

ORIGINALDecision No. 71226

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Application of the City of Salinas,)
 a municipal corporation of the State)
 of California to widen an existing)
 grade separation structure over the)
 Southern Pacific Company railroad)
 tracks at Sanborn Road in the City)
 of Salinas, State of California.)
 (P.U.C. Crossing No. E-120.2A).)

Application No. 48664

O R D E R

City of Salinas is hereby authorized to reconstruct Sanborn Road at separated grades over the tracks of Southern Pacific Company, in Salinas, Monterey County, at the location and substantially as shown by plan (Exhibit B) attached to the application, identified as Crossing No. E-120.2-A. Construction and maintenance expense shall be borne in accordance with an agreement to be entered into between the parties relative thereto, and a copy of said agreement, together with plans of said crossing approved by Southern Pacific Company, shall be filed with the Commission within 180 days from the date hereof. Should the parties fail to agree, the Commission will apportion the cost of construction and maintenance by further order. Clearances shall conform to the provisions of General Order No. 26-D.

This project was assigned Priority No. 13 in the Commission's Decision No. 70134 in Case No. 8244, dated December 21, 1965, which established the 1966 grade separation priority list pursuant to Section 189 of the Streets and Highways Code.

Within thirty days after completion pursuant to this order applicant shall so advise the Commission in writing. This

authorization shall expire if not exercised within three years, unless time be extended or if conditions are not complied with. The effective date of this order shall be twenty days after the date hereof.

Dated at San Francisco, California, this 30th day of AUGUST, 1966.

George F. Hoover
President
August

Commissioners

Commissioner Frederick B. Holoboff, being necessarily absent, did not participate in the disposition of this proceeding.

Commissioner William M. Bennett, being necessarily absent, did not participate in the disposition of this proceeding.