

ORIGINAL

Decision No. 74458

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the matter of the application of the City of Anaheim to construct a pedestrian walk across the right of way of the Southern Pacific Company between Knott Avenue and Western Avenue.)
) Application No. 49484
) (Filed June 19, 1967)

O R D E R

The City of Anaheim is hereby authorized to construct a pedestrian crossing at grade across the Southern Pacific Company's Santa Ana Line, in the City of Anaheim, Orange County, at the location described in the application, to be identified as Crossing No. 6N-23.90-D.

Applicant shall bear the entire construction expense, also maintenance expense of the crossing outside of lines two feet outside of rails, and Southern Pacific Company shall bear maintenance expense of the crossing between such lines. Width of the crossing shall be five feet and grades of approach shall be substantially as shown in the application. Construction shall be equal or superior to Standard No. 1 of General Order No. 72, with pre-mix paving flush with tops of rails. Protection shall be by two special pedestrian signs 12 inches by 24 inches reading "RAILROAD CROSSING PEDESTRIANS ONLY" in black letters 1-1/2 inches high on a white field. Applicant shall also construct a fence on both sides of the track and provide in-swinging, self-closing gates and also install posts outside of gates to prevent use by vehicles other than bicycles.

Within thirty days after completion, pursuant to this order, applicant shall so advise the Commission in writing. This authorization shall expire if not exercised within one year unless time be extended or if the above conditions are not complied with. Authorization may be revoked or modified if public convenience, necessity or safety so require.

The effective date of this order shall be twenty days after the date hereof.

Dated at San Francisco, California, this 30th day of JULY, 1968.

William J. Snow

President
William C. Bennett

Fred R. Monsey

Commissioners

Commissioner Peter E. Mitchell, being necessarily absent, did not participate in the disposition of this proceeding.

Commissioner A. W. Gatov, being necessarily absent, did not participate in the disposition of this proceeding.