Decision No. 73162

ORIGINAL

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Investigation on the Commission's) own motion into warehouse operative) rights of STOCKTON WHARF & WAREHOUSE) CO., a corporation.

Case No. 6917

ORDER REVOKING WAREHOUSE OPERATIVE RIGHT

Stockton Wharf & Warehouse Co., a corporation, possesses a prescriptive operative right as a public utility warehouseman for the operation of storage or warehouse floor space at Stockton.

F. T. Coggin, President of Stockton Wharf & Warehouse Co., has informed the Commission staff that the warehouse facilities were removed to permit construction of a freeway over the property in question. He states that the company is no longer operating as a public utility warehouseman and requests that the operative right involved be revoked.

In the circumstances, it appears, and the Commission finds, that revocation of the prescriptive operative right, as requested, would not be adverse to the public interest. The Commission concludes that the prescriptive operative right should be revoked and the tariff applicable to the services thereunder should be canceled. A public hearing is not necessary.

IT IS ORDERED that:

1. The prescriptive operative right as a public utility warehouseman possessed by Stockton Wharf & Warehouse Co., a corporation, as determined by order dated August 30, 1960, in Case No. 6917 is hereby revoked.

2. Stockton Wharf & Warehouse Co. Warehouse Tariff No. 1, C.R.C. No. 1, is hereby canceled.

The Secretary is directed to cause service of a certified copy of this order to be made upon Stockton Wharf & Warehouse Co., or to mail a certified copy thereof to it at its last known address as shown in the Commission's records and to mail a copy of this order to F. T. Coggin, P.O. Box 369, Stockton, California.

This order shall become effective twenty days after the date hereof.

Dated at Los Angeles, California, this 32d day of October, 1967.

Migolot

Migolot

Millau fyring

Millau fyring

Commissioners

Commissioner William M. Bennett, being necessarily absent, did not participate in the disposition of this proceeding.