ORIGINAL

Decision No. __68626

RW

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Application of the City of Baldwin Park, California, for authority to establish a pedestrian crossing of Pacific Electric Railroad at Foster Avenue (File No. 183/19/6T-19.2 MP)

Application No. 47234

ORDER

The City of Baldwin Park is hereby authorized to construct a pedestrian crossing at grade across the Pacific Electric Railway Company's San Bernardino Line, in the City of Baldwin Park, Los Angeles County, at the location described in the application, to be identified as Crossing No. 6T-19.22-CD.

Applicant shall bear the entire construction expense, also maintenance expense of the crossing outside of lines two feet outside of rails, and Pacific Electric Railway Company shall bear maintenance cost of the crossing between such lines. Width of the crossing shall be six feet and grades of approach shall be substantially as shown in the application. Construction shall be equal or superior to Standard No. 1 of General Order No. 72, with pre-mix paving flush with tops of rails. Protection shall be by two special pedestrian signs 12 inches by 24 inches reading "RAILROAD CROSSING PEDESTRIANS ONLY" in black letters 1 1/2 inches high on a white field. Applicant shall also install a one step riser ten feet from each side of the centerline of the railway's track to effectively prevent the passage of bicycle riders.

Within thirty days after completion, pursuant to this order,

applicant shall so advise the Commission in writing. This authorization shall expire if not exercised within one year unless time be extended or if the above conditions are not complied with. Authorization may be revoked or modified if public convenience, necessity or safety so require.

The effective date of this order shall be twenty days after the date hereof.

	Dated at	San Francisco	, California, this	_16+h day
		0.00		
o£	FEBRUARY	, 1965.		

President

Le Hatthul

Leona I Though

Sulluma la Blich Commissioners.

Commissioner Frederick B. Holoboff, being necessarily absent, did not participate in the disposition of this proceeding.