DRIGINAL

Decision No. 72205

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Investigation on the Commission's own motion into the rates, operations and practices of KELLY TRUCKING COMPANY.

Case No. 8544

Burch, Gregory and Platt, by <u>John B. Gregory</u>, for respondent.

<u>John C. Gilman</u> and <u>Richard Carlin</u>, for Commission staff.

OPINION

By its order dated October 11, 1966, the Commission instituted an investigation into the rates, operations, and practices of Kelly Trucking Company, hereinafter referred to as respondent.

Public hearing was held before Examiner Porter at Los Angeles, February 7, 1967, and the matter was submitted.

Respondent conducts operations as a dump truck carrier pursuant to radial highway common carrier and city carrier permits. It owns and operates two pickups, three tractors, fifteen semi-trailers and fifteen trailers. It employs three drivers, two foremen and one office clerk. Respondent has a terminal in La Mesa, California. Respondent's gross operating revenue for the period October, 1965 through September, 1966 was \$784,585. Respondent was served with Minimum Rate Tariffs Nos. 7 and 17 and Directory 1, together with all supplements and additions thereto.

C. 8544 sk A representative of the Commission's Field Section checked all of respondent's records for the month of December, 1965; in all, 200 freight bills were reviewed and 26 selected as representative. The documentation for these 26 shipments were copied and introduced in evidence as Exhibit No. 1. The Commission staff introduced evidence that from the freight bills in Exhibit No. 1, it was impossible to determine the applicable minimum rates as shown by Exhibit No. 2 introduced in evidence by the staff. The respondent failed to include various information factors on shipping documents as required by Item 93.1(c), Minimum Rate Tariff No. 7. In the main these were: failure to include capacity in cubic yards, name of underlying carrier if any, commodity transported, time and location driver reported to work and overall time. A representative for the respondent testified that the tariff regulations regarding documentation are complex and that it attempts through meeting with drivers and subhaulers to educate them as to the necessity to include the required information on the documents so that they may be properly rated. The Commission finds that: 1. Respondent operates pursuant to radial highway common carrier and city carrier permits. 2. Respondent was served with Minimum Rate Tariffs Nos. 7 and 17 and Directory 1, together with all supplements and additions thereto. -2hereby suspended for a period of one year; provided, however, that the execution thereof is hereby deferred pending further order of this Commission. If no further order of this Commission is issued affecting said suspension within one year from the date of issuance of this decision, the suspension shall be automatically vacated.

2. Respondent shall cease and desist from violating the documentation provisions of the Commission's minimum rate tariffs.

The Secretary of the Commission is directed to cause personal service of this order to be made upon respondent. The effective date of this order shall be twenty days after the date of completion of such service.

	Dated at _	San Francisco , (alifornia, this 2/2
day of _	• MARCH	1967.	
_			en_{a}
			Hatters)
		*/	resident
		Ort	linin by Genne
		ſ	
		409	ackon
		1.0	
		Liles	Main Hywom f
		And	0000
		a frage	Commissioners