NB * *

66126

ORIGINAL

Decision No.

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Investigation on the Commission's own motion into the rates, tolls, rules, charges, operations, practices, contracts, service and facilities of THE PACIFIC TELEPHONE AND TELEGRAPH COMPANY.)	Case No. 7409
Application of THE PACIFIC TELEPHONE AND TELEGRAPH COMPANY to consolidate certain telephone exchanges in Placer County, etc.	}	Application No. 44201
Investigation on the Commission's own motion of telephone rates in Placer County, etc.)	Case No. 7300
Application of THE PACIFIC TELEPHONE AND TELEGRAPH COMPANY to establish extended service between Aptos and Watsonville Exchanges, etc.) } }	Application No. 44262
Application of THE PACIFIC TELEPHONE AND TELEGRAPH COMPANY to establish extended service between Fort Bragg and Mendocino Exchanges, etc.	}	Application No. 44289
Application of THE PACIFIC TELEPHONE AND TELEGRAPH COMPANY to establish extended service between Napa and Yountville Exchanges, etc.)	Application No. 44363
Application of THE PACIFIC TELEPHONE AND TELEGRAPH COMPANY to establish extended service between Morro Bay and Cayucos Exchanges, etc.)	Application No. 44383
Application of THE PACIFIC TELEPHONE AND TELEGRAPH COMPANY to establish extended service between certain exchanges in Humboldt County, etc.)	Application No. 44899

ORDER RESCINDING PORTION OF ORDER OF MARCH 19, 1963

The California Farm Bureau Federation, at the hearing on March 27, 1963, moved that the Commission reconsider and rescind its order of March 19, 1963, granting rehearing of Decision No. 64697 in Application No. 44201 and Case No. 7300, reopening Applications

Nos. 44262, 44289, 44363 and 44383 for further hearing and consolidating said matters and Application No. 44899 for hearing with Case No. 7409. Further, the California Farm Bureau Federation moved the Commission for an early decision on each of the affected reopened applications upon the record theretofore made in said matters at the earliest practicable date.

The motion of the Farm Bureau was supported by the cities of Auburn and Rocklin and by a number of other parties and organizations located within areas embraced within the proposed extended service areas.

Also, at the hearing on March 27, 1963, there was filed with the Commission a resolution adopted by the Board of Supervisors of Santa Cruz County urging that the Commission determine immediately and separately Application No. 44262 and that the decision of the Commission with respect to said Application No. 44262 be made subject to whatever final decision is reached by the Commission respecting rates. In addition there was filed a petition requesting separate and immediate action on Application No. 44262 endorsed by a number of organizations and residents of the Watsonville-Aptos area. At the hearing of March 27 the presiding Commissioner announced that the above documents would be considered as a petition for rehearing of the order of consolidation of March 19, 1963.

Upon consideration of the motion and petition for rehearing of the order of March 19, 1963, the Commission finds that its order of March 19, 1963 in the above-entitled matters, except as to granting rehearing of Decision No. 64697, should be set aside and rescinded. Good cause appearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the portion of the Commission's order of March 19, 1963 reopening Applications Nos. 44262, 44239, 44363 and 44383 for further hearing and consolidating said matters and Case No. 7300, Application No. 44201 and Application No. 44899 for hearing with Case No. 7409 hereby is set aside and rescinded.

The Secretary of the Commission is directed to cause copies of this order to be mailed forthwith to all appearances and parties.

Dated at San Francisco, California, this _____ day of October, 1963.

Commissioners

I deisent. Horge I. Thours I dissent.

Frederick B. Hololuff, Commissioner.

TABLE 1 Page 1 of 2

RATE COMPARISONS

:		: Business		Residence :			
: Location :	Rate	:1-Party	:2-Party	1-Party	2-Party:	4-Party:	
Auburn	Present Proposed A.44201 A.45726	\$ 7.75	\$ 6.10	\$4.65	\$3.60	\$3.00	
		8.30 9.00	6.50 7.50	4.90 5.25	3.75 4.25	3.10 3.75	
Loomis	Present Proposed	6.50	5.10	4.15	3.35	2.75	
	A. 44201 A. 45726	9.30 9.50	7.50 8.00	5.40 5.50	4.25 4.50	3.60 4.00	
Watsonville	Present Proposed	9.25	7.10	4.65	3.60	3.00	
	A.44262 A.45726	9.50 10.25	7.20 8.25	4.80 5.50	3.60 4.40	3.00 3.85	
_	Present Proposed	9.65	7.35	4.80	3.60	3.00	
	A. 44262 A. 45726	10.50 12.25	8.25 10.00	5.30 6.15	4.10 4.90	3.50 4.25	
Fort Bragg	Present	7.00	5.60	4.40	3.60	3.00	
	Proposed A.44262 A.45726	7.25 9.00	5.75 7.50	4.55 5.25	3.60 4.25	3.00 3.75	
•	Present Proposed	6.50	5.10	4.15	3.35	2.75	
	A.44289 A.45726	7.30 9.30	5.75 7.80	4.60 5.40	3.75 4.40	3.05 3.90	
Napa	Present Proposed	9.25	7.10	4.65	3.60	3.00	
	A.44363 A.45726	9.45 10.25	7.25 8.25	4.65 5.50	3.60 4.40	3.00 3.85	

TABLE 1 Page 2 of 2

RATE COMPARISONS

•	•		iness		esidence	
:Location_	: Rate	:1-Part	y:2-Party	y:1-Party	7:2-Party	:4-Party:
Calistoga	Present Proposed A.44363 A.45726	\$ 7.00	\$ 5.60	\$4.40	\$3.60	\$3.00
		7.50 9.30	6.00 7.80	4.60 5.40	3.75 4.40	3.15 3.90
Propo A.4	Present Proposed	7.00	5.60	4.40	3.60	3.00
	A. 44383 A. 45726	7.00 9.00	5.60 7.50	4.40 5.25	3.60 4.25	3.00 3.75
	· •	10.50	8.10	4.85	3.75	3.10
	A.44899 A.45726	10.80 12.05	8.30 9.80	4.95 6.05	3.80 4.80	3.10 4.15
		10.50	8.10	4.85	3.75	3.10
	A.44899 A.45726	11.30 11.75	8.80 9.50	5.40 5.90	4.15 4.65	3.45 4.00
Merced Present Proposed A.45397 A.45726	9.25	7.10	4.65	3.60	3.00	
	A.45397 A.45726	9.95 10.25	7.60 8.25	5.00 5.50	3.85 4.40	3.15 3.85
Propose A.457	Present	7.00	5.60	4.40	3.60	3.00
	A.45702 A.45726	7.75 9.00	6.10 7.50	4.80 5.25	3.85 4.25	3.15 3.75
Brawley	Present Proposed A.45703 A.45726	7.00	5.60	4.40	3.60	3.00
		12.25 11.75	9.80 9.75	6.00 6.25	4.95 5.15	4.20 4.60