Decision No. 65717

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

ID the Matter of the application of ANDERSON CARTAGE, BEKINS WAREHOUSING CORP., BOONE WAREHOUSES, INC., BARTOLA MANI dba DYE'S TRANSFER AND STORAGE, FORT SUTTER WAREHOUSE CO., L. E. GRAINGER dba L. E. GRAINGER WAREHOUSE CO., HASLETT WAREHOUSE COMPANY, W. E. HIBBITT and D. MACAULAY dba LAWRENCE WAREHOUSE & DISTRIBUTING CO., LAWRENCE WAREHOUSE COMPANY, LYON VAN & STORAGE CO., MINGLE TRANSPORTATION & WHSE. CO., MODESTO TERMINALS, OWL TRANSFER CO., PACIFIC STORAGE COMPANY, SMISER WAREHOUSE, and WESTERN WAREHOUSE COMPANY, for an increase in rates.

Application No. 45266 (Filed March 22, 1963)

Vaughan, Paul and Lyons by John G. Lyons, and Jack L. Dawson, for applicants. Fred Hughes, John F. Specht and E. C. Crawford, for the Commission's staff.

OPINION

Sixteen warehouse operators seek authority to increase certain of their rates and charges. The warehouses are located in the central valley area from Sacramento to Bakersfield.

Public hearing was held before Examiner Turpen on June 6, 1963, at Sacramento. Evidence on behalf of applicants was presented by the tariff publishing agent and by a certified public accountant. Managers of three of the applicant warehouses also testified. Members of the Commission's staff assisted in developing the record.

The record shows that applicants mailed over 800 notices of the sought increase and hearing to their storers. No one appeared in opposition to the application.

Applicants seek authority to increase the rates and charges named in California Warehouse Tariff Bureau Tariff No. 18-A,

Cal. P.U.C. No. 186 of Jack L. Dawson, Agent, generally in the following respects: 1 to increase handling charges by 40 percent, to increase the minimum storage and handling charges from 30 to 60 cents per lot per month storage and from 60 cents to \$1.20 per lot handling, to increase the withdrawal charge from 60 to 70 cents, to increase special labor charges from \$4.20 to \$5.00 per man per hour. Authority is also sought to cancel several inactive rates and tariff provisions. Seven of the applicants are at present parties to Warehouse Taxiff No. 30. Authority is sought to cancel their participation in Taxiff No. 30 and add them to Taxiff No. 18-A. This change would result in comparable increases for these applicants.

The record shows that for most of the applicants the present rates have been in effect since August 15, 1960, and for the other applicants since October 21, 1957. Since then, according to applicants, costs incurred in operating the warehouses have increased not only by way of increased labor costs, taxes and rents, but also in higher prices for materials, services and supplies. Assertedly, the present rates do not yield sufficient revenues to permit applicants to operate at a profit and the sought increases are necessary to enable them to render adequate service to the public.

The manager of the California Warehouse Association explained a study made of applicants' operating results for the year 1961 covering the warehouse services involved. He testified that seven of the warehouses do about 97 percent of the business and the remaining warehouses operate mainly in other fields. These figures were expanded to reflect current expenses and revenues under the

The sought increases are set forth in detail in Exhibit A, attached to the application.

sought rates. The results are summarized in the tables below:

OPERATING RESULTS FOR 1961

	Seven Largest Warchouses	Other Warehouses	Total All Warehouses
Revenues Expenses Profit or (loss)	\$662,571 786,495 (\$123,924)	\$ 16,638 17,564 (\$ 926)	\$679,209 804,059 (\$124,850)
Operating Ratio	118.7%	105.6%	118.4%
CT	ADJUSTED OPERATING JRRENT COSTS AND SO		
Revenues Expenses Profit or (loss)	\$717,695 806,344 (\$ 88,649)	\$ 19,139 18,792 \$ 347	\$736,834 825,135 (\$ 88,302)
Operating Ratio	112.4%	98.2%	112.0%

The study also shows that for the year 1961, all of the warehouses showed a loss in these operations. Under the adjusted results, about half of the warehouses will show a small profit. The most favorable operating ratio indicated will amount to 93.7 percent.

The witness explained that the character of warehouse operations has changed over the past ten years. He said that formerly most storage was in large lots, but has gradually fallen off so that now there is very little volume storage, and business is largely in the nature of a distribution service of small lots. An analysis of revenues and expenses showed the biggest loss in handling. Therefore, he said, the increases sought here relate mostly to the handling services. Another study showed that the cost of special labor amounts to more than the \$5.00 per hour sought herein. However, the witness caid, applicants feel that they cannot ask for more than that amount at the present time.

A. 45266 CH

- 3. The authority herein granted is subject to the express condition that applicants will never urge before this Commission in any proceeding under Section 734 of the Public Utilities Code, or in any other proceeding, that the opinion and order herein constitute a finding of fact of the reasonableness of any particular rate or charge, and that the filing of rates and charges pursuant to the authority herein granted will be construed as consent to this condition.
- 4. The authority herein granted shall expire unless exercised within sixty days after the effective date of this order.

This order shall become effective ten days after the date hereof.

Dated at	San Francisco	, California, this
16 th day of	<u>ли</u> ч , 1963.	
•	Adlenn	a la Bennes
		President Helseist
	Chin	- The
	Leong	I. Theres
	Trule	ich B. Hobblegg
		Commissioners