original

Decision No. 68461

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Application of Lorin J. McCorkindale (doing business as J. L. McCorkindale)
Trucking) for Relief From Minimum Rate)
Tariff No. 10 as Amended by Fifth Re-)
vised Page 6 Effective October 1, 1964,)
Sub-Paragraph b.

Application No. 47016 (Filed Sept. 29, 1964)

Davidson, Walk, Etchason and Davidson, by W. David
Etchason, for applicant.

W. A. Dillon, J. C. Kaspar and A. D. Poe, for
California Trucking Association; George H. Poe,
for California Portland Cement Company; Waldo
Gillette, and J. T. Enright, for Monolith Cement
Co., Glen Bell for Transit Mix Concrete Co.; and
Harry Lowthian, for Permanente Cement Co., Interested parties.

Joseph Braman and C. R. L'Ecluse, for the Commission
staff.

OPINION

Public hearing was held and this matter submitted before Examiner Mollory at Los Angeles on November 24, 1964. Evidence in support of the relief sought was presented by applicant and the interested shipper, Transit Mix Concrete Company. The latter company and California Portland Cement Company support the application. No one appeared in opposition to the relief sought.

Applicant holds operating authority from this Commission as a cement carrier, highway contract carrier and radial highway common carrier. Operations involved in this proceeding are conducted as a cement carrier. Applicant's prescriptive cement carrier operative right authorizes him to transport cement from

According to applicant, he has operated, for the past several years, bulk equipment which has been specially designed and constructed so as to permit the transportation of 42 sacks of cement with cement in bulk. He contends that the continued use of this specialized equipment is both feasible and desirable and is

^{1/} Destination counties within the scope of applicant's prescriptive cement carrier right are: Fresno, Kern, Los Angeles, Orange, Riverside, San Bernardino, San Diego, Santa Barbara, Tulare and Ventura.

^{2/} This requirement was established effective October 1, 1964, pursuant to Decision No. 67620, dated July 28, 1964, in Case No. 5440 (Petitions for Modification Nos. 20 and 21). Prior to October 1, 1964, Minimum Rate Tariff No. 10 did not contain a limitation on the number of sacks of cement that could be included in a mixed shipment of sacked and bulk cement.

necessary if he is to continue handling mixed shipments of coment for Transit Mix Concrete Co. It is alleged that, unless the sought tariff relief is granted, he will suffer an undue and unjust hardship with regard to this traffic.

Applicant testified that he operates 10 units of trucking equipment for the handling of bulk cement, each unit consisting of a tractor and two hopper trailers. On one such trailer he has placed a specially designed metal box with capacity for 42 sacks of cement. The box is so constructed as to form a ramp when the door is lowered, which permits hand-trucking of the sacks directly into the box. Applicant testified that ordinarily the sacked cement transported on bulk equipment is placed on the trailer frame or in the cab of the tractor. He stated that use of the specially constructed equipment provided safer and more convenient transportation, as the cement sacks transported on the trailer frame could fall to the roadway or, if in the cab, could inconvenience the driver.

A representative of the shipper for whom applicant performs the bulk of his transportation service testified concerning his company's requirements. He stated that the receipt of 42 sacks at one time is more convenient to the shipper for several reasons. His company operates several transit-mix facilities. At each, the storage capacity is limited; the largest storage area at any plant accommodates 70 sacks. The limited storage facilities preclude the purchase of a full-trailer load (750 sacks) at one time. If only five sacks, as permitted under current mixed shipment tariff provisions, are delivered at one time, several deliveries per day would be required. Several deliveries of sacked cement would seriously

the effective date of this order on not less than ten days notice to the Commission and to the public.

3. The authority granted herein shall expire unless exercised within ninety days after the effective date of this order.

This order shall become effective twenty days after the date hereof.

Dated at San Francisco ____, California, this 1274) . 1962