HT /CS

DRIGINAL

Decision No. 67967

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

LILLIAN JEFFERSON,

vs.

Complainant,

Case No. 7917

THE PACIFIC TELEPHONE AND TELEGRAPH COMPANY, a Corporation,

Defendant.

Lillian Jefferson, in propria persons. Lawler, Felix & Hall, by <u>Robert C. Coppo</u>, for the defendant. Roger Arnebergh, City Attorney, by <u>James</u> <u>H. Kline</u>, for the Police Department of the City of Loc Angeles, intervenor.

<u>O P I N I O N</u>

Complainant seeks restoration of telephone service at 1118 East 50th Street, Los Angeles, California. Interim restoration was ordered pending further order (Decision No. 67395, dated June 16, 1964).

Defendant's answer alleges that on or about September 18, 1963, it had reasonable cause to believe that service to Lillian Jefferson, under number AD 1-7574, was being or was to be used as an instrumentality directly or indirectly to violate or aid and abet violation of law, and therefore defendant was required to disconnect service pursuant to the decision in <u>Re Telephone</u> <u>Disconnection</u>, 47 Cal. P.U.C. 853.

-1-

C. 7917 - HT 🔜 *

The matter was heard and submitted before Examiner DeWolf at Los Angeles on August 18, 1964.

By letter of September 16, 1963, the Chief of Police of the City of Los Angeles advised defendant that the telephone under number AD 17574 was being used to disseminate horse-racing information used in connection with bookmaking in violation of Penal Code Section 337a, and requested disconnection (Exhibit 1).

Complainant testified that she has been going to the hospital for an injured back and arthritis and dental work and needs telephone service in order to make appointments. Complainant testified that the police kicked in the door of her house while she was at the table eating and that she had a roomer who was taking core of her who was arrested.

Complainant further testified that she has great need for telephone service, and she did not and will not use the telephone for any unlawful purpose, and that her telephone has been disconnected almost a year.

A deputy city attorney appeared and cross-examined the complainant, but no testimony was offered on behalf of any law enforcement agency.

We find that defendant's action was based upon reasonable cause, and the evidence fails to show that the telephone was used for any illegal purpose. Complainant is entitled to restoration of service.

-2-

C. 7917 - HT

$\underline{O} \ \underline{R} \ \underline{D} \ \underline{E} \ \underline{R}$

IT IS ORDERED that Decision No. 67395, dated June 16, 1964, temporarily restoring service to complainant, is made permanent, subject to defendant's tariff provisions and existing applicable law.

The effective date of this order shall be twenty days after the date hereof.

	Dated at	San Francisco	, California,	this
day of _	OCTOBER	, 1964.	•	· · · ·
·			elich B.	Halshart
			La de la dela	President
		Ter	orge J.G	Trover_
		Malle	lam le 1	Bennes
				Star Star

-3-

Commissioners

Commissioner Everett C. McKeage, being necessarily absent, did not participate in the disposition of this proceeding.