

ORIGINAL

Decision No. 75234

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Application
of SOUTHERN PACIFIC COMPANY for
an order authorizing the con-
struction at grade of an industrial
drill track in, upon and across
Reyes Avenue in an unincorporated
area of Los Angeles County, State
of California.

} Application No. 50634
 } (Filed October 21, 1968)

O R D E R

The Southern Pacific Company is hereby authorized to construct an industrial drill track at grade across Reyes Avenue in Los Angeles County, at the location described in the application, to be identified as Crossing No. BG-497.0-C. Construction of said crossing shall be equal or superior to Standard No. 2 of General Order No. 72, without superelevation and of a width to conform to the portion of the avenue now graded, with tops of rails flush with the roadway and with grades of approach not exceeding one percent. Protection shall be by two Standard No. 3 flashing light signals (General Order No. 75-B). Due to the applicant's inability to obtain necessary materials to install the automatic signals and the land developer's desire to proceed with the project served by this track, protection may be by two Standard No. 1 signs (General Order No. 75-B) reflectorized with reflex-reflective sheet material for a period not to exceed 90 days from the effective date of this order. Applicant shall bear entire construction and maintenance expense.

Within thirty days after completion pursuant to this order, applicant shall so advise the Commission in writing. This authorization shall expire if not exercised within one year unless time be extended or if above conditions are not complied with. Authorization may be revoked or modified if public convenience, necessity, or safety so require.

The effective date of this order shall be twenty days after the date hereof.

Dated at San Francisco, California, this 21st day of JANUARY, 1969.

William Lyons J
President

[Signature]

Frank P. Monnesey

[Signature]

Commissioners

Commissioner Thomas Moran, being necessarily absent, did not participate in the disposition of this proceeding.