

Decision No.

71225**ORIGINAL**

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Application of)
 SOUTHERN PACIFIC COMPANY for an order)
 authorizing construction at grade of)
 a drill track across Artesia Boule-) Application No. 48641
 vard, in the City of La Mirada, County)
 of Los Angeles, State of California.)

O R D E R

The Southern Pacific Company is hereby authorized to construct a drill track at grade across Artesia Boulevard (State Route 91) in the City of La Mirada, Los Angeles County, at the location described in the application, to be identified as Crossing No. BK-503.32-C.

Applicant shall bear entire construction and maintenance expense.

Construction of said crossing shall be equal or superior to Standard No. 2 of General Order No. 72, without superelevation and of a width of 100 feet, with tops of rails flush with the roadway and with grades of approach not exceeding one percent in accordance with plan attached to the application. Protection shall be by four Standard No. 8 flashing light signals (General Order No. 75-B), supplemented with automatic crossing gates. Backlights may be omitted from the signals on the medians. Trains shall not be operated over the crossing until such protection is placed in service.

Within thirty days after completion, pursuant to this order, applicant shall so advise the Commission in writing. This

authorization shall expire if not exercised within one year unless time be extended or if above conditions are not complied with. Authorization may be revoked or modified if public convenience, necessity or safety so require. Applicant alleges that the crossing is needed to provide immediate service to a warehouse.

The effective date of this order shall be the date hereof.

Dated at San Francisco, California, this 30th day of AUGUST, 1966.

[Signature]
President
George G. Hoover
[Signature]

Commissioners

Commissioner Frederick B. Holoboff, being necessarily absent, did not participate in the disposition of this proceeding.

Commissioner William M. Bennett, being necessarily absent, did not participate in the disposition of this proceeding.