Decision No. 67923

## ORIGINAL

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Application of ISLETON WATER COMPANY, a corporation, for authority to increase its rates and charges for its Water System serving the community of Isleton and adjacent territory in Sacramento County.

Application No. 45171

## ORDER AMENDING DECISION AND DENYING REHEARING

Isleton Water Company, a corporation, having petitioned for rehearing of Decision No. 66738, the Commission having considered said petition, and good cause appearing;

IT IS ORDERED that the first full paragraph on Sheet 5 of Decision No. 66738 is amended following the sentence "Applicant's estimates were developed by estimating major categories of salaries and wages, pumping power, material, services, communication, transportation or miscellaneous expenses." to read:

At the hearing applicant was unable to furnish cost data for operations of its affiliates and agreed that the Commission could take notice of the annual reports of the districts of Citizens California and its affiliated companies in arriving at a decision of this application (Tr. 193, 194). Based upon data in such annual reports, the weighted average transmission and distribution expense per customer in 1962 of applicant's affiliates in California was \$2.82, the range being from approximately \$1 to \$6.50 per customer, excluding applicant and the Inverness Water Company. For the purpose of this proceeding, we find that \$6.50 per customer is a reasonable annual allowance for transmission and distribution expense. The staff witness testified that much of the current maintenance expense is properly classed as deferred maintenance.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the first full paragraph on Sheet 6 of Decision No. 66738 is hereby deleted and the following language inserted therefor:

Applicant's estimate of customer accounting and collecting expense less uncollectibles amounted to \$11.84 per customer and that of the staff amounted to \$3.82 per customer annually. Inasmuch as the staff estimate after modification to reflect bimonthly billing as provided by applicant's tariffs is approximately \$2.00 per customer per year, we find that \$2.00 per customer is a reasonable annual allowance for customer accounting and collecting expense less uncollectibles.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that rehearing of Decision No. 66738, as amended, is hereby denied.

Dated at Los Angeles , California, this 30/1/2 day of SEPTEMBER , 1964.

Commissioners

Commissioner Everett C. McKeage, being necessarily absent, did not participate in the disposition of this proceeding.