

Decision No. 66890

**ORIGINAL**

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Application of the SACRAMENTO WATER )  
CO., a California corporation, for )  
authority to abandon a portion of a )  
water system in the County of )  
Sacramento. }

Application No. 45528  
(Filed June 17, 1963)

Alvin W. Beardsley, for applicant.

Arthur C. Fegan, for the Commission staff.

O P I N I O N

Applicant, a public utility, seeks authority to abandon a portion of its water system identified as Plant No. 1, together with the facilities thereto.

Public hearing was held at Sacramento, California, January 14, 1964, on which date the matter was submitted.

Applicant testified that the pumping facilities at Plant No. 1 have failed. The applicant has obtained estimates for repairing and modernizing Plant No. 1, which estimates indicate the cost of repairing and replacing the necessary equipment will be in excess of \$6,000. Applicant also testified that the Sacramento Water Co. is without funds sufficient to make these repairs. At the present time the Northridge Park County Water District is serving all of the customers of Sacramento Water Co. within the service area of said Plant No. 1.

The evidence shows that necessary procedures to have the present customers of the Sacramento Water Co. included in the Northridge Park County Water District have not been completed.

Based upon the evidence the Commission finds it has not been shown that Northridge Park County Water District is obligated to furnish water service to the customers of Sacramento Water Co. within the service area of said Plant No. 1. The requested authority should be denied.

ORDER

IT IS ORDERED that Application No. 45528 is hereby denied.

The effective date of this order shall be twenty days after the date hereof.

3rd Dated at Los Angeles, California, this  
day of MARCH, 1964.

*[Signature]* President

*George T. Trover*

*Frederick B. Holoboff*

Commissioners

Commissioner William M. Bennett, being necessarily absent, did not participate in the disposition of this proceeding.

Commissioner Peter E. Mitchell, being necessarily absent, did not participate in the disposition of this proceeding.