

Decision No. 74549**ORIGINAL**

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Application of the City of Capitola,
California, to alter and widen an
existing grade separation, the exist-
ing Capitola Avenue Undercrossing
of existing Southern Pacific Company
tracks and right of way.

Application No. 49577
(Filed July 28, 1967)

O R D E R

The City of Capitola is hereby authorized to reconstruct a crossing at separated grades of Capitola Avenue under the track of the Southern Pacific Company, in Capitola, Santa Cruz County, at the location and substantially as shown by plans (Exhibit B) attached to the application, identified as Crossing No. EC-116.1-B. Construction and maintenance expense shall be borne in accordance with an agreement to be entered into between the parties relative thereto, and copy of said agreement, together with plans of said crossing approved by Southern Pacific Company, shall be filed with the Commission within 180 days from the date hereof. Should the parties fail to agree, the Commission will apportion the cost of construction and maintenance by further order. Clearances shall conform to the provisions of General Order No. 26-D.

This project was assigned Priority No. 5 by Decision No. 73511 in Case 8664, dated December 19, 1967, which established the 1968 grade separation priority list pursuant to Statutes 1957, Chapter 2091, Amended Statutes 1959, Chapter 1763.

Within thirty days after completion pursuant to this order applicant shall so advise the Commission in writing. This authorization shall expire if not exercised within three years unless time be

extended or if conditions are not complied with. The effective date of this order shall be twenty days after the date hereof.

Dated at San Francisco, California, this 13th day of AUGUST, 1968.

William Synors, Jr.
President

[Signature]

[Signature]

Commissioners

Commissioner William M. Bennett, being necessarily absent, did not participate in the disposition of this proceeding.

Commissioner Fred P. Morrissey, being necessarily absent, did not participate in the disposition of this proceeding.