

ORIGINALDecision No. 72121

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Application of the City of Napa,
California, for an order author-
izing construction of a crossing
at grade over tracks of the
Southern Pacific Company in con-
nection with improvement of John
F. Kennedy Memorial Park in the
City of Napa.

Application No. 49073
(filed January 9, 1967)

ORDER

The City of Napa is hereby authorized to construct Streblow Drive at grade across a track of Southern Pacific Company in Napa County, at the location described in the application to be identified as Crossing No. AB-67.5.

Width of crossing shall be not less than twenty-four feet and grades of approach not greater than three percent. Construction shall be equal or superior to Standard No. 2 of General Order No. 72. Protection shall be by two Standard No. 8 crossing signals (General Order No. 75-B), augmented with automatic gate arms.

Applicant shall bear entire expense of construction and installation of automatic protection, also maintenance cost of the crossing outside of lines two feet outside of rails. Southern Pacific Company shall bear maintenance cost of the crossing between such lines. Maintenance costs of the automatic protection shall be borne by the applicant, pursuant to the provisions of Section 1202.2 of the Public Utilities Code.

Upon completion, the existing private crossing, about 100 feet southerly shall be abandoned and effectively closed.

Within thirty days after completion pursuant to this order, applicant shall so advise the Commission in writing. This authorization shall expire if not exercised within one year unless time be extended or if above conditions are not complied with. Authorization may be revoked or modified if public convenience, necessity, or safety so require. The effective date of this order shall be twenty days after the date hereof.

Dated at San Francisco, California, this 7th
day of MARCH, 1967.

Paul E. Mitchell
President

Augusta

William Sproun J.

Samuel P. Hornsby
Commissioners

Commissioner William M. Bennett, being necessarily absent, did not participate in the disposition of this proceeding.