

Decision No. 71264**ORIGINAL**

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Application of the County Water Company, a California Corporation, for authority to increase its rates for water service and to issue stock.

Application No. 47886
(Filed September 8, 1965)

John A. Erickson, for applicant.
Ruth Brown; Grace Bazaure; Peter Dekker;
Maurine M. Guthrie; Charles W. Hegelheimer;
Mrs. Bonnie Hoffman; Laura M. James;
Jack Lass; Jack A. Marks; Frank A. Morrell;
John A. Mechikoff; Cecil Oldham; Harold K. Penrose, for Ely Tract and City of Artesia;
H. O. Jack Armstrong, for Norwalk College Estates Home Owners; Mrs. Lloyd J. Brower, for Bellflower Water Consumers; Betty J. Bjerke, for College Estates Homeowners Association; Bernice Chapman, for Bellflower consumers; Gordon E. Dunn, Jr., for College Estates Homeowners Association; Lee W. Minikus, for College Estates Homeowners; Ramona Miller, for Eric Street; Boyd Onstott; Mrs. Loula Wright, for Ely Tract; Paul M. Williams; John E. Wakehouse; protestants.
Charles L. Stuart, for Southern California Water Co., and Alexander Googooian, for the City of Bellflower; interested parties.
E. C. Crawford and Chester O. Newman, for the Commission staff.

INTERIM OPINION

Public hearings on the application were held before Examiner Rogers in Norwalk, California, on April 6, 7, and 26, 1966, and the matter was submitted. Prior to the first day of hearing a notice thereof was published and mailed to consumers as required by this Commission. Approximately 25 persons appeared at the hearings on behalf of themselves or groups of consumers protesting the proposed rate increase on the grounds that the water and/or the service

furnished by applicant are so inferior that no rate increase is justified until the improvements heretofore ordered by this Commission have been effected.

Applicant has three service areas known as the BERLU, the SUBURBAN and the COUNTY. They are separate and independent systems and will be referred to herein by name.

Applicant requests authority to increase rates initially in its COUNTY and SUBURBAN areas. It also requests an additional increase in all three areas of \$1.00 per connection per month. It refers to this as a "supernumerary" increase. This increase of \$1.00 per connection per month is to offset the additional cost of water which will become effective October 1, 1966, as a result of a court adjudication of water rights in the Central Basin of Los Angeles County in which Basin the three service areas are located. In addition, applicant requests authority to issue stock in exchange for funds with which to pay existing refund agreement obligations.

The rates proposed by the applicant would initially result in an increase in revenues of approximately \$20,180 or 17 percent over present rates. The additional revenues sought by the supernumerary increase are to reimburse the applicant for the increased cost of water pumped from the Central Basin and would result in additional revenues of \$25,240 or 18 percent over the initial increase in rates.

THE COMPANY

Applicant is composed of three nonconnected water systems as follows:

BERLU SYSTEM

As of December 31, 1965, there were 466 customers in this area, all of which were metered. This system serves a portion of the City of Bellflower and is supplied by four wells pumping into three hydropneumatic tanks. Two of the tanks hold 3,000 gallons each and the

third holds 7,000 gallons. The wells have total capacity of approximately 975 gallons per minute.

SUBURBAN SYSTEM

As of December 31, 1965, there were 268 customers in this area, all of which were metered. This area is within the City of Artesia. The system includes two wells, two 1,000-gallon pressure tanks, and a 25,000-gallon storage tank. The water supply is augmented by water purchased from the Southern California Water Company. Excluding a recently installed asbestos-cement water main on Hibbing Avenue, a 4-inch steel pipe in the rear of lots facing Norwalk Boulevard and a short length of steel piping north of Hibbing Avenue, the distribution system consists entirely of 2-inch steel pipes.

COUNTY SYSTEM

As of December 31, 1965, there were 1,357 customers in this area, of which 369 were unmetered. This system services a portion of the Cities of Norwalk and Artesia, and is supplied by four wells with a total pumping capacity of 1,800 gallons per minute. To supplement the supply of water, applicant purchased over 7,000,000 cubic feet of water from Park Water Company in 1964. Water from the wells, except for one well known as the Clarkdale Well, is pumped directly into the distribution system through pressure tanks. Water from the Clarkdale Well is pumped into an 84,000-gallon storage tank and then is boosted into the distribution system.

The system consists primarily of two separate areas which are interconnected by an 8-inch asbestos-cement pipeline.

The portion west of Pioneer Boulevard in the City of Norwalk has a distribution system which meets the requirements of General Order No. 103.

The portion east of Pioneer Boulevard has had considerable renovation in the past five years including the installation of some 4-inch and some 6-inch asbestos-cement mains in place of inadequate 2-inch mains.

SERVICE

During the past three years there have been 28 informal complaints filed with the Commission against the applicant. These complaints pertained to low water pressure, poor water quality, improper billing, periods of no water, and lack of notice to consumers when water was shut off and system repaired. These complaints have come from customers in all of the areas. These same complaints were expressed by customers who appeared at the hearings.

Case No. 6412 was a Commission investigation into the operations, service, and practices of applicant, and into the adequacies of its finances, water supply, and facilities. Applicant was ordered in Decision No. 60754, dated September 13, 1960, in said Case to replace several hundred feet of 2-inch mains on different streets in the area bounded by Pioneer Boulevard, Alondra Avenue, Elaine Avenue, and 166th Street. This work was completed in 1964. Applicant was also ordered to develop a well and equip it with a pump having a capacity of at least 400 gallons per minute. It has completed two wells in the County area since the said decision, but complaints are still received by the Commission of low water pressure during the summer months.

The staff made an investigation in December, 1965, and January, 1966. At the times of the investigation, pressures ranged from 52 psi to 76 psi in the Berlu Area, 52 psi to 68 psi in the County Area, and 60 psi to 70 psi in the Suburban Area.

In the past year applicant has replaced several hundred feet of inadequate sized mains and has increased its water supply in all of its systems by either drilling new wells or acquiring purchased water. However, one well, known as the Eric Street Well, has not been in operation since January, 1966, at the request of the State Department of Public Health. The department has requested applicant to treat this water to control the high manganese content which stains laundry and sinks, and appears as a dark brown color. Applicant's president states that as soon as he can obtain the necessary capital, the treatment facilities will be installed.

ACCOUNTS

The accounting records and procedures of applicant were examined by financial examiners of the Finance and Accounts Division of the staff for the years 1963 and 1964, and for the 9 months ending September 30, 1965, and were found not to comply with the requirements of the Uniform System of Accounts for Class A, Class B, and Class C Water Utilities as prescribed by the Commission.

Applicant does not maintain a work order system to properly account for plant additions and retirements, and administrative and general expense overheads have not been capitalized as a component of the cost of plant construction.

No plant ledger or other means of providing analysis of utility plant by amount and location is maintained. Applicant maintains no records providing a separation of plant or operating expense accounts according to its three operating districts.

RATES PRESENT AND PROPOSED

Applicant proposes to increase the general meter rate and the flat rate in the Suburban and County Areas at the present time and to increase the rates in all three areas on October 1, 1966 by the addition of a charge of \$1.00 per customer per month by a

"supernumerary" rate to offset the increased cost, as of that date, of pumped water.

The first increase would affect the Suburban customers by raising the average meter bill from \$2.86 to \$4.94 (73%) and increase the County customers' average meter bill from \$4.88 to \$5.75 (18%). The \$1.00 per month supernumerary to be added in October 1, 1966 would further increase the average Suburban bill of \$4.94 by 20%, the average County bill of \$5.75 by 17%, and the average Berlu bill of \$4.59 by 22%.

Applicant's proposed increase in the flat rate would result in a 133% increase for the Suburban Area customers and 8% for the County Area customers.

A comparison of the present rates with applicant's proposed rates and the proposed supernumerary rate^{1/} is as follows:

^{1/} Supernumerary rate is the total rate resulting from the addition of the supernumerary charge to applicant's proposed rates in the Suburban and County Areas, and by addition of the supernumerary charge to the present rates in the Berlu Area.

Comparisons of Present and Proposed Rates

	County	Sub-urban	County	Suburban	County and Suburban	Super-
	Berlu	County	urban	Suburban	County and Suburban	Super-
	Present	Super-	Present	Present	Proposed	Super-
	Rate	numerary	Rate	Rate	Rates	numerary

Quantity Rates

First	600 cf or less	\$ 2.25	\$ 3.25	\$ -	\$ 1.50	\$ 2.90	\$ 3.90
First	700 cf or less	-	-	2.50	-	-	-
Next	1,900 cf/100 cf	.30	.30	-	-	-	-
Next	2,000 cf/100 cf	-	-	.28	-	-	-
Next	2,400 cf/100 cf	-	-	-	.20	.30	.30
Next	2,000 cf/100 cf	-	-	.24	.15	-	-
Next	2,500 cf/100 cf	-	-	-	-	.25	.25
Next	5,000 cf/100 cf	.25	.25	-	-	-	-
Next	2,300 cf/100 cf	-	-	.18	-	-	-
Over	5,000 cf/100 cf	-	-	-	.07	-	-
Over	5,500 cf/100 cf	-	-	-	-	.20	.20
Over	7,000 cf/100 cf	-	-	.11	-	-	-
Over	7,500 cf/100 cf	.15	.15	-	-	-	-

Minimum Charges

For 5/8 x 3/4-inch meter	2.25	3.25	2.50	1.50	2.90	3.90
For 3/4-inch meter	3.00	4.00	3.25	2.00	3.50	4.50
For 1-inch meter	4.50	5.50	5.00	3.00	5.00	6.00
For 1 1/4-inch meter	-	-	7.00	-	-	-
For 1 1/2-inch meter	7.50	8.50	9.00	5.00	8.00	9.00
For 2-inch meter	11.00	12.00	12.00	8.00	13.00	14.00
For 3-inch meter	-	-	18.00	15.00	20.00	21.00
For 4-inch meter	-	-	27.00	-	30.00	31.00

Flat Rate Service

Residential Flat Rate Service	2.75	3.75	3.25	1.50	3.50	4.50
-------------------------------	------	------	------	------	------	------

The staff opposes the supernumerary method of collecting the costs of exchange pool water for the reason that this is a commodity cost and not a demand or customer cost. We find that the requested authority to impose a supernumerary charge of \$1.00 per customer is not justified and should be denied.

The applicant claims that the rates it proposes will give it a rate of return of 7.4% on an average depreciated rate base

of \$327,904 for the year 1966, and that without the proposed increases it will have a rate of return of 1.7%. Applicant claims it needs the additional funds to enable it to borrow money to make the improvements heretofore ordered by this Commission and that in order to borrow money it must show the improved rate of return.

The staff estimates that at present rates in 1966, applicant will have an overall rate of return of 4.9% on an average depreciated rate base of \$332,200, and an overall rate of return of 8.6% at the proposed rates on said average depreciated rate base.

SUMMARIES OF EARNINGS

Although applicant requested a different rate in each of the service areas, it presented only an overall summary of earnings at the present and proposed rates for the estimated year 1966. The staff broke the estimates down by service areas. The calculations of both applicant and staff do not include the effect of the increased costs of water pumped from the Central Basin, which will become effective October 1, 1966, nor of applicant's proposed supernumerary charge to offset such additional water costs, previously discussed. A comparison of the applicant's summary and the staff's summary at the present and proposed rates is set out below:

Item	Applicant	
	Present Rates	Proposed Rates
Operating Revenues	\$ 110,300	\$ 135,000
<u>Deductions</u>		
Operating Expenses	73,695	73,695
Depreciation Expense	19,363	19,363
Taxes other than on Income	11,540	11,540
Taxes on Income	100	5,252
Total Deductions	\$ 104,698	\$ 110,350
Net Revenue	5,602	24,150
Average Depreciated Rate Base	\$ 327,904	\$ 327,904
Rate of Return	1.7%	7.4%

Staff

Item	:Berlu	:County	:Suburban:	Total :
<u>Present Rates</u>				
Operating Revenues	\$ 27,810	\$ 80,060	\$ 10,640	\$ 118,510
<u>Deductions</u>				
Operating Expenses	13,710	42,950	11,170	67,830
Depreciation Expense	3,780	12,520	2,580	18,880
Taxes other than on Income	2,910	8,370	1,140	12,420
Taxes on Income	1,700	3,070	(1,530)	3,240
Total Deductions	\$ 22,100	\$ 66,910	\$ 13,360	\$ 102,370
Net Revenue	5,710	13,150	(2,720)	16,140
Average Depreciated Rate Base	55,300	237,700	39,200	332,200
Rate of Return	10.3%	5.5%	Loss	4.9%

<u>Proposed Rates</u>				
Operating Revenues	\$ 27,810	\$ 93,110	\$ 17,770	\$ 138,690
<u>Deductions</u>				
Operating Expenses	13,710	42,950	11,170	67,830
Depreciation Expense	3,780	12,520	2,580	18,880
Taxes other than on Income	2,910	8,630	1,270	12,810
Taxes on Income	2,170	7,930	460	10,560
Total Deductions	\$ 22,570	\$ 72,030	\$ 15,480	\$ 110,080
Net Revenue	5,240	21,080	2,290	28,610
Average Depreciated Rate Base	55,300	237,700	39,200	332,200
Rate of Return	9.5%	8.9%	5.8%	8.6%

(Red Figure)

We find the staff's estimates of revenues, expenses, taxes, rate base, and rate of return for the estimated year 1966, at present and proposed rates, to be reasonable. They will be adopted herein.

The staff estimated that the rates of return for the three service areas for the year 1966 would be as follows at applicant's proposed rates (excluding the supernumerary charge), when the increased costs of water become effective on October 1, 1966: Berlu 8.5%; County 4.3%; Suburban 5.5%; Total Company 5.2%.

The staff further estimated that the corresponding rates of return for 1966, assuming the increased costs of water as above and

assuming applicant's supernumerary charge to be in effect, would be: Berlu 13.1%; County 8.8%; Suburban 9.3%; Total Company 9.5%.

RATE OF RETURN

The staff recommended a rate of return of 6.5% on its estimated depreciated rate base of \$332,200. This rate of return applied to the said rate base, would result in net operating revenues of \$21,600. When the increased costs of water become effective on October 1, 1966, such net revenues will require gross operating revenues of approximately \$144,700. We find such rate of return to be reasonable when applied to the rate base of \$332,200 herein found to be reasonable, and that the rates authorized herein may reasonably be expected to yield such gross operating revenues.

The staff recommended that no rate increases be authorized for the Berlu area because applicant's earnings are sufficient to cover the increased cost of water for that area which will be effective on October 1, 1966. We find such recommendation to be reasonable.

FAILURE OF APPLICANT TO COMPLY WITH COMMISSION REQUIREMENTS

We find the following failures by applicant to meet Commission requirements:

Applicant has been charging a rate of \$8.00 per month to the Artesia Door Company for the past several years for private fire protection service even though this rate was only recently filed with the Commission.

Applicant has not provided for the measurement of water production from its wells.

Applicant has not provided itself with a recording pressure gauge and has not conducted an annual pressure survey of its distribution system during a period of maximum usage.

The water system has not adequately delivered the water supply requirements of all customers and has not met the minimum requirement of maintaining a pressure of at least 20 psi during periods of maximum demand.

Applicant has not submitted in writing a program for improving the Berlu system and making interconnections with other purveyors as required by ordering paragraph No. 2 of Decision No. 69747, dated October 5, 1965, in Application No. 47783.

Applicant has not prepared and kept current the system map required by paragraph I.10.a of General Order No. 103 and as set forth in ordering paragraph No. 7 of Decision No. 69747, dated October 5, 1965, in Application No. 47783.

Applicant has not completed phase 2 of the main replacement program for the Suburban system which work was to have been done by August 15, 1965, pursuant to ordering paragraph No. 2 of Decision No. 69072, dated May 18, 1965, in Case No. 8068.

Applicant has not revised its main extension agreement with the Artesia Door Company as required by ordering paragraph No. 3 of Decision No. 60754, dated September 13, 1960, in Case No. 6412.

Applicant has not sent monthly progress reports to the Commission on system improvements as required by ordering paragraph No. 7 of Decision No. 60754, dated September 13, 1960, in Case No. 6412.

Applicant has not reimbursed the Ralph Nottingham School for the cost of a water meter as required by ordering paragraph No. 9 of Decision No. 60754, dated September 13, 1960, in Case No. 6412.

Applicant did not complete a depreciation review of its utility plant by March 1, 1961, and submit it to the Commission, as required by ordering paragraph No. 13 of Decision No. 60754, dated September 13, 1960, in Case No. 6412.

Applicant is hereby placed on notice that continued non-compliance with decisions and other requirements of this Commission will not be tolerated. The order herein will require applicant, among other things, to carry out certain prior orders of the Commission and to report thereon.

CANCELLATION OF TARIFF

Applicant no longer has any customers receiving flat rate service in its Berlu and Suburban service areas. The staff requested that the tariff schedules for flat rate service in those areas be withdrawn. We find this should be done.

After considering all factors pertinent to this proceeding, it is our finding that an interim order should be issued authorizing temporary increases in rates in the overall amount of approximately \$26,190 annually, based on the test year 1966, and that such increased rates should become effective October 1, 1966, for a period of one year. If applicant shall have satisfactorily complied with all of the requirements of the order which follows, within that period of one year, the Commission will, by further order in this proceeding, make such increased rates permanent. If applicant shall not have satisfactorily complied with said requirements within said period of time, the tariff schedules providing for such increased rates shall be withdrawn from applicant's filed tariffs by the Secretary of the Commission and tariff Schedules Nos. CW-1, CW-2R and SU-1, presently in effect, shall then become effective for service rendered on and after October 1, 1967.

We find that the increases in rates authorized by the order herein will provide such additional gross revenues as will enable applicant to meet its expenses of operation and earn a fair and just return on its depreciated rate base hereinbefore found reasonable.

We find that the increases in rates and charges authorized herein are reasonable and justified and that the present rates and charges insofar as they differ from those authorized herein are for the future unjust and unreasonable.

FINANCING

Applicant has cumulative unpaid refunds on main extension contracts due to an affiliated corporation, Erickson Properties, Ltd., and other subdividers, in the amount of \$57,095. It requests authority to issue 40,000 shares of its \$1.00 per share par value capital stock to the affiliated corporation, Erickson Properties, Ltd., in partial payment of this indebtedness. We find that the stock should be issued as requested for the purpose stated.

The Commission finds that the money, property, or labor to be procured or paid for by the issuance of the securities herein authorized is reasonably required for the purposes specified herein and that such purposes are not in whole or in part reasonably chargeable to operating expenses or to income.

CONCLUSION

We conclude that the applicant should be authorized to increase its rates and to issue stock in accordance with the terms of the order herein.

INTERIM ORDER

IT IS ORDERED that:

1. County Water Company is authorized to file with this Commission, after the effective date of this order and in conformance with General Order No. 96-A, the schedules of rates attached to this order as Appendix A, and upon not less than five days' notice to this Commission and to the public to make such rates effective for service rendered on and after October 1, 1966 to and including September 30, 1967.

2. Concurrently with the placing in effect of the rates authorized herein, County Water Company shall withdraw and cancel, by appropriate advice letter, in conformance with General Order No. 96-A, its presently effective residential flat rate tariff Schedules BW-2 and SU-2 applicable to its Berlu and Suburban tariff areas, respectively.

3. Within one year after the effective date of this order, County Water Company shall meter all sources of supply throughout the system and record the necessary data monthly as required by General Order No. 103, and shall notify this Commission in writing within ten days thereafter of its compliance with this requirement.

4. Within one hundred twenty days after the effective date of this order, County Water Company shall provide itself with a recording pressure gauge and shall institute a program of regular pressure surveys in its distribution systems, as required by paragraphs II.3.b. and c. of General Order No. 103, and shall notify this Commission, in writing, within ten days thereafter of its compliance with this requirement.

5. County Water Company shall prepare and keep current the system maps required by paragraph I.10.a. of General Order No. 103 and, within one hundred twenty days after the effective date of this order, shall file with the Commission two copies of each of such maps.

6. Within one hundred twenty days after the effective date of this order, County Water Company shall submit to the Commission, in writing, a program for improving its Berlu (Bellflower) distribution system and for making interconnections with other water purveyors, together with a timetable for making these improvements, pursuant to Ordering Paragraph 2 of Decision No. 69747, dated October 5, 1965, in Application No. 47783.

7.a. Within one hundred eighty days after the effective date of this order, County Water Company shall complete phase 2 of the main replacement program in its Suburban system and, within ten days thereafter, shall notify the Commission in writing that this has been accomplished, as required by Ordering Paragraph 2 of Decision No. 69072, dated May 18, 1965, in Case No. 8068.

b. Upon completion of this improvement program, County Water Company shall submit to the Commission, within thirty days thereafter, the results of a pressure survey in this system conducted in accordance with paragraph II.3.c. of General Order No. 103. The survey shall include, but not be limited to, pressure charts taken at representative points served from each of the remaining 2-inch lateral mains.

8. Within thirty days after the effective date of this order, County Water Company shall revise its main extension agreement with Artesia Door Company, pursuant to Ordering Paragraph 3 of Decision No. 60754, dated September 13, 1960, in Case No. 6412, and shall submit a copy of the revised agreement to the Commission within ten days thereafter.

9. Within thirty days after the effective date of this order, County Water Company shall reimburse the Ralph Nottingham School for the cost of the meter installed by said school, pursuant to Ordering Paragraph 9 of Decision No. 60754, dated September 13, 1960, in Case No. 6412, and shall notify the Commission in writing that this has been accomplished, within ten days thereafter.

10. For the year 1966, County Water Company shall apply the depreciation rates set forth in Table 3-A of Exhibit 7 in this proceeding. Until review indicates otherwise, County Water Company shall continue to use these rates. County Water Company shall review its depreciation rates at intervals of three years and whenever a

major change in depreciable plant occurs. Any revised depreciation rates shall be determined by: (1) subtracting the estimated future net salvage and the depreciation reserve from the original cost of the plant, (2) dividing the result by the estimated remaining life of the plant, and (3) dividing the quotient by the original cost of plant. The results of each review shall be submitted promptly to the Commission.

11. After the effective date hereof, County Water Company is authorized to issue 40,000 shares of its \$1.00 per share par value capital stock to Erickson Properties, Ltd., for the purposes specified in the opinion herein.

12. County Water Company shall file with this Commission a report or reports as required by General Order No. 24-B which order insofar as applicable is made a part of this order.

The effective date of this order shall be ten days after the date hereof.

Dated at San Francisco, California, this 13th day of SEPTEMBER, 1966.

Commissioner Peter E. Mitchell, being necessarily absent, did not participate in the disposition of this proceeding.

George G. Grover President

Frederick B. Holsofer

William H. Beard

Augustor Commissioners

APPENDIX A
Page 1 of 3

Schedule No. SU-1X (T)

Suburban Tariff Area (N)

TEMPORARY GENERAL METERED SERVICE (T)

APPLICABILITY

Applicable to all metered water service.

TERRITORY

The area generally south and east of Norwalk Boulevard and South Street or Orangethorpe Boulevard within Dairy Valley and Artesia, and vicinity, Los Angeles County. (T)
(T)

RATES

Quantity Rates:	Per Meter Per Month	
First 600 cu.ft. or less	\$ 2.90	(I)
Next 1,900 cu.ft., per 100 cu.ft.33	
Next 5,000 cu.ft., per 100 cu.ft.26	
Over 7,500 cu.ft., per 100 cu.ft.21	(I)

Minimum Charge:

For 5/8 x 3/4-inch meter	2.90	(I)
For 3/4-inch meter	3.75	
For 1-inch meter	6.00	
For 1 1/2-inch meter	12.00	
For 2-inch meter	17.00	
For 3-inch meter	31.00	(I)
For 4-inch meter	49.00	(N)
For 6-inch meter	95.00	(N)

The Minimum Charge will entitle the customer to the quantity of water which this charge will purchase at the Quantity Rates.

SPECIAL CONDITION

This schedule shall be effective in lieu of Schedule No. SU-1, General Metered Service, only to and including September 30, 1967, and shall thereafter be withdrawn.

(N)
|
(N)

APPENDIX A
Page 2 of 3

Schedule No. CW-1X (T)

County Tariff Area (N)

TEMPORARY GENERAL METERED SERVICE (T)

APPLICABILITY

Applicable to all metered water service.

TERRITORY

The areas lying within Norwalk and Artesia, and vicinity, Los Angeles County. (T)
(T)

RATES

	<u>Per Meter</u> <u>Per Month</u>	
Quantity Rates:		
First 600 cu.ft. or less	\$ 2.90	(I)
Next 1,900 cu.ft., per 100 cu.ft.38	
Next 5,000 cu.ft., per 100 cu.ft.25	
Over 7,500 cu.ft., per 100 cu.ft.20	
Minimum Charge:		
For 5/8 x 3/4-inch meter	2.90	
For 3/4-inch meter	4.00	
For 1-inch meter	7.00	
For 1 1/2-inch meter	13.00	(D)
For 2-inch meter	19.00	(I)
For 3-inch meter	35.00	
For 4-inch meter	53.00	
For 6-inch meter	100.00	

The Minimum Charge will entitle the customer to the quantity of water which this charge will purchase at the Quantity Rates.

SPECIAL CONDITION

This schedule shall be effective in lieu of Schedule No. CW-1, General Metered Service, only to and including September 30, 1967, and shall thereafter be withdrawn. (N)
|
(N)

Schedule No. CW-2RX (T)

County Tariff Area (N)

TEMPORARY RESIDENTIAL FLAT RATE SERVICE (T)

APPLICABILITY

Applicable to all flat rate residential water service. (T)

TERRITORY

The areas lying within Norwalk and Artesia, and vicinity,
Los Angeles County. (T)
(T)

RATE

	<u>Per Service Connection</u> <u>Per Month</u>	
For a single-family residential unit, including premises not exceeding 5,000 sq.ft. in area	\$ 3.50	(T) (T) (I)

SPECIAL CONDITIONS

1. The above flat rate applies to a service connection not
larger than 3/4-inch in diameter. (T)

2. Residential service not provided for above shall be furnished
on a metered basis only.

3. If either the utility or the customer so elects, a meter shall
be installed and service provided under Schedule No. CW-1X, Temporary
General Metered Service. (T)

4. This schedule shall be effective in lieu of Schedule No. CW-2R,
Residential Flat Rate Service, only to and including September 30, 1967,
and shall thereafter be withdrawn. (N)
(N)