Decision No.	70990
--------------	-------

ORIGINAL

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES: COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Investigation into the rates, rules, regulations, charges, allowances and practices of all common carriers, highway carriers and city carriers relating to the transportation of any and all commodities between and within all points and places in the State of California (including, but not limited to, transportation for which rates are provided in Minimum Rate Tariff No. 2).

Case No. 5432
Petition for Modification
No. 404
(Filed January 24, 1966)

Arlo D. Poe, C. D. Gilbert and H. F. Kollmyer,
for California Trucking Association, petitioner.
Ralph Hubbard, for California Farm Bureau
Federation; David B. Porter, for Canners League
of California; John T. Reed, for California
Manufacturers Association; D. R. Ranche, for
Standard Brands, Inc.; E. F. Westberg, for
California Retailers Association; interested
parties.
Theodore H. Peceimer and R. J. Carberry, for the
Commission staff.

OPINION

This petition was heard and submitted March 18, 1966 before Examiner Thompson at San Francisco. Copies of the petition and notice of hearing were served in accordance with the Commission's procedural rules. There are no protests.

By this petition, California Trucking Association has directed the attention of the Commission to anomalies in Minimum Rate Tariff No. 2 concerning rules and rates for split-pickup and split-delivery shipments transported between San Francisco and points in the East Bay area. The anomalies result from the fact that the Commission in 1952 established blanket class rates for transportation

of shipments subject to a minimum weight of 20,000 pounds or more between San Francisco and South San Francisco on the one hand and East Bay points on the other. (Minimum Rate Tariff No. 2, Item No. 520; see Decision No. 47847, 52 Cal.P.U.C. 164.) The blanket rates so established were, and have been, set at levels equal to the distance class rates for movements between San Francisco and San Leandro and are therefore higher than the class rates provided for shorter distances. At the same time the lower distance rates were made inapplicable to shipments of 20,000 pounds or more moving between the points involved.

In 1964 the Commission revised the rules for the application of rates to split-pickup and split-delivery shipments to provide that only distance rates may be applied to such shipments. There is an anomaly in the tariff in that there are no distance rates between San Francisco and East Bay points. This was directed to the attention of the Commission staff and the Transportation Division issued Informal Ruling No. 119 which states that the blanket rates are not applicable and that therefore the distance rates should be applied to trans-bay split-pickup and split-delivery shipments. This, however, provides another anomaly in that the application of such rates provides lower charges for split-pickup and split-delivery shipments than are applicable under the tariff for straight shipments moving between the same points.

Petitioner's suggested solution to the problem is to amend the tariff to provide that the distance rates be applicable to such shipments subject to the condition that if the distance rate determined for any such shipment is lower than the blanket rate, the latter shall apply. California Manufacturers Association is concerned in this proceeding only on the question of the staff's informal ruling. It opposes an adoption by the Commission of such ruling because of certain circumstances not connected with the rates for trans-bay shipments. It does not oppose the proposal by petitioner. For reasons which will appear later in this opinion it is not necessary to a determination of the issues presented in the petition to approve or reject the staff's informal ruling.

The facts presented by petitioner do not present the entire problem with respect to the anomalies in the tariff concerning transbay movements of split-pickup and split-delivery shipments. We take official notice of Decision No. 47718, <u>Draymens Associations of Alameda County and San Francisco</u> (1952), 52 Cal.P.U.C. 47, and of the subsequent decisions cited hereinafter, all of which have a bearing on the issues.

Draymens Associations, supra, concerned a petition to establish point to point rates for trans-bay movements of shipments subject to minimum weights of 20,000 pounds or more. The Commission found that there were conditions surrounding the movement of traffic between said points which resulted in unusually high operating costs of transporting truckload shipments. It found that the rate schedule proposed by petitioners, while not excessive per se, resulted in higher rates for shorter distances than for longer distances over the same route. It concluded that such rates would be contrary to the long- and short-haul provisions of the Constitution and of the Public Utilities Code and would be unjustly discriminatory. The Commission stated,

"Here the discrimination which would result outweighs all other considerations of record. While carriers should receive reasonable compensation for their services, they cannot be authorized to charge discriminatory rates as petitioners have proposed."

.. ;

"San Francisco-East Bay truckload and 20,000 pound less truckload traffic is surrounded by circumstances and conditions discussed at some length in Decisions Nos. 47718 of September 16, 1952, and 47847 of October 21, 1952 in this proceeding. The rates for this traffic should be adjusted to the new rate scales for from 35 to 40 miles—' in order to give proper recognition to the costs and other transportation considerations prevailing for this traffic. Otherwise, reductions which the costs of record indicate would be unwarranted would result. The moderate further increases involved are well supported by the rate-making considerations of record."

From 1952 until 1964, trans-bay split-delivery shipments and split-pickup shipments were subject to the rates in Item No. 520 and the additional charges provided in Items Nos. 170 and 160.

On December 10, 1963, in re Minimum Rate Tariff No. 2

(Decision No. 66453), 62 Cal.P.U.C. 14, the Commission revised the rules for the application of rates to split-pickup and split-delivery shipments. Petitioner therein (California Trucking Association) proposed cancellation of the use of all point to point rates for split-pickup and split-delivery shipments and the substitution therefor of the use of distance rates computed along a route passing through all points of origin and destination. This proposal also included the adding of a mileage increment when two or more points are located in the same city or mileage zone. With a modification the Commission adopted that proposal stating:

"The record is clear that the additional charges for component parts of split-pickup and split-delivery shipments do not include any factor for extra distance traveled, and that the use of point to point rates produces many instances where the charges will not cover the cost of performing the service. It is clear that computation of mileages through all points of origin and destination will

^{1/} In 1953, and thereafter until 1964, the constructive mileages from San Francisco to San Leandro was 37 miles and from South San Francisco to San Leandro was 39 miles.

result in a more reasonable basis of charges. The <u>/Examiner's/</u> recommendation as to the use of two miles was made to provide a more reasonable and easier applicable basis than actual miles within a zone or city."

Except in the case of the trans-bay rates in Item No. 520 the point to point rates are lower than the distance rates and, on straight shipments, are applied alternately with the distance rates. The trans-bay rates at the time Decision No. 66453 was issued were as high as, or higher than, any of the class rates for distances between any of the points covered by Item No. 520. Furthermore, the distance rates specifically had been made inapplicable to transportation for which rates were provided in Item No. 520. The order in said decision did eliminate a note in Item No. 520 which had provided that in computing the charges on split-pickup or split-delivery shipments all points named therein in the East Bay were to be considered as one territory and San Francisco and South San Francisco were to be considered one territory.

A further complicating factor arose when the Commission issued Decision No. 67531 on July 14, 1964, 63 Cal.P.U.C. 124, adopting Distance Table No. 5 to govern Minimum Rate Tariff No. 2, effective November 1, 1964. That decision changed the entire structure of distance rates in the tariff. As a part of that order, Item No. 520 was amended to provide that the trans-bay rates are applicable to shipments subject to a minimum weight of 20,000 pounds or more

^{2/} Item No. 190 of Minimum Rate Tariff No. 2.

transported between the San Francisco Metropolitan Zone Group, on the one hand, and the East Bay Metropolitan Zone Group, on the other hand. The rates themselves were maintained at the distance class rate levels for over 35 but not over 40 miles. The effect of the decision was to expand the territory to which the rates were applicable.

The foregoing relates the manner in which the provisions of Minimum Rate Tariff No. 2 concerning trans-bay rates have been modified since 1952. The findings and conclusions of the Commission in those proceedings do not disclose any intention to prescribe rates on split-pickup or split-delivery shipments lower than those for straight shipments. The establishment of provisions in the minimum rate tariff which could be construed to permit such result was unintended and inadvertent.

The evidence discloses the following facts.

- 1. At least since the issuance of Informal Ruling No. 119 by the Transportation Division it is, and has been, the practice of some carriers, including highway common carriers, to charge and assess the distance rates named in Item No. 505 for transportation of split-pickup and split-delivery shipments between points named in Item No. 520.
- 2. In many instances the rates and charges so assessed are and have been lower than the rates in Item No. 520 for transportation of straight shipments between the same mileage basing point areas.
- 3. In some instances shippers have changed their shipping practices in order to avail themselves of the lower rates and charges resulting from the application of the distance rates in Item No. 505.

^{3/} The San Francisco Metropolitan Zone Group includes points in the area extending from the Golden Gate to the southerly limits of the City of Burlingame. The East Bay Metropolitan Zone Group extends almost to the northerly limits of the City of Hayward.

We take official notice of one additional fact, already noted in <u>Certification of Certain Carriers</u>, "San Francisco-East Bay <u>Cartage Zone"</u> (December 14, 1954), 53 Cal.P.U.C. 696, and borne out by the establishment of the metropolitan zones in Distance Table No. 5 made applicable to Minimum Rate Tariff No. 2 in Decision No. 67531, supra; that is that the trend of industrial growth of the area involved has been southward from San Francisco on the Peninsula and outward from Oakland in the East Bay.

After consideration of the entire record, we find that:

- 1. Rates for the transportation of split-pickup or split-delivery shipments between points in the San Francisco Metropolitan Zone Group, on the one hand, and points in the East Bay Metropolitan Zone Group, on the other hand, which are lower than the rates named in Item No. 520 are, and for the future will be, unreasonable, unjust, and unduly discriminatory.
- 2. Distance rates (computed in accordance with the provisions of Items Nos. 160 and 170) no lower than the rates named in Item No. 520 for transportation of split-pickup and split-delivery shipments, respectively, between points in the San Francisco Metropolitan Zone Group, on the one hand, and points in the East Bay Metropolitan Zone Group, on the other hand, are, and for the future will be, the lowest of the lawful rates to be charged by highway carriers for such transportation, and are, and for the future will be, the just, reasonable and nondiscriminatory minimum rates for such transportation.
- 3. Increases in rates resulting from the establishment of said minimum rates are justified.

We conclude that Minimum Rate Tariff No. 2 should be amended in accordance with the foregoing findings and as set forth in the order that follows. We further conclude that although the

record discloses what may appear to be other discrepancies among the provisions of the tariff concerning the transportation of shipments between the points involved herein, the issues in the petition do not cover those matters nor would the record herein support any findings or conclusions respecting them.

ORDER

IT IS ORDERED that:

- 1. Minimum Rate Tariff No. 2 (Appendix D of Decision No. 31606, as amended) is further amended by incorporating therein, to become effective August 27, 1966, the revised pages attached hereto and listed in Appendix A, also attached hereto.
- 2. Tariff publications required or authorized to be made by common carriers as a result of the order herein may be made effective not earlier than the tenth day after the effective date of this order, on not less than ten days' notice to the Commission and to the public such tariff publications as are required shall be made effective not later than August 27, 1966; as to tariff publications which are authorized but not required, the authority herein granted shall expire unless exercised within sixty days after the effective date hereof.
- 3. Common carriers, in establishing and maintaining the rates authorized hereinabove, are hereby authorized to depart from the provisions of Section 460 of the Public Utilities Code to the extent necessary to adjust long- and short-haul departures now maintained under outstanding authorizations; such outstanding authorizations are hereby modified only to the extent necessary to comply with this order; schedules containing the rates published under this authority

shall make reference to the prior orders authorizing long- and short-haul departures and to this order.

4. In all other respects said Decision No. 31606, as amended, shall remain in full force and effect.

The effective date of this order shall be twenty days after the date hereof.

		· ·	ed at _	San Francisco	, Californi	a, thi	s 190	<u> </u>
day	of		HULY	, 1966.	1 :			· .

Leong J. Trover

Friling & filling

Murgger

Milliams In Benning

Commissioners

Commissioner Peter E. Mitchell, being necessarily absent, did not participate in the disposition of this proceeding.

APPENDIX A TO DECISION NO. 70990

List of Revised Pages to Minimum Rate Tariff No. 2

Authorized by Said Decision

Twenty-second Revised Page 20-A
Second Revised Page 20-B
Third Revised Page 20-C
Twenty-eighth Revised Page 21
Twenty-third Revised Page 42
Fifteenth Revised Page 44-B

(END OF APPENDIX A LIST)

The rate for the transportation of a split pickup shipment shall be determined and applied as follows, subject to Note 1 in Item No. 161:

(Items Nos. 160 and 161)

∅(a) Subject to the alternative provided in paragraph (e) of Item
No. 161, distance rates shall be determined by the distance
to point of destination from that point of origin which produces the shortest distance via the other point or points of
origin. (See Exceptions 1, 2 *and 3)

EXCEPTION 1.—Add to the distance determined under the provisions of paragraph (a) above, 2 constructive miles for each point in excess of one located within:

(a) a single metropolitan zone, or

(b) a single incorporated city, including the extended area thereof, but not within a metropolitan zone, or

(c) a single unincorporated community, including the extended area thereof, but not within a metropolitan zone, designated in the Distance Table as a red point, black point or numbered junction.

EXCEPTION 2.—In the event that a shipment has origin and destination points within and without a mileage territory, and any of such points are located within a metropolitan zone, the shortest distance shall be computed subject to the following provisions:

(a) Between a point within a metropolitan zone and a point not within the same metropolitan zone group but within the Related Mileage Territory, use for constructive mileage determination for the point within the metropolitan zone, the mileage basing points for the applicable metropolitan zone groups.

(b) Between two or more metropolitan zones within the same metropolitan zone group, use for constructive mileage determination the mileage basing points for the individual metropolitan zones.

*EXCEPTION 3.—On split pickup shipments subject to a rate based on a minimum weight of 20,000 pounds or more and transported between points in the San Francisco Metropolitan Zone Group, on the one hand, and points in the East Bay Metropolitan Zone Group, on the other hand, the rate shall be no less than the rate set forth in Item No. 520.

(b) The carrier shall not transport a split pickup shipment unless prior to or at the time of the initial pickup, written information has been received from the consignor showing the name of the consignor, the points of origin and the kind and quantity of property in each component part of such shipment. Preparation by the shipper of the required single split pickup document referred to in paragraph (c) of this item, for execution by the shipper and carrier prior to or at the time of initial pickup, will constitute compliance with this paragraph.

ø160

- (c) At the time of or prior to the initial pickup, the carrier shall issue to the consignor a single split pickup document. It shall show the name of the consignor, points of origin, date of pickup, name of the consignee, point of destination and the kind and quantity of property of the entire shipment. In addition, a shipping document (see Item No. 255) shall be issued by the carrier to the consignor for each component part of the split pickup shipment (including the initial pickup) which shall give reference to the single split pickup document covering the entire shipment, by showing its date and number (if assigned a number), the name of the consignor, and such other information as may be necessary to clearly identify the single split pickup document.
- (d) If split delivery is performed on a split pickup shipment or a component part thereof, or if written information does not conform with the requirements of a paragraph (b) hereof, or if all of the component parts are not received by the carrier within a period of two calendar days, each component part of the split pickup shipment shall be rated as a separate shipment under other provisions of this tariff, except that those component parts which do conform with the requirements of this item shall constitute a separate split pickup shipment or shipments.

(Continued in Item No. 161)

(1) Paragraph (e) transferred from this page to Second Revised Page 20-B.

EFFECTIVE AUGUST 27, 1966

Issued by the Public Utilities Commission of the State of California, San Francisco, California. Correction No. 1759

Component Part

Over

.100 250 500

(Pounds)

But Not

Over

100.....

1,000.....

250...... 255 500..... 275

First	Revised Page 20-	-B	MINIMUM RATE TARIFF NO. 2
Item No.	SECTION NO.	1 - RULES AND REGU APPLICATION (C	LATIONS OF CENERAL ontinuod)
		FICKUP (Concluded) Nos. 160 and 161)	
	or points of origing the split pickup reprovided that the varrier under paragrants to be treated between which the state of accordance with the however, where two rates provided in the same point on the same point on the same components sha	r be rated as separ of of such component oute (as provided in written instructions graph (b) hereof shi is as separate shipment reges provided in No the split pickup so provisions of thi or more component whis tariff as sepa split pickup route, all be considered as r shall be at the considered as	ate shipments from point parts to any point on n paragraph (a) hereof); s furnished to the ow (l) the component ents and (2) the points ates are to be applied. te l shall apply to all
ø161	1. For split pickup shipmer	all be assessed for its transported und a accordance with p	split pickup service:
		PATO MERCOL	
	Weight of Split P.	ickup Weight	of Split Pickup
1	Component Part Char (Pounds) for	rge Component Each (Pounds	Part Charge (s) for Each
1	But Not Compos		Not Component
	Over Over Part in		Wer Part in Cents
	0 100 18 100 250 21 250 500 22 500 1,000 25 2. For split pickup shipmen	5 2,000 4, 5 4,000 10, 0 10,000 ts, except as provi	
	Weight of Split P. Component Part Char		
	· CADILLADORETTI PATTI (189)	rom (Jana Ayer, V	va mr. (IB 9 12 (*)

Charge

Part in Cents Over

for Each

Component

210

380

Component Part (Pounds)

1,000 2,000 4,000 10,000

But Not

Over

2,000..... 4,000 -----10,000 -----

Charge

for Each

Component

Part in Cents

(1) Paragraph (e) transferred from Twenty-first Revised Page 20-A.

ρ Change, Decision No. 70990

EFFECTIVE AUGUST 27, 1966

Issued by the Public Utilities Commission of the State of California,

Correction No. 1760

San Francisco, California.

(1)Third Revised Page 20-C Cancels Second Revised Page 20-C

MINIMUM RATE TARIFF NO. 2

Item No.

SECTION NO. 1--RULES AND REGULATIONS OF GENERAL APPLICATION (Continued)

SPLIT DELIVERY (Items Nos. 170 and 171)

The rate for the transportation of a split delivery shipment shall be determined and applied as follows, subject to Noto 1 in Item No. 171:

Subject to the alternative provided in paragraph
 (e) of Item No. 171, distance rates shall be determined by the distance from point of origin to that point of destination which produces the shortest distance via the other point or points of destination. (See Exceptions 1, 2 #and 3)

EXCEPTION 1.--Add to the distance determined under the provisions of paragraph (a) above, 2 constructive miles for each point in excess of one located within:

- (a) a single metropolitan zone, or
- (b) a single incorporated city, including the extended area thereof, but not within a metropolitan zone, or
- (c) a single unincorporated community, including the extended area thereof, but not within a metropolitan zone, designated in the Distance Table as a red point, black point or numbered junction.

EXCEPTION 2.--In the event that a shipment has origin and destination points within and without a mileage territory, and any such points are located within a metropolitan zone, the shortest distance shall be computed subject to the following provisions:

- (a) Between a point within a metropolitan zone and a point not within the same metropolitan zone group but within the Related Mileage Territory, use for constructive mileage determination for the point within the metropolitan zone, the mileage basing points for the applicable metropolitan zone group.
- (b) Between two or more metropolitan zones within the same metropolitan zone group, use for constructive mileage determination the mileage basing points for the individual metropolitan zones.

*EXCEPTION 3.--On split delivery shipments subject to a rate based on a minimum weight of 20,000 pounds or more and transported between points in the San Francisco Metropolitan Zone Group, on the one hand, and points in the East Bay Metropolitan Zone Group, on the other hand, the rate shall be no less than the rate set forth in Item No. 520.

ø 170

- (b) The carrier shall not transport a split delivery shipment unless at the time of or prior to the pickup of the shipment, written information has been received from the consignor showing the name of each consignee, point or points of destination, and the kind and quantity of property in each component part of such shipment. Preparation by the shipper of the required single split delivery bill of lading or comparable document referred to in paragraph (c) of this item, for execution by the shipper and carrier prior to or at the time of the pickup, will constitute compliance with this paragraph.
- (c) At the time of or prior to the pickup of the shipment, the carrier shall issue to the consignor a single split delivery bill of lading or comparable shipping order for the entire shipment. It shall show the name of the consignor, point of origin, date of pickup, name of each consignee, point or points of destination, and the kind and quantity of property in each component part of such shipment, or, the single split delivery bill of lading or comparable shipping order shall refer to specifically designated documents attached thereto and forming a part thereof which show the component part delivery information.
- (d) If split pickup is performed on a split delivery shipment or if written information does not conform with the requirements of paragraph (b) hereof, or if all of the shipment is not received at the carrier's established depot or picked up by carrier during one calendar day (see exception in multiple lot shipment), each component part of the split delivery shipment shall be rated as a separate shipment under other provisions of this tariff.

(Continued in Item No. 171)

(1) Paragraph (e) transferred from this page to Twenty-eighth Revised Page 21.

Decision No.

70990

EFFECTIVE AUGUST 27, 1966

Company of State of the State of State

Issued by the Public Utilities Commission of the State of California,
San Francisco, California.
Correction No. 1761

		·
Item No.	SECTION NO. 1—RULES AND RE APPLICATION	
	SPLIT DELIVERY (Items Nos. 170	
\$171	parts may be rates as separat on the split delivery route (to point or points of destina vided that the written instru paragraph (b) hereof show (l) separate shipments and (2) th shipment rates are to be appl in Note l shall apply to all shipment rated in accordance provided, however, where two c rates provided in this tariff point on the split delivery a nent parts shall be considered therefor shall be at the comb parts. NOTE l.—In addition to the rate for tional charges shall be asset 1. For split delivery shipments to the distance computed in accord	essed for split delivery service: ransported under distance rates, when lance with paragraph (a) hereof does
	weight of Split Delivery Component Part Charge (Pounds) for Each But Not Component Over Over Part in Cents	•
	0 100 185 100 250 215 250 500 225 500 1,000 250 1,000 2,000 330	2,000 4,000 425 4,000 10,000 500 10,000 565
: 1	2. For split delivery shipments,	except as provided in paragraph 1:
	Weight of Split Delivery Component Part Charge (Pounds) for Each But Not Component	Component Part Charge (Pounds) for Each But Not Component
1	Over Over Part in Cents	Over Over Part in Cents
	0 100 210 100 250 255 250 500 275 500 1,000 380 1,000 2,000 565	2,000 4,000 700 4,000 10,000 835 10,000 965
	\$ 99	

- (1) Paragraph (e) transferred from Second Revised Page 2Q-C.
- \$\phi\$ Change, Decision No. 70990

EFFECTIVE AUGUST 27, 1966

Issued by the Public Utilities Commission of the State of California, San Francisco, California. Correction No. 1762

MINIMUM RATE TARIFF NO. 2

Item No.	SECTION NO. 2						CLASS RATES (Continued) In Cents Per 100 Pounds									
						Alterept as provided in Items Nos. 160 and 170, rates shown below will not apply to transportation for which rates are provided in Item No. 520										
	M	ILES	Minimum Weight 10,000 Pounds except as pro- vided in Note 1			20,000 Pounds			Minimum Weight as provided in the Governing Classifi- cation, Exception Ratings Tariff or this terriff, sub- ject to Item No. 290 (See Note 3)							
	Over	But Not Over	1	2	3	4	ı	2	3	4	5	A	В	C	מ	E
	03525	3 5 10 15 20	56 58 60 61 63	50 52 54 55 56	46 47 48 49 50	39 44 43 43	33 35 36 38 39	30 32 33 34 35	26 28 29 30 31	23 25 26 27 28	17½ 18½ 20 21 22	19½ 20½ 21½ 22½ 23½	16½ 17½ 18½ 19½ 21	15 16 17 18 19	14½ 15½ 16½ 17 17½	13 14 14 15 15
\$ 505	20 25 30 35 40	25 30 35 40 45	64 65 66 68 69	57 58 59 61 62	51 52 53 54 55	45 45 45 47 48	47345	36 37 39 40 41	32 33 34 35 36	29 30 31 32 33	23 24 25 26 28	24½ 26 28 29 30	22 23 24 24 25	20 20 21 21 22 22 22 22	18, 18, 19 20 21	16 16½ 17 17½ 18
	45 50 60 70 80	50 60 70 80 90	73778	64 66 67 69 72	56 58 60 64	50 51 52 54 56	47 49 51 54 56	42 44 46 48 50	37 39 41 43 45	34 35 37 38 39	29 31 32 33 34	31 32 34 35 36	26 27 28 30 31	23½ 24½ 26 27 29	22 23 24 25 26	19 20 21 23
	130 170 170 170	170 130 170 100	82 85 87 99 92	74 76 78 81 83	66 68 70 72 74	57 59 61 63 64	58 60 63 65 68	52 54 57 59 61	46 48 50 52 54	43 44 46 48	35 37 38 40 41	FF1758	32 34 35 37 39	30 31 32 33 34	27 26 29 30 31	24. 25 26 27 28
6.	140 150 160 170 180	150 160 170 180 190	95 97 100 103 106	85 87 90 93 95	76 78 88 84	66 68 70 72 74	70 73 75 78 80	63 66 68 70 72	56 58 60 62 64	49 51 53 55 56	43 44 45 46 48	46 47 49 51 52	40 43 45	35 36 38 39 40	32 33 34 35 37	29 30 31 32 33
	190 200 220 240 260	200 220 240 260 280	117	98 100 102 105 109	87 89 92 94 97	76 78 80 82 85	83 85 88 92 96	75 77 80 83 86	66 68 71 74 76	58 60 62 64 67	49 52 54 57 61	53. 55 57 60 62	46 48 49 51 53	41 43 44 46 48	38 39 40 42 44	34 35 36 37 39

NOTE 1.-When applied in connection with truckload ratings, minimum weight will be as provided in the Governing Classification, Exception Ratings Tariff or in this tariff, subject to Item No. 290.

NOTE 2.-When applied in connection with truckload ratings, minimum weight will be as provided in the Governing Classification, Exception Hatings Tariff or in this tariff (subject to Item No. 290) but in no event less than 20,000 pounds.

NOTE 3.-Subject to the provisions of Item No. 292 for volume incentive service.

\$ Change, Decision No. 70990

EFFECTIVE AUGUST 27, 1966

Issued by the Public Utilities Commission of the State of California, San Francisco, California. Fifteenth-Revised Page 44-B Cancels
Fourteenth Revised Page 44-B

MINIMUM RATE TARIFF NO. 2

Item No.	SECTION NO. 2	CLASS RATES (Concluded) In Cents Per 100 Pounds						
	Rates in this item apply only to shipments having point of origin in the San Francisco Metropolitan Zone Group and point of destination in the East Bay Metropolitan Zone Group and to shipments having point of origin in the East Bay Metropolitan Zone Group and point of destination in the San Francisco Metropolitan Zone Group. *(See Note 3)							
\$520 <u>.</u>	Minimum Weight 20,000 Pounds except as pro- vided in Note 1	Minimum Weight as pro- vided in Governing Classi- fication, Exception Ratings Tariff or this tariff, subject to Item No. 290 (See Note 2)						
	1 2 3 4	5 A B C D E						
	iн 40 35 32	26 29 24章 22 20 17章						
	ratings, minimum weight v Governing Classification.	in connection with truckload vill be as provided in the Exception Ratings Tariff or to Item No. 290) but in no event						
	NOTE 2Subject to a for volume incentive serv	the provisions of Item No. 292 vice.						
	*NOTE 3Except as p rates are not applicable ery shipments.	rovided in Items Nos. 160 and 170, to split pickup or split deliv-						
	Change) Addition) Decision No.	70990						
		EFFECTIVE AUGUST 27, 1966						
į (by the Public Utilities Corection No. 1764	mission of the State of California, San Francisco, California.						