Decision No. 69865

BEFORE TUE PUBLIC UIILITIES COMNISSION OF THE STAIE OF CAIIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Application of SOUTHERN PACIFIC COMPANY for an order authorizing the constructicn at grade of a mein trenk in, upon and across

Application No. 45589 Tenth Stecet East and State Road No. VIII-23-IN-E in the County of Los Angeles, state of Califormia.

> Randolph Verr, for applicant.
> George D. Wo, Melvin R. Dyknan and Alfred Gawthrop, by George D. Moe and Aifred Gawhrop, for State of Califoria, Department of Public Works; Richard W. Andrews and Herbert Lehfeldr, foz City of Pindale, interested parties. F. Fibbard, for the Comission's Fraff.

## OPINIQN

Applicant seeks authority herein to construct a main trick at grade in, upon and across State Route 23 and Tenth Street East in the City of Palmale, Los Angeles County.

Hearings on the above-entitled application wexe held before Examiner Rogers in Los Angeles on Novemer 17 and 18, 1964, and the matter was submitted. Thereafter the matter was reopened and a further hearing was held before the same examiner in Los Angeles on April 8, 1965, additional evidence was presented and it was again submitted.

Applicant's main line of tracks from San Francisco to Los Angeies in the vicinity of the City of Palmale (City), Los Angeles Coumty, California, is along and approximately
parallel to Sierra Highway, also known as State Highway 23 (Highway), for several miles on each side of the City. The main line connects in the City of Los Angeles with applicant's main line to El Paso, Texar, and other sourhern and eastem points. In the City proper, the main line right of way is on the west side of the Highway. Approximately one mile south of the City, the Highway (going norti) veers from the west side of the main line to the east side (Crossing No. E-416.6) and remains there through the City for a distance of approximately 4,500 feet, at wbich point (Crossing No. B-412.8) the Higinway again crosses the main line and is on the west side thereof. Each of said crossings is protected by four No. 8 flashing light signals. Automatic gates are to be added to the protection of said crossings in the immediate future.

The Palmdale-Colton Cutoff (Cutoff) hereinafter referred to is a main line which will extend from the main line (Mile Post 414.326) across existing Sierra Highway (Mile Post 414.497) and 10th Street East (Nile Post 414.792) to Colton (Exbibit No. 12). It is contemplated that there will be approximately 46 crossings of the Curoff by streets or highways. Approximately is of these crossings are proposed to be at separated grades; the balance, including Sierra Highway and 10th Street East, are proposed by applicant to be at grade.

The Antelope Valley Ireeway (Freeway), which is under construction and has been completed from a jumetion with U. S. Highway 99 north of the City of San Femando on the south to a
point approximately four and one-malf miles south of the City, is scheduled to be completed to a point nortin of the City in 1966. This scheduled section will be approximately one mile west of the Highway and the main line through the City.

The Eighway is 40 feet in width with 24 feet of paving mariced for two lanes of travel plus two shoulders each eight feet in width. During a one-week check made for the period of Friday, March 19, through Thursday, March 25, 1965, the average daily traffic through the City on the Eighway at the site of the switch for the Cutoff was 10,800 vehicies. During this period, the greatest volune of traffic was 15,800 vebicles on Sumday and the lowest volume of traffic was 8,630 vebicles on Tuesday. It is estimated that when the Freeway is opened to a point north of the City in the fall of 1966, the average daily traffic on the Highway will decrease to approximately 5,000 vebicles per day and will retum to its present volume in from five to ten years and could increase to an average of 18,000 vebicles per cay in 1980.

At Avenue $R$, which is approximately 1,500 feet zorth of the proposed crossing of the Highway by the Cutoff, the Highway veers east approximately 150 feet. Plans have been made to relocate the Highway so it will cross Avenue $\mathbb{R}$ in a straight line at approximately a $90^{\circ}$ angle and, proceeding south from Avenue $R$, will cross the site of the Cutoff at an angle of approximately $40^{\circ}$, and will completely merge with the existing line of the Highway approximately 800 feet south of
the Cutoff crossing. Work on this realignent may commence within six months. In addition to crossing the Highway, the Cutoff will cross 10th Street East in Palmdale as stated below, but all parties agree that this latter crossing should be at grade.

The applicant will pay all costs of paving both crossings, if at grade, the maintenance of the Bighway for two feet outside of the rails, and the costs of instalifng and maintaining all sigani protection including gates. The applicant estimated that the cost of improving and protecting each grade crossing will be from $\$ 18,000$ to $\$ 22,000$. If the crossing is authorized at the present Highway location, and the Highway is subsequently realigned, applicant will pay for moving the crossing protection to the new Highway route. These expenses are estimated by applicant to be between $\$ 1,500$ and $\$ 2,000$.

The Highway is a State Highway which, upon the completion of the Freeway, will revert to the control of Los Angeles County and the City, but the Division of Highways of the State of California (Division) will improve the Efghway prior to relinquishing control thereof.

The City Administrator stated that the City and the Division have discussed the realigning of the Highway south of Avenue Z as hereinbefore stated, but allowing for a four-lane highway; that tie Division will provide the plans and the survey and the cost of paving a four-lane bighway, onc the city will acquire the right of way. It was his understanding, he said,
that the Division has budgered the funds for its share of the work. He said the problem is that if a grade separation is required on the existing alignment, the future alignment would be in jeopardy and if the Eighway is realigned, there is no need for a grade separation on the existing Highway aligment. The position of the City is that when the Freeway is completed, there will be a considerable recuction in traffic; that this portion of the Highway will no longer carry through traffic, but only local traffic; and that the City has no objection to either a grade crossing or a separation of grades.

A witness for the Division testified that if the Highway is realigned, it will cost approximately $\hat{1} 66,250$, of which $\$ 26,350$ would be tice cost of realigaing traffic signals and utilities at Avenue 2 , and $\$ 39,900$ would be the cost of moving utilities, paving, and contingencies on the realigned Highway. The 1965-1966 buedget of the Division has allocated $\$ 50,000$ for the realignment of the Highway from Avenue $R$ south as referred to herein.

The main line of applicant through the City is a singie line of track with passing and siding tracks, none of which is in the City. The nomal rail traffic thereon is 12 train movements per day in each direction of 70 to 100 freight cars, plus motive power. These trains operate at a perwissive speed of 55 miles per hour and do not stop in the City.

The applicant presenzed two situations relative to the Cutoff. At the outset, it will be used as an industry spur track and will be approximately 3,300 feet in length from the switch point on the main line. The Cutoff will branch from the main line
at the southern boumdary of the City (Mile Post 414.326), cross the existing Highway at an angle of approximately $17^{\circ}$ (Mile Post 414.497) or the realigned Highway at an angle of approximately 400 (Mile Post 414.523), extend approximately 1,200 feet to 10 th Street East (Mile Post 414.792), which it will cross at an angle of approximately $60^{\circ}$, and will terminate approximately 842 feet easterly of the latter street. Applicant owns industrial propexty east of the Highway, which will be served by the industrial track. This track is a portion of the Cutoff heretofore authorized by the Interstate Comerce Comission. The Cutoff is to be a main line of applicant, which will extend between the City amd Colton, Califormia, paralleinitg The Atchison, Topeka and Santa Fe Railway track above the City of San Bernardino. The Cutoff, which will be completed in 1966 as a single line, with necessary passing tracks, will be used exclusively for freight, and will be 78 miles in length. Through traffic from northern to southern points will be haniled on trains assembled near Sacramento, california, without going tbrough the City of Los Angeles as at present. There will be approximately three through trains in each direction per day on the Cutoff, with no switching operations across the Highway. This will reduce the number of trains on the main line by the same number. The longest trains, at 30 miles per hour, will require two minutes to cross the \#ighway. The rail distance from the City to the City of Los Angeles via the main line is 69 miles, and from Los Angeles to Colton is 57.1 miles, a total of 126.1 miles. The Cutoff will reduce the rail distance between Palmdale and Colton by approximately 48 miles and will reduce the time in transit by as much as one day.

The maximum grade of ascent from the City to Colton on the Cutoff will be 0.8 per cent In the reverse direction, the maximm grade of ascent will be 2.2 per cent. on the main line through Saugus to Los Angeles, the maximum grade of ascent is 2.5 per cent. Trains on the Cutoff will be operated at a permissive speed of 55 miles per hour, and from the City switch point from the main line across the Highway at 30 to 35 miles per hour. The cost of the Cutoff is estimated at $\$ 20,218,635$. The route is designed to enable applicant's through traffic to avoid congestion and delay in the Los Angeles metropolitan axea and, in addition, to meet comperition from trucks.

The applicant, through an exgineer, presented preliminary plans for a two-lane overpass over the Cutoff at its junction with the main line in the Gity (Exhibit No. 11). These 2lans siow a two-lane bridge and highway the same width as the existing Mighway, i.e., two 12-foot lanes plus two 8-foot shoulders, on the existing highway alignment. The witness estimated the complete cost of this facility, including approaches and an access road, to be not less than $\$ 400,000$ : He said that the City wants a four-lane structure which would cose more and he did not estimate the cost of such structure on the realigned Eighway.

The Division presented plans for a four-lane overpass using the realigned Highway south of Avenue $R$ (Exhibit No. 15). Its witness stated that a two-lane bridge structure would cost $\$ 6,000$ and a four-lane bridge structure would cost $\$ 170,000$, and that neither figure includes the cost of paving or drainage on the bridge structure. He also testified that the cost of the
approaches on the two-lane highway would be $\$ 65,400$ and for a four-lane highway would be $\$ 109,800$; that the figures do not include the cost of peving on the structure and approaches and do not include the land values and damages. The Division will pave either the grade aligenent of the \#ighway or the separation of grades, but the applicant would be required to build and pave the bridge structure and the City would be required to accuire all necessary land and rights of way.

A Commission engineer filed a report in which he recomendec, inter alia, that the crossing of the Highway be at separated grades and that the crossing of loth Street East be at grade. The basic factors which he considered in meking his recommendation for a separation of srade at the Highway crossing were the anticipated volumes of rail and vehiculax traffic; the fact that the Cutoff will be a main ine of rail; and chat the separation will eliminate delays to traffic. The applieart has proposed the type of protection recounended by the engineer at 10th Street East. The engineer agreed that the costs of grade crossings as estimated by the applicant are correct.

## Findings

Upon the evidence herein the Comission finds that:

1. Applicant is a Delaware corporation doing business in California as a railroad corporation. It has a main line of rail extending from the north of the City through Saugus to the City of L s frgeles and a main line of rail from the City of Los Angeles tbrough Colton to eastern and southern points. Both
interstate and intrastate freight traffic are carried on these lines. Applicmet operates approximately 12 trains per day in each direction through the City.
2. Applicant has commenced construction on its Cutoff Iine. The Cutoff is a main line which will extend from the City to Coiton, a distance of $i 8$ miles. The line will require approximately two years to complete and work thereon will commence in the City at mile Post 414.326.
3. When the Cutoff is completed, applicant will make up through trains in the vicinity of Sacramento with freight originating in California, or points north thereof, and destined for points east and south of Califormia. Such trains will vary from 70 to 100 cars, plus motive power, in length. The trains will operate at a speed of 30 to 35 miles per hour at the switch point (Nile Post 414.326) across the Righway and on to the Cutoff. When the Cutoff is completed, trains will be operated chereover at a speed of 55 miles per hour, exclusive of the point of crossing. On the Cutoff, the maximum grade of ascent eastbound will be 0.8 per cent and westbound will be 2.2 per cent. Passing tracks will be constructed as necessary between the City and Colton.
4. In the City, applicant's single main line of track is immediately contiguous to and on the west side of the Highway. The switch point for the Curoff will be approxfrately 1,500 feet South of Avenue $\pi$, the first street crossing the Zighway to the north. Pending completion of the Cutoff, applicant will construct
approximately 3,300 feet of single line track from said Nile Post 414.326 across the Highway and across and beyond IDth Street East. This track will be an industry spur track and will assist in the development of an industrial area in and around the City. A large part of this area is owned by applicant.
5. The Freeway has been completed from the south to 2 point approximately four and one-half miles south of the City. It is under construction from the present terminus to a point north of the City and will be approximately one mile west of the Highway through the City. At present, the Highway through the City is a two-lane highway and is accommodating an average of 10,800 vebicles per day. In the City, the Highway is on the east side of the applicant's line of rail. Approximately one mile south of the City the Hizhway (going north) veers from the west side of the line to the east side (Crossing No. B-416.6) and remains
there through the City to a point (Crossing No. B-412.8) where the Highway again crosses the line. Each of said crossings is protected by No. 8 flashing lights, and automatic crossing gates are to be added to said protection in the immediate future.

The Highway veers 150 feet west at Avenue R. The Division will, within six months, relocate the Eighway from Avenue $R$ south in a straight line across and beyond the crossing of the Cutoff. The average daily traffic on the Highway will decrease to 5,000 vehicles per day when the Freeway is first completed through the City, return to the present volume in five to 10 years, and may increase to an average of 18,000 vehicles per day in 1980.
6. The Cutoff, when completed, will expedite through freight trains operating from San Francisco to points east and south of California, enable applicant to compete with truck transportation for interstate traffic; and enable applicant to avoid delay in the Los Angeles metropolitan area.
7. The No. 8 flashing lights and automatic crossing gates at each crossing herein considered will cost between $\$ 18,000$ and $\$ 22,000$ and the applicant will pay the cost of construction and maintenance thereof at each crossing. The crossing of the present Highway by the Cutoff would be at an angle of approximately $17^{\circ}$. The Highway is to be relocated in the near future by the Division of Highways. This relocation, including utilities, signals at Avenue $R$, and paving, will cost approximately $\$ 66,250$, of which $\$ 26,350$ is the estimated cost of work at Avenue $R$. Then the relocated Highway is completed, the crossing angle of the Cutoff at the Highway will be approximately $40^{\circ}$. The crossing angle at 10th Street East by the Cutoff will be approximately $60^{\circ}$. If the Cutoff crossing is made at the existing location of the Highway at grade and the Highway is subsequently relocated, the additional cost to the railroad for changing the locations of the protection will be from $\$ 1,500$ to $\$ 2,000$ at each crossing.
8. The Division will apply the same amomet of funds toward the cost of a separation of grades structure and approaches that it would pay for improving the highway south of Avenue R. The City has no preference for either a separation of grades or a grade crossing.
9. An separation of grades at the aighway and the Cutoff crossing would eliminate delays due to trafns crossing the Highway. The trains will cross the Eighway at 30 miles per hour and each train will require two minutes to cross the will be exclusive of the time required for advance warnings and opezation of the automatic gates. There will be approximately timree through trains in each direction per day over the Eizoway crossing when the Cutofli is completed.
10. PubIic convenience and necessity requize that both crossings be authorized. Pulic safety requires that the crossing aた Siema will be adequately protected if a grade cososing is arthozized at 10th Street East under the condirions specified in the order herein.

## Conclurion

The application for arthority to construct the Curoff across Sierta kifinway at grade should be denied. Applicant siould be authorized to construct the crossinf of Sierra $\begin{aligned} & \text { biginuay } \\ & \text { of the }\end{aligned}$ Cutofe at separated grades anc the crossing by the Cutoff of $10 \%$ in Street East at grade, subject to the restrictions contained in the order herein.

$$
\underline{0} \underline{\underline{D} \underline{E} \underline{E}}
$$

II IS ONENG that:

1. Southern Pacific Company is hereby autiozized to construct a single line of tracie at grade actoss loti strect East to be identified as Crossinf io. D-414.792-C, sưject to the conditions
set forth below. Construction at said crossing shall be equal to or superior to Standard No. 2 of General order No. 72, with grades of approacin not exceeding two per cent. Protection slazll be by two Standazd No. 3 flashing light sizmals (General Order No. 75-E), supplemented with automatic crossing gates.
2. The costs of instailing and maintaining crossing protection and the costs of inctalling and mantaining the grade crossing within lines two feet outsice the rails chall be borne by the Southern Pacific. Company.
3. Applicamt is authorized to construct a crossing of Sierra Hichway at separated grades. Said crossing shall be constructed on either the present aliznment (ifile zost li14.497) or the proposed realignont of sierra tizhway, whiciever the parties determine. Costs shall be apportioned by arreement between the Deparment of Public Works, the City of Palmiale, and the Southern Pacilic Company. If the parties are uable to afree on the location of the crossing of Sierra inighway c= the Civision of costs, e further hearigi will be beld for such detemmation on petition by any of the said parties.
4. Witivin thixty days after completion, pursuant to this oider, applicant siall so advise the Comission in writing. This arthorization siall expire if not exercised within one year, woless time be extended, of if the above conditions are not complied with.

Authorization may be revoked or modified if public convenience, necessity or safety so require.

The effective date of tins order shall be twenty days after the date hereof.

Dated at__san_buncoson, California, this 16 dey of $\qquad$ , 1505.

 necessarily absent. did not participate to the disposition of this proceeding.

