rm

Decision No. __75753__   **ORIGINAL** .

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Application of the City of Oceanside,)
California requesting an order   )
authorizing the construction of   )
College Blvd. crossing, tentatively)   Application No. 50843
designated as Crossing 2E-5.6, across)   (Filed January 24, 1969)
the Escondido Branch of the Atchison,)
Topeka and Santa Fe Railway, within)
the City of Oceanside.   )

O R D E R

City of Oceanside is hereby authorized to construct

College Boulevard at grade across a track of The Atchison, Topeka

and Santa Fe Railway Company in Oceanside, San Diego County, at the

location described in the application to be identified as Crossing

No. 2E-5.6.

Width of crossing shall be not less than 32 feet and

grades of approach not greater than 6.0 percent. Construction shall

be equal or superior to Standard No. 2 of General Order No. 72.

Protection shall be by two Standard No. 8 flashing light signals

(General Order No. 75-B).

Applicant shall bear entire expense of construction and

installation of automatic protection, also maintenance cost of

the crossing outside of lines two feet outside of rails. The

Atchison, Topeka and Santa Fe Railway Company shall bear maintenance

cost of the crossing between such lines. Maintenance costs of the

automatic protection shall be borne by the applicant, pursuant to

the provisions of Section 1202.2 of the Public Utilities Code.

-1-

Within thirty days after completion pursuant to this order, applicant shall so advise the Commission in writing. This authorization shall expire if not exercised within one year unless time be extended or if above conditions are not complied with. Authorization may be revoked or modified if public convenience, necessity, or safety so require. The effective date of this order shall be twenty days after the date hereof.

Dated at _____San Francisco_____, California, this ___10 th___ day of _____JUNE_____, 1969.

_____ President

_____

_____

_____ Commissioners

Commissioner A. W. Gatov, being necessarily absent, did not participate in the disposition of this proceeding.