

ORIGINAL

Decision No. 69854

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Application of The Atchison, Topeka and Santa Fe Railway Company, a corporation for authority to construct, maintain and operate an additional track across Orangethorpe Avenue in the City of Anaheim, State of California.

Application No. 47689
(as amended)

O R D E R

The Atchison, Topeka and Santa Fe Railway Company is hereby authorized to construct an additional main track at grade across Orangethorpe Avenue, in the City of Anaheim, Orange County, at the location described in the amended application, to be identified as a portion of Crossing No. 2B-41.8.

Construction and maintenance costs of the additional main track portion of the crossing shall be borne by the applicant. The City of Anaheim is widening the Orangethorpe Avenue crossing and is in agreement with the railway to share equally in the cost of installing automatic protection.

The width of the crossing and the grades of approach shall be in accordance with plan attached to the amended application. Construction shall be equal or superior to Standard No. 2 of General Order No. 72. Protection shall be by two Standard No. 8 flashing light signals (General Order No. 75-B) supplemented by automatic crossing gates, to be installed at the edge of the street, plus two Standard No. 8 flashing light signals (General Order No. 75-B) without back lights, to be located on the median islands.

Within thirty days after completion, pursuant to this order, applicant shall so advise the Commission in writing. This authorization shall expire if not exercised within one year unless time be

extended or if above conditions are not complied with. Authorization may be revoked or modified if public convenience, necessity or safety so require.

The effective date of this order shall be twenty days after the date hereof.

Dated at San Francisco, California this 26th day of OCTOBER, 1965.

Fredrick B. Hildebrandt
President

George J. Hoover
Commissioner

Auguston
Commissioner

Commissioner William M. Bennett, being necessarily absent, did not participate in the disposition of this proceeding.