ORIGINAL

Decision No. ___66166___

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Investigation)
on the Commission's own motion into)
the reasonableness of WATER MAIN)
EXTENSION RULES presently effective)   Case No. 5501
for water utilities throughout the)
State, and the development of such)
revised extension rule as appears)
reasonable.)

In the Matter of the Application of)
SUBURBAN WATER SYSTEMS, a corpora-)
tion, for authority to revise its ')   Application No. 40579
rules applicable to the new exten-)   Amended
sion of distribution facilities to)
new subdivisions.)

OPINION AND ORDER

By Decision No. 64536, dated November 8, 1962, this

Commission prescribed a revised uniform main extension rule and

contract forms to be filed by all water utilities except those

which supply water primarily for irrigation uses. Each utility

affected was ordered to file the revised rule and contract forms

by February 26, 1963.

Based upon a review of the records in these proceedings,

the Commission finds that:

1. Certain utilities are delinquent in complying with

ordering paragraph 1 of Decision No.64536.

2. It is in the public interest that the revised main

extension rule and contract forms prescribed by that decision be

placed in effect for each delinquent utility as soon as is

practicable.

The Commission concludes that the Commission's Secretary should be empowered to effect the filing of the prescribed main extension rule and contract forms for each delinquent utility.

IT IS ORDERED that the Secretary of this Commission is authorized and directed (a) to cancel the filed water main extension rule and contract forms of any utility which has not complied with Decision No. 64536, and (b) to file in the tariffs of each such utility, the main extension rule and contract forms prescribed by that decision.

The effective date of this order shall be the date hereof.

Dated at _____San Francisco_____, California, this ___22___ day of ___October___, 1963.

_____ President

_____

_____

_____ Commissioners

Commissioner William M. Bennett, being necessarily absent, did not participate in the disposition of this proceeding.