

**ORIGINAL**Decision No. 68041

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Application of the State of California,  
 Department of Public Works, for an order  
 authorizing the alteration of eleven  
 existing crossings at grade over the  
 tracks of the Pacific Electric Railway  
 Company located in the median of Santa  
 Monica Boulevard (State Route VII-LA-2)  
 between Doheny Drive and Orlando Avenue  
 in the cities of Beverly Hills and Los  
 Angeles, County of Los Angeles.

Application No. 46681

O R D E R

State of California, Department of Public Works, in connection with the improvement of Santa Monica Boulevard (State Route 2), is hereby authorized to alter eleven existing grade crossings that intersect the tracks of the Pacific Electric Railway Company's Hollywood Line in the City of Beverly Hills and Los Angeles County, at the locations as described and in the manner as shown in the application being summarized in the following table and subject to the conditions named herein:

TABLE OF CROSSING DATA

<u>Crossing Number</u>	<u>Name of Street</u>	<u>Work Authorized</u>
6H-10.15	Croft Avenue	Remove Standard No. 3 wigwags, relocate existing Standard No. 1 crossing sign and install additional Standard No. 1 crossing sign (General Order No. 75-B)
6H-10.29	La Cienega Boulevard	Remove Standard No. 3 wigwag and install two Standard No. 1 crossing signs (General Order No. 75-B)
6H-10.55	Westbourne Drive	Remove Standard No. 3 wigwag and install two Standard No. 1 crossing signs (General Order No. 75-B)
6H-10.62-C	Santa Monica Boulevard (State Route 2)	Install two Standard No. 8 flashing light signals (General Order No. 75-B) without backlights

<u>Crossing Number</u>	<u>Name of Street</u>	<u>Work Authorized:</u>
6H-10.64	Hancock Avenue	Relocate Standard No. 8 flashing light signals (General Order No. 75-B)
6H-10.72	Larrabee Street	Remove Standard No. 3 wig-wag and install two Standard No. 1 crossing signs (General Order No. 75-B)
6H-10.84	San Vicente Boulevard	Remove Standard No. 3 wig-wag and install two Standard No. 1 crossing signs (General Order No. 75-B)
6H-10.94	Robertson Boulevard	Install additional Standard No. 1 crossing sign (General Order No. 75-B)
6H-11.02	La Peer Street	Install one additional Standard No. 1 crossing sign (General Order No. 75-B)
6H-11.11	Almont Drive	Install one additional Standard No. 1 crossing sign (General Order No. 75-B)
6H-11.26	Doheny Drive	No change to existing flashing light signals

Note: Each of the above crossing signs and existing crossing signs shall be reflectorized with reflex reflecting material.

In addition to the above protection, all crossings (except 6H-10.62-C, 6H-11.02 and 6H-11.11) shall be protected by traffic signals, so coordinated with the train movements that once a train enters the intersection, the traffic signals shall continue to show "GREEN" to the train movement and "RED" to the cross traffic until the end of the train clears the intersection.

Trains shall proceed at a speed not greater than 10 miles per hour and shall obey traffic signals at the intersections provided with such signals.

Construction and maintenance costs shall be borne in accordance with an agreement to be entered into between the parties

and a copy of said executed agreement shall be filed with the Commission prior to commencement of construction. Should the parties fail to agree, the Commission will apportion the costs by further order.

Within thirty days after completion, pursuant to this order, applicant shall so advise the Commission in writing. This authorization will expire if not exercised within two years unless time be extended, or if above conditions are not complied with. Authorization may be revoked or modified if public convenience, necessity or safety so require.

The effective date of this order shall be twenty days after the date hereof.

Dated at San Francisco, California, this 13<sup>th</sup> day of OCTOBER, 1964.

Fredrich B. Holoboff  
President  
Robert L. Mitchell  
George G. Grover  
William L. Burnett

Commissioners

Commissioner George G. Grover, being necessarily absent, did not participate in the disposition of this proceeding.