

66909

ORIGINAL

Decision No. \_\_\_\_\_

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Application of the City of Hanford to }  
construct grade crossing of Redington }  
Street at Southern Pacific Railroad }  
and to abolish grade crossing of }  
Irwin Street at Southern Pacific }  
Railroad. }

Application No: 45816

O R D E R

The City of Hanford is hereby authorized to construct Redington Street at grade across tracks of Southern Pacific Company in the City of Hanford, Kings County, at the location described in the application to be identified as Crossing No. 3D-252.7. Applicant shall bear entire construction expense, also maintenance cost of the crossing outside of lines two feet outside of rails. Southern Pacific Company shall bear maintenance cost of the crossing between such lines. Width of crossing shall be as shown in the application and grades of approach shall not be greater than one percent. Construction shall be equal or superior to Standard No. 2 of General Order No. 72. Protection shall be by two Standard No. 8 flashing light signals (General Order No. 75-B) supplemented by two additional flashing light signals mounted on cantilever arms.

Upon completion, Irwin Street, Crossing No. BD-252.6 about 500 feet easterly, shall be abandoned and closed to public use and travel. Within thirty days after completion pursuant to this order, applicant shall so advise the Commission in writing. This authorization shall expire if not exercised within two years unless time be extended or if above conditions are not complied with. Authorization

may be revoked or modified if public convenience, necessity, or safety so require. The effective date of this order shall be twenty days after the date hereof.

Dated at Los Angeles, California, this 3rd day of MARCH, 1964.

\_\_\_\_\_  
*George W. [unclear]* President  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
*George L. [unclear]*  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
*Fredrick B. Halliuff*  
 \_\_\_\_\_

Commissioners

Commissioner William M. Bennett, being necessarily absent, did not participate in the disposition of this proceeding.

Commissioner Peter E. Mitchell, being necessarily absent, did not participate in the disposition of this proceeding.