ORIGINAL

Decision	No.	68168
~~~~~~		

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Application of the County of Alameda, State of California, for an order authorizing construction of a separation structure to replace the existing Southern Pacific Company Crossing No. D-39.2B on Pleasanton-Sunol Road, Alameda County, California, sometimes referred to as Hacienda Crossing.

Application No. 43923 (Filed November 16, 1961)

Douglas R. Dunning, Assistant District Attorney,
for applicant.
Harold S. Lentz, for Southern Pacific Company, respondent.
M. E. Getchel, for the Commission staff.

## OPINION

The applicant County filed this application for permission to replace the Hacienda underpass near Pleasanton with a new structure designed to carry Southern Pacific's tracks over a much wider road span. Public hearing was held at Pleasanton before Examiner Power on September 16, 1964 and the matter was submitted.

The underpass now in existence is overly narrow and hence dangerous. County and Railroad are in agreement that the present underpass should be replaced.

The long delay has been occasioned by certain conversations between the Southern Pacific and Western Pacific Railroads. These concerned a possible joint track agreement affecting the Pleasanton area. The conversations envisioned that Western Pacific trackage would be used in the area which includes the Hacienda separation. Had these conversations been successful the Southern Pacific trackage would have been removed, automatically solving the problem.

location and substantially as shown by map (Exhibit A) attached to the application, to be identified as Crossing No. D-39.2-B.

- 2. Construction and maintenance expense shall be borne in accordance with an agreement entered into between the parties relative thereto, and copy of said agreement, together with plans of said crossing approved by Southern Pacific Company, shall be filed with the Commission within one hundred eighty days from the date hereof. Should the parties fail to agree, the Commission will apportion the cost of construction and maintenance by further order.
- 3. Within thirty days after completion pursuant to this order applicant shall so advise the Commission in writing. This authorization shall expire if not exercised within three years, unless time be extended or if conditions are not complied with.

day of NOVEMBER, 1964.

ind B. Holelight

1--17

Commissioners