

hjh

ORIGINAL

Decision No. 75125

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Investigation into the status,
safety, maintenance, use and
protection or closing of various
crossings at grade of the lines of
The Atchison, Topeka and Santa Fe
Railway Company, the Union Pacific
Railroad Company, the Southern
Pacific Company and the Pacific
Electric Railway Company in the
County of Orange, California, with
various streets, roads and highways
in said county.

Case No. 8102

SUPPLEMENTAL ORDER

The Commission staff made a countywide study of all railroad crossings in Orange County which resulted in Case No. 8102 and Decision No. 71563 therein, dated November 22, 1966. Such decision contained numerous recommendations for crossing improvements.

Ordering paragraph 9 of such decision provides as follows:

"On or before January 1, 1968 and each year thereafter until further order of the Commission, the Commission staff shall make a review of the protection at all crossings not ordered for protection herein and report to the Commission and to the interested parties the results of the review together with recommendations for any necessary improvements in protection."

Pursuant to the above provisions the Commission staff made reports. The report for 1968 contains recommendations relative to all crossings not ordered protected by Decision No. 71563. Such recommendations are being put into effect. Since the improvements of these crossings are and will be progressed by informal means there is no longer a need for the annual review and report as to protection heretofore required by Decision No. 71563. Therefore, it will no longer be required.

IT IS ORDERED that the review and report required by ordering paragraph 9 of Decision No. 71563 need not be made hereafter unless subsequently ordered again by the Commission.

The effective date of this order shall be the date hereof.

Dated at San Francisco, California, this 21st day of DECEMBER, 1963.

William J. J. J.
President

And P. Monseff
Commissioners

Commissioner William M. Bennett, being necessarily absent, did not participate in the disposition of this proceeding.

Commissioner A. W. Gatov, being necessarily absent, did not participate in the disposition of this proceeding.