

A copy of the order instituting investigation was duly served upon the respondents City of Richmond and The Atchison, Topeka and Santa Fe Railway who were also advised of the hearing thereon. Public hearing was held in San Francisco on May 17, 1962, before Examiner Rowe.

The staff introduced evidence showing that public safety and health required the installation of two No. 8 flashing light signals as described in the Commission's General Order No. 75-B. This recommendation was made because of train and vehicular use of the crossing, because the grade crossing of Southern Pacific Company less than one fifth of a mile to the west is provided with automatic protection, and because the crossing involved here is frequently used by heavy trucks. Many of such units are tank trailers transporting flammables. The estimated cost of this protection is stated as \$11,235. The accident record at the crossing shows two deaths in 1947 and one in 1956 as well as one personal injury in 1942 and another in 1947. The speed of both passenger and freight trains at this point is 45 miles per hour.

The City Attorney, in his appearance, stated that the City Council had not then agreed with the railroad to bear the total cost equally with it. However, on June 4, 1962, the City Council authorized payment of one half of the cost of acquiring and constructing two standard No. 8 flashing light signals at this grade crossing.

The Railway Company made no appearance at the hearing but at the request of the examiner sent a letter to him as follows:

"In view of the foregoing, we will not be present at the hearing scheduled for May 17, 1962. Should the city desire to put on a case to the effect that the upgrading of protection has been necessitated by increased rail rather than motor vehicle traffic and that a different formula should be applied, it is our intention to introduce evidence to the contrary.

"Thank you for your consideration and cooperation.

Very truly yours,

/s/ Mirko A. Milicevich

Mirko A. Milicevich"

The evidence is uncontradicted and convincing and the Commission finds that the use of this crossing, both by the public

Topeka and Santa Fe Railway Company shall give the Commission written notice of compliance with the terms of this order.

4. The investigation in Case No. 7292 is hereby discontinued.

The effective date of this order shall be twenty days
after the date hereof.

after the	date hereof.		,
	Dated at	San Francisco	_, California, this/0 ==
day of _	July	, 1962.	
			Exorge F. Trover President  The State of the
			Frederick B. Holever
			Commissioners