

ORIGINALDecision No. 75978

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

APPLICATION OF THE COUNTY OF FRESNO,
 State of California, for an Order
 Authorizing replacement of an existing
 underpass (Latoria Underpass
 No. 2-975.8B) under the tracks of
 The Atchison, Topeka and Santa Fe
 Railway Company and the replacement and
 widening of approach roadways along
 Del Rio-Gonser Diagonal in Fresno County.)

Application No. 51137
 (Filed June 4, 1969)

ORDER

The County of Fresno is hereby authorized to reconstruct an existing crossing at separated grades of Latoria Underpass under the track of The Atchison, Topeka and Santa Fe Railway Company, near Laton, Fresno County, at the location and substantially as shown by plans (Exhibits B and C) attached to the application, identified as Crossing No. 2-975.8-B. Construction and maintenance expense shall be borne in accordance with an agreement to be entered into between the parties relative thereto, and copy of the agreement, together with plans of the crossing approved by The Atchison, Topeka and Santa Fe Railway Company, shall be filed with the Commission within 180 days from the date hereof. Should the parties fail to agree, the Commission will apportion the cost of construction and maintenance by further order. Clearances shall conform to the provisions of General Order No. 26-D.

This project was assigned Priority No. 11 by Decision No. 75114 in Case No. 8830, dated December 17, 1968 which established the 1969 grade separation priority list pursuant to Section 189 of the Streets and Highways Code.

Within thirty days after completion pursuant to this order applicant shall so advise the Commission in writing. This authorization shall expire if not exercised within three years unless time be extended or if conditions are not complied with. The effective date of this order shall be twenty days after the date hereof.

Dated at San Francisco, California, this 29th day of JULY, 1969.

William J. ...
President
...
...
...
Commissioners

Commissioner Thomas Moran, being necessarily absent, did not participate in the disposition of this proceeding.