OBIGMAL

Decision No. 66774

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Application of the COUNTY WATER COMPANY, a Corporation, for Authority to extend its water system into additional areas to operate such extended system in Public Utility Water Company Service, and to exercise rights under County Franchise.

Application No. 43764

COUNTY WATER COMPANY, a corporation

Complainant,

vs.

Case No. 7196

SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA WATER COMPANY, a corporation

Defendant.

OPINION AND ORDER

By Decision No. 63642, dated May 1, 1962, on the abovenumbered application and complaint, Southern California Water Company was authorized to serve Tract No. 25764 with water on an interim basis, and all issues raised by the instant matters not otherwise disposed of in said decision were ordered to be further considered and disposed of after the rendering of a decision upon the issues presented by an Order Instituting Investigation in Case No. 7325, issued May 1, 1962.

By Decision No. 66432, dated December 10, 1963, in Case No. 7325, and Application No. 44631 of Southern California Water Company for an order granting deviation upon its filed main extension rule, the issues presented were decided.

-1-

BR/EP*

A. 43764, C.7196 GF *

The Commission finds that the issues raised by the instant matters are now moot, and concludes that the interim authority granted to Southern California Water Company in ordering paragraph 1 of Decision No. 63642 should be made final and that the instant application should be denied and the complaint diamissed; therefore,

IT IS ORDERED that:

1. The interim authority granted to Southern California Water Company in ordering paragraph 1 of Decision No. 63642 is made final.

2. Application No. 43764 is denied, and Case No. 7196 is dismissed.

The effective date of this order shall be twenty days after the date hereof.

		Dated at	San Francisco	_, California,	this .	<u>]</u> [
day	of	FEBRUARY	, 1964.			

esident

Commissioners

Commissioner William M. Sennett, being necossarily absent, did not participate in the disposition or this proceeding.

Commissioner Peter E. Mitchell, being necessarily absert, did not participate in the disposition of this proceeding.