Decision No. _____66763_____   ORIGINAL

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Application of DOMINGUEZ WATER   )
CORPORATION for an order modify-)
ing applicant's special contract)
with HARVEY ALUMINUM (Incorpor-   )   Application No. 46019
ated) to increase applicant's   )   Filed December 6, 1963
rates for water service to offset)
applicant's increased direct   )
costs for water.   )

OPINION AND ORDER

By Decision No. 65893, dated August 20, 1963, in Applica-
tion No. 44564, Dominguez Water Corporation, herein sometimes called
"Dominguez", was authorized to change the terms and conditions of an
agreement dated February 15, 1960 and continuing through February 28,
1965, with Harvey Aluminum (Incorporated), herein sometimes called
"Harvey". That agreement as amended provides that Harvey will,
effective September 1, 1963, pay a minimum charge of $2,166 per
month, and quantity rates of 11.29 cents per 100 cubic feet for the
first two million cubic feet, and 8.46 cents per 100 cubic feet for
all additional monthly consumption. By the application herein,
Dominguez seeks authority to increase the contract rates to 12.44
cents per 100 cubic feet for the first two million cubic feet and to
9.61 cents per 100 cubic feet for all additional monthly consump-
tion, subject to the same minimum charge, commencing January 1, 1964;
and to increase the rates to 12.90 cents per 100 cubic feet for the
first two million cubic feet, and to 10.07 cents per 100 cubic feet
for all additional monthly consumption, subject to the same minimum
charge, commencing July 1, 1964.

The reasons given for the proposed increases are that on
January 1, 1963, the cost of water purchased by Dominguez from the

-1-

West Basin Municipal Water District was increased $2 per acre-foot
from $27.50 to $29.50, that on January 1, 1964 the cost was to be
increased to $32.50 per acre-foot, and that on July 1, 1964 it will
be increased to $34.50 per acre-foot. All of Harvey's water is
supplied from a West Basin Municipal Water District connection. The
increase in rates authorized by Decision No. 65893 was based on the
cost of purchased water prior to January 1, 1963. The increases pro-
posed herein directly offset the subsequent increases in cost of
purchased water.

A copy of this application was mailed to Harvey, and the
application states that Dominguez has conferred repeatedly with the
representative of Harvey in an effort to reach agreement on an
increase in the contract water rates, without success.

We have considered the request of applicant and find that
the increases in rates and charges authorized in the following order
are justified. We conclude that the application should be granted.
A public hearing is not necessary.

IT IS ORDERED that:

1. Dominguez Water Corporation is authorized to increase its
rates for water to Harvey Aluminum (Incorporated) as follows:

 a. For all water actually delivered during
  the period from January 1, 1964 to June
  30, 1964:

 Minimum Charge .... $2,166 per month.

 Quantity Rates:

  First 2,000,000 cu.ft.
  per month, per 100 cu.ft. $0.1244

  All over 2,000,000 cu.ft.
  per month, per 100 cu.ft. .0961

b. For all water actually delivered
after June 30, 1964:

Minimum Charge	$2,166.00 per month

Quantity Rates:

First 2,000,000 cu.ft. per
month, per 100 cu.ft. $0.1290

All over 2,000,000 cu.ft.
per month, per 100 cu.ft. .... .1007

2. Except as herein modified, the written agreement between Dominguez Water Corporation and Harvey Aluminum (Incorporated), dated February 15, 1960, shall continue in effect.

3. Dominguez Water Corporation shall notify this Commission of the date of termination of said agreement within thirty days after any such termination.

The effective date of this order shall be twenty days after the date hereof.

Dated at _____San Francisco_____, California, this __11th__ day of _____FEBRUARY_____, 1964.

_____ President

_____

_____
Commissioners

Commissioner William M. Bennett, being necessarily absent, did not participate in the disposition of this proceeding.

Commissioner Peter E. Mitchell, being necessarily absent, did not participate in the disposition of this proceeding.

-3-