BR /bjb

Decision No._____

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Case No. 8380

ORIGINAL

SONIA MCFARLAND,

Complainant,

vs.

CALIFORNIA WATER & TELEPHONE COMPANY, a corporation,

Defendant.

Dan O'Neill, for complainant. Paul A. Raymond, for defendant.

$\underline{O P I N I O N}$

Complainant seeks restoration of telephone service at 15511 Chatsworth Street, Granada Hills, California. Interim restoration was ordered pending further order (Decision No. 70486, dated March 29, 1966).

Defendant's answer alleges that on or about March 15, 1966, it had reasonable cause to believe that service to Sonia McFarland, under number 365-8853, was being or was to be used as an instrumentality directly or indirectly to violate or aid and abet violation of law, and therefore defendant was required to disconnect service pursuant to the decision in <u>Re Telephone</u> Disconnection, 47 Cal. P.U.C. 853.

-1-

C. 3380 - BR* /hjh *

The matter was beard and submitted before Examiner DeWolf at Los Angeles on July 14, 1966.

By letter of March 15, 1966, the Chief of Police of the City of Los Angeles advised defendant that the telephone under number 365-8853 was being used to disseminate horse-racing information used in connection with bookmaking in violation of Penal Code Section 337a, and requested disconnection (Exhibits 1 and 2). Defendant notified the subscriber of disconnection (Exhibit 3).

Complainant testified that she is the sole support of her four children and works at a doughnut shop from midnight to 10:00 a.m., and also has other work; that telephone service is needed for her to care for her family and keep her job; that she was acquitted of all charges of unlawful use of the telephone, and that she did not and will not use the telephone for any unlawful purpose.

There was no appearance by or testimony from any law enforcement agency.

We find that defendant's action was based upon reasonable cause, and the evidence fails to show that the telephone was used for any illegal purpose. Compleinant is entitled to restoration of service.

$\underline{O \ R \ D \ E \ R}$

IT IS ORDERED that Decision No. 70486, dated March 29, 1966, temporarily restoring service to complainant, is made C. 8380 - BR

permanent, subject to defendant's tariff provisions and existing applicable law.

The effective date of this order shall be twenty days after the date hereof.

Dated at San Francisco, California, this 1966 SEPTEMBER day of_

.২.

Commissioners

Commissioner Frederick B. Holeboff. boing necessarily absent. did not participate in the disposition of this proceeding.