ORIGINAL

Decision No. 69946

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

TED PETERSEN and GLADYS PETERSEN, his wife; ROBERT W. MURPHY and LINDA MURPHY, his wife; JOSEPH H. FORAKER and BILLEE FORAKER, his wife; RITA BARRETT; EDWARD BELANGER; EVA MURPHY; LOIS MURPHY; EDWARD VEST and MADELINE VEST, his wife; WILLIAM RATHGEB, and DANA WARD,

Complainants,

VS.

ROBERT S. HALL, dba FOREST GLEN WATER COMPANY,

Defendant.

Case No. 7946

SUPPLEMENTAL ORDER

Ordering paragraph 3 of Decision No. 68248, dated
November 24, 1964, provided that after the filing of a request by
defendant to increase rates and a finding by this Commission in a
supplemental order that defendant has fulfilled the requirements
of ordering paragraphs 1 and 2 of said decision, defendant will be
authorized to file the schedules of increased rates set forth in
Appendix A to that order.

Decision No. 69033, dated May 11, 1965, in Application No. 47361, authorized the transfer of the water system from Robert S. Hall to John L. Pierce. By letter received October 25, 1965, John L. Pierce, doing business as Mar Vista Water Company, advised that he has complied with ordering paragraphs 1 and 2 of Decision No. 68248, and requested that he be authorized to file the schedules of increased rates.

the requirements of ordering paragraphs 1 and 2 of Decision No. 68248, and concludes that he should be authorized to file the schedules of increased rates set forth in Appendix A to that order; therefore,

IT IS ORDERED that John L. Pierce, doing business as Mar Vista Water Company, is authorized to file with this Commission. after the effective date of this order and in conformity with General Order No. 96-A, the schedules of rates attached to Decision No. 68248 as Appendix A. Such rates shall become effective for service rendered on and after the fourth day following the date of such filing.

The effective date of this order shall be twenty days after the date bereof.

Dated at San Francisco, California, this NOVEMBER ___, 1965.

Commissioners

Commissioner Peter E. Mitchell, being necessarily absent, did not participate in the disposition of this proceeding.

reduich B.