Decision No.

SKIGMAL

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Application of LESSER WATER COMPANY for authority to issue stock; request for ex parte.

Application No. 44978

Application of LESSER WATER COMPANY to)
extend service to contiguous territory, to expand water system,
authority to issue stock; request for
ex parte.

Application No. 44989

In the Matter of the Application of VILLAGE WATER COMPANY for an order granting a certificate of public convenience and necessity to construct and extend its plant and system to render water service to Running Springs Ranch, Conejo Valley, Ventura County.

Application No. 45146

In the Matter of the Application of VILLAGE WATER COMPANY for an order granting to it a certificate of public convenience and necessity to construct) or extend its plant and system for the rendering of public utility water service, for an order granting a certificate declaring that public convenience and necessity require the exercise of rights and privileges obtained under a franchise from the County of Ventura and establishing water rates in the territory for which certificates of public convenience and necessity are issued.

Application No. 45225

In the Matter of the Application of )
LESSER WATER COMPANY for authority to )
extend its water system in the Newbury)
Park area of Ventura County, California, in the vicinity of North
Potrero Road and Borchard Road and in )
various adjoining or neighboring areas,
for a certificate of public convenience and necessity therefor, and for )
authority to issue stock.

Application No. 45421

## SUPPLEMENTAL ORDER

In Application No. 45146 and in Application No. 45225, Village Water Company requested authority to serve additional areas, and requested that the Commission issue its order declaring that the

customer limitation imposed by Decision No. 62583 remain in full force and effect and not be changed by such order. Decision No. 66230, dated October 29, 1963, in these proceedings, concluded that "The customer limitation imposed by Decision No. 62583 should be continued." Ordering paragraph 8 of Decision No. 66230, however, imposed a customer limitation more restrictive than the limitation of Decision No. 62583.

The Commission finds that the more restrictive customer limitation is not necessary and concludes that the requirements of ordering paragraph 8 of Decision No. 66230 should be replaced by the requirements of ordering paragraph 1.(b) of Decision No. 62583. Accordingly,

## IT IS ORDERED that:

1. Ordering paragraph 8 of Decision No. 66230, dated October 29, 1963, is revised to read as follows:

Village Water Company, when the number of its customers reaches 1,850, or when its water supplies are not sufficient to meet the peak-hour and peak-day demands of the equivalent of 1,850 customers with an average usage of 2,000 cubic feet per month shall develop sufficient additional and adequate sources of potable water supply and storage to meet foreseeable additional demands, and shall submit to the Commission in writing a detailed report of such development together with the costs involved.

2. In all other respects, Decision No. 66230 shall remain in full force and effect.

The effective date of this order shall be the date hereof.

| ,   |     | Dated | at | San Francisco |       | California, | this |
|-----|-----|-------|----|---------------|-------|-------------|------|
| 16h | day |       |    | JANUARY.      | 1964. | ,           |      |

Fresident

Consider S. Holding

Consider S. Holding

Consider S. Consider S. Holding

Consider S. Holding

Consider S. Holding

Commissioner William M. Bennett, being necessarily absent, did not participate in the disposition of this proceeding.