

ORIGINAL

Decision No. 72787

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Application of)
 the County of San Bernardino for)
 permission to construct a crossing)
 at grade of the tracks of the Southern)
 Pacific Company, formerly Pacific)
 Electric Railway Company at Archibald)
 Avenue near the Communities of Alta)
 Loma, and Cucamonga, in the County)
 of San Bernardino, State of California)

Application No. 49146
 (Filed February 10, 1967)

O R D E R

The County of San Bernardino is hereby authorized to construct Archibald Avenue at grade across a track of Southern Pacific Company near Alta Loma, San Bernardino County, at the location described in the application to be identified as Crossing No. 6T-39.7.

Width of crossing shall be not less than 40 feet and grades of approach not greater than five percent. Construction shall be equal or superior to Standard No. 2 of General Order No. 72. Protection shall be by two Standard No. 8 crossing signals (General Order No. 75-B) augmented with automatic gate arms.

Applicant shall bear entire expense of construction and installation of automatic protection, also maintenance cost of the crossing outside of lines two feet outside of rails. Southern Pacific Company shall bear maintenance cost of the crossing between such lines. Maintenance costs of the automatic protection shall be borne by the applicant, pursuant to the provisions of Section 1202.2 of the Public Utilities Code.

Within thirty days after completion pursuant to this order, applicant shall so advise the Commission in writing. This authorization shall expire if not exercised within one year unless time be extended or if above conditions are not complied with. Authorization may be revoked or modified if public convenience, necessity, or safety so require. The effective date of this order shall be twenty days after the date hereof.

Dated at San Francisco, California, this 25th day of JULY, 1967.

[Signature]
President

[Signature]

William Bennett

[Signature]
Commissioners

Commissioner William M. Bennett, being necessarily absent, did not participate in the disposition of this proceeding.