Decision No. 69034

## ORIGINAL

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Application of PARK WATER COMPANY, for an order authorizing it to sell, transfer and convey a portion of its water system to WEST SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY WATER DISTRICT, a public agency of the State of California, pursuant to Section 851 of the Public Utilities Code.

Application No. 47317 (Filed February 8, 1965)

Chris S. Rellas, for Park Water Company, and Redwine and Sherrill, by Justin M. McCarthy, for West San Bernardino County Water District, applicants.

## OPINION

Park Water Company (seller), a corporation, seeks authority to transfer part of its water system properties to West San Bernardino County Water District (buyer) and to discontinue service to the portion of its certificated areas supplied by the facilities to be transferred.

A public hearing was held before Examiner Catey in Los Angeles on April 5, 1965. Notice of hearing had been published in accordance with this Commission's instructions and rules of procedure. Testimony was presented by seller's vice president and by buyer's general manager. There were no protests. The matter was submitted on April 13, 1965, the date of receipt herein of seller's late-filed Exhibit No. 1.

Seller is engaged in the operation of public utility water systems in the southeastern section of Los Angeles County and in the Chino and Bloomington areas of San Bernardino County. Buyer is a political subdivision of the State of California,

organized and existing pursuant to Division 12 of the Water Code.

Seller's Bloomington system is outside of, but adjacent to, buyer's present district boundaries, but buyer's general manager testified that buyer intends to annex the Bloomington area. He also testified that it was the general practice of buyer to charge the same water rates to customers within its district boundaries as it charges outside of those boundaries.

On or about October 27, 1964, buyer filed an action in eminent domain against seller in the San Bernardino County Superior Court, for the purpose of condemning seller's Bloomington system. Buyer's engineer prepared an inventory of the utility plant involved and an estimate of its value to buyer. After minor modifications, based upon negotiations between buyer and seller, both parties agreed to stipulate to judgment in the condemnation proceeding which would provide for the payment of \$150,000 by buyer to seller, of which \$15,000 would be in cash and the balance would be represented by six percent promissory notes.

The pleading herein, the testimony of seller's vice president, and late-filed Exhibit No. I show that the Bloomington system included, as of December 31, 1964, plant with an original cost of \$92,148, a depreciation reserve of \$23,213, no unrefunded advances for construction, and \$18,222 contributions in aid of construction. Late-filed Exhibit No. I shows that revenues and expenses (excluding depreciation and income taxes) for the year 1964 were \$14,753 and \$7,004, respectively. Exhibit "B" to the pleading shows that the total depreciated original cost of all of seller's systems, as of November 30, 1964, was \$6,786,033.

Seller and buyer state, in their pleading, that it is in the best interest of seller, buyer, the water users in the area and the customers of seller that the proposed sale and transfer A. 47317 ied

The effective date of this order shall be established by supplemental order, after buyer shall have complied fully with the requirements of ordering paragraph 2.

Dated at \_\_\_\_\_\_\_, California, this \_\_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_\_\_, NAY \_\_\_\_\_, 1965.

Commissioner Frederick B. Holoboff, being necessarily absent. did not participate in the disposition of this proceeding.

President

Commissioners