BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OE THE STATE OF CALTFORNIA

RICHARD ANDREW KRILE,

VS.
PACIFIC TELEPHONE \& TELEGRAFE COMPANY, a corporation,

Defendant.

Case No. 7665
(Filed July 17, 1963)

> William J. Gintjee, for complainant.
> James $F$. Kirkham, for defendant.

## OPINIQQ

Complainant sceks restoration of telephone service at 37 States Street, Apartment 4, San Francisco, California. Interim restoration was ordered pending furcher order. (Decision No. 65734.)

Defendant's answer alleges that on or about July 10, 1963, it had reasomable cause to believe that sexvice to complainant under number MArket 6-2977 was being or was to be used as an instrmentality cirectly or indirectly to violate or aid and abet violation of law, and thereforc defendant was required to disconnect service pursuant to the decision in Re Telephone Disconnection, 47 Cal. P.U.C. 853.

The matter was heard and submitted before Examiner Rowe at San Francisco on October 24, 1963.

The parties stipulated that defendant acted upon reasonable cause. Complainant testified that he has great need for telephone service, and that he did not and will not use the telephone for any unlawful purpose. There was no testimony from any law enforcement agency.

We find that defendant's action was based upon reasonable cause, and the evidence fails to show that the telephone was used for any illegal purpose. The Commission concludes that complainant is entitled to service.

## ORE ER

IT IS ORDERED that Decision No. 65734, temporarily restoring service to complainant, is made permanent, subject to defendant's tariff provisions and existing applicable law.

The effective date of this order shall be twenty days after the date hereof.

$$
\text { Dated at } \ldots \text { San Francisco } \quad \text { California, this }
$$

$\qquad$ day of $\qquad$ , 1963.


