ORIGINAL

Decision No. 66708

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Application of)
GARDEN WATER CORPORATION, a corporation, for a certificate of public convenience and necessity authorizing)
Applicant to furnish water service to)
Tracts 2394 and 2427 in the vicinity of Bakersfield, Kern County, California.

Application No. 43161 (Filed February 16, 1961; Amendment filed June 16, 1961)

In the Matter of the Application of GARDEN WATER CORPORATION, a corporation, for authority to increase water rates in the vicinity of Bakersfield, Kern County, California.

Application No. 43552 (Filed June 27, 1961)

Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher, by Raymond L. Curran, for applicant.

Edmund J. Texeira, for the Commission staff.

<u>OPINION</u>

On May 14, 1963, these applications were reopened for further hearing for the following purposes:

- 1. To inquire into the extent of compliance or noncompliance by applicant with the provisions of the orders in these proceedings, and into the reasons for any noncompliance therewith.
- To determine whether or not the rates being charged for water service by applicant should be reduced or otherwise modified.
- 3. To enter any order or orders that may be appropriate.

Public hearings were held before Examiner Coffey in San Francisco on October 8, 1963. The matters were submitted on December 2, 1963, upon the receipt of late-filed Exhibit 102.

Staff field investigations disclosed that applicant had, in general, not been prompt in effecting compliance and that as of October 2, 1963 it had not complied with the following ordering paragraphs of Decision No. 63016, dated January 9, 1962:

Applicant's president testified that an application for a water supply permit was filed with the State Department of Public Health in Fresno on October 3, 1963, and that the need for showing of dedication no longer exists inasmuch as all utility property is now located on property which the utility owns or is in public streets.

Applicant's president testified that the reason plant facilities were not installed as directed was the lack of funds, that applicant has only recently been able to borrow the necessary funds, and that the delay in applying for the water supply permit resulted from difficulty in obtaining necessary title to lands from subdividers.

We find that by November 21, 1963, applicant had complied with the quoted ordering paragraphs of Decision No. 63016, with the exception of submitting written notice of having made application for a water supply permit and documentary showing of dedication of facilities. We find it reasonable not to modify the rates authorized in Decision No. 63016. While cause appears for the delay in compliance with the order of this Commission, applicant's attention is called to Sections 2101 through 2113 of the Public Utilities Code, which set forth penalties for failure or neglect to comply with any order, decision, decree, rule, direction, demand, or requirement of this Commission. Further, in the future applicant is cautioned to submit to this Commission prompt reports and to request promptly any necessary extensions of time.

We conclude that ordering paragraph 10 of Decision No. 63016 should be modified to eliminate the requirement of reporting in writing that application had been made for a water supply permit and that ordering paragraph 11 should be deleted.

A. 43161, A. 43552 GH ORDER IT IS ORDERED that: Ordering paragraph 10 of Decision No. 63016 is deleted therefrom and that the following is substituted therefor: Applicant shall apply to the public health authority having jurisdiction for a water supply permit for the proposed system." "10. Ordering paragraph 11 of Decision No. 63016 is deleted therefrom. The effective date of this order shall be the date hereof. San Francisco , California, this Dated at , 1964. day of_ JANUARY -4-