BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Application of the County of Santa Clara, State of California, for an order authorizing construction of a crossing at grade over the tracks of the Southern Pacific Company in connection with the construction of Central Expressway in Santa Clara County.

Application No. 46230 (As Amended)

ORDER

The County of Santa Clara is hereby authorized to construct Central Expressway at grade across a track of Southern Pacific Company in the City of Mountain View, Santa Clara County, at the location described in the application as amended to be identified as Crossing No. E-36.9-C.

Construction and maintenance expense shall be borne in accordance with terms of an agreement to be entered into between the County of Santa Clara and Southern Pacific Company. Should the parties fail to agree, the Commission will apportion the costs of construction and maintenance by further order.

The crossing shall be constructed substantially in the manner and in accordance with the plans set forth in Exhibit "D" in the amendment to the application with grades of approach not greater than three percent. Construction shall be equal or superior to Standard No. 2 of General Order No. 72. Protection shall be by four Standard No. 8 flashing light signals (General Order No. 75-B) with the two signals at the shoulders supplemented with automatic gate arms and the center two signals placed on the raised median strip. Back lights may be omitted on the median signals.

Within thirty days after completion pursuant to this order, applicant shall so advise the Commission in writing. This authorization shall expire if not exercised within three years unless time be extended or if above conditions are not complied with. Authorization may be revoked or modified if public convenience, necessity, or safety so require. The effective date of this order shall be twenty days after the date hereof.

Dated at ________, California, this ________, day of __NFCTMPFR_____, 1965.

Julie Blinell

Commissioners

Commissioner A. W. Gatov, being necessarily obsent, did not participate in the disposition of this proceeding.