Decision No. 65686


BEFORE THE RUBLIC UTILIIIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Mattex of the Investigation into the rates, rules, regulations, charges, allowances and practices Of all comon carriers, highway carriers, and city carriers, relating to the transportation of properiy it the City and county of

Case No. 544I
(Patition for San Francisco, and the Countics of Alameda, Contra Costa, Lake, Marin, Menciocino, Konterey, Napa, San Benito, San Mateo, Santa Clara, Sonta Criz, Solano and Sonoma.

In the Matter of the Application of DEITVERY SERVICE COMPANY for authority to establish certain increased rates applicable to Wholesale Service between points

Application No. 45075 within the East Eay Drayage Area and points in Alameda, Contra Costa and Solano Counties, and to Wholesale and Retail Service between points within the East Bay Drayage Area and El Cerrito.

> Fhilip A. Wintex, for Delivery Service Company, petitioner anc appiscant.
> R. D. ToII, A. D. Poe and J. X. Quinerall, for Calitomaia Irucking Association, Inc., interested party.
> E. A. Mohx and C. I. Grigss, for the Comission staxt.

By the above-entitled petition and application, filed
January 3, 1963, Delivery Service Company seeks increases of approxfmately five percent in the retail and wholesale parcel delivery
rates named in its common carricr tariffs and in the wholesale parcel delivery rates named in Item No. 990 of City Carriers' Tariff No. 2A Highway Carriers' Tariff No. 1-A.

Public hearing was held at San Francisco on February 28, 2963, before Examiner Turpen. No one protested the granting of the petition or of the application.

The present minimun rates in Item No. 990 of the minimum zate tariff became effective July 14, 1962, and applicant's present comon carricr rates became effective June 16, 1962. Both of these increases were pursuant to Decision No. 63754, dated May 28, 1962, in Casc No. 544I, Petitior No. 56, and Application No. 44II2. In prior proceedings the Comission has foum that the minimum rotes named in said Item No. 990 should be predicated upon the operations of Delivery Service Company. The present record shows that this condition has not changed.

The zecord shows that since the effective date of the present rates, the costs of performing the transportation services to which said rates are applicable have increased substantially, including, but not limited to, an increase in labor costs amounting to 64 cents per day per dxiver. Operating statements show that during the period January 1, 1962 to November 3, 1962, applicant bad revenues of $\$ 399,748$, and expenses of $\$ 402,068$, resulting in a net operating loss of $\$ 2,320$. Another statement covering the period from June 16, 1962 to November 3, 1962, expanded to reflect current costs and increased revenues under the increased rates bere proposed shows the following results:

Operating Revenues
Operating Expenses
Net Profit
Operating Ratio:
Before Taxes
After Taxes
\$194,255
185,376
§ 8,879
95.43\%
97.05\%

Upon consideration of all the facts and circumstances, we find that the proposed increases are justified. The minimum rates in Item No. 990 were originally placed in City Carriers' Tariff No. 2-A - Highway Carriers' Tariff No. 1-A to reflect the service provided by Delivery Service Company. We find that Delivery Service Company is the rate-making carrier in the parcel delivery field for services for which rates are proolded in Item No. 990. The minimu rates in this item should be adjusted to conform to the rates pubIished by appiicant. We find that the minimum rates which are prescribed in the order herein are the just, reasonable and nondiscriminatory minimum rates for the transportation services involved.

## 요료

## IT IS ORDERED that:

1. Delivery Scrvice Company is hereby authorized to establish the increased zates set forth in Application No. 45075.
2. City Carriers' Tariff No. 2-A - Highway Carriers' Tariff No. I-A (Appendix A of Decision No. 41362, as amended) is hexeby further amended by incorporating therein, to become effective September I, 1963, Thixteenth Revised Page 40, which page is attached hereto and by this reference made a part hereof.
C. 5441 (Tet 57), A. 45075 22
3. Tariff publications required or authorized to be made by common carriers as a result of the order herein may be made effective not earlier than the tenth day after the effective date of this order, on not less than ten days' notice to the Commission and to the public; such tariff publications as are required shall be made effective not later than September 1 , 1963; and as to tariff publications which are authorized but not required, the authority herein granted shall expire unless exercised within sixty days after the effective date hereof.
4. In all other respects, the aforementioned Decision No. 43162, as amended, shall remain in full force and effect.

The effective date of this order shall be twenty days after the date hereof.

Dated at Sain Francisco , California, this ya y of $\qquad$ , 1963.
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