

**ORIGINAL**Decision No. 69141

## BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of Application  
of San Joaquin County, acting  
through its Board of Supervisors  
for permission to reconstruct  
"Chrisman Road" at grade across  
the Western Pacific Railway  
Company, a Corporation.

Application No. 47354

ORDER

County of San Joaquin is hereby authorized to reconstruct the crossing of Chrisman Road at grade with tracks of The Western Pacific Railroad Company, Crossing No. 4-72.8, near Carbona, San Joaquin County, as described and substantially as shown in the application. Width of crossing shall be not less than forty feet and grades of approach not greater than two percent. Construction shall be equal or superior to Standard No. 2 of General Order No. 72. Protection shall be by two Standard No. 8 crossing signals (General Order No. 75-B) supplemented with automatic gate arms.

Construction expense shall be apportioned by agreement between the parties or, if they fail to agree, by further order of the Commission. Maintenance cost of the crossing outside of lines two feet outside of rails shall be borne by applicant. The Western Pacific Railroad Company shall bear maintenance cost of the crossing between such lines.

Within thirty days after completion pursuant to this order, applicant shall so advise the Commission in writing. This authorization shall expire if not exercised within one year unless time be extended, or if above conditions are not complied with. Authorization may be revoked or modified if public convenience, necessity, or safety so require.

Applicant desires to begin construction as soon as possible and has requested immediate authorization. The effective date of this order shall be the date hereof.

Dated at San Francisco California, this 25<sup>th</sup> day of May 1965.

[Signature] President  
[Signature]  
[Signature]

Commissioner Frederick B. [Signature] necessarily absent, did not participate in the disposition of this proceeding.

Commissioner William M. Bennett, being necessarily absent, did not participate in the disposition of this proceeding.