Decision No. 76822

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Application of THE PACIFIC TELEPHONE AND)
TELEGRAPH COMPANY for authority to estab-)
lish the La Conchita Special Rate Area in)
the Ventura Exchange

Application No. 51594 (Filed January 5, 1970)

OPINION

The Pacific Telephone and Telegraph Company has requested authority to establish the La Conchita Special Rate Area within the Ventura Exchange, Ventura County, in accordance with Exhibits A & B of the application, to furnish urban grades of service at rates set forth in Exhibit C of the application and shown below, to withdraw suburban service within the special rate area, and to offer urban service outside the base rate area and the special rate area according to the rules in paragraph V of the application. A summary of present rates and proposed SRA rates follows:

	Rate Per Month		
	Present		Proposed
Businese	B.R.A.	Solimar S.R.A.	La Conchita S.R.A.
1-Party 2-Party Semi Public PBX Trunks-Flat	9.60 7.35 5.00	\$ 10.90 8.05 6.30	\$ 13.55 8.40 6.95
Each Trunk Suburban Farmer Line	\$ 14.40 6.85 3.35	15.70 Not Offered 3.55*	16.35 Not Offered 3.65*
Residence I-Party 2-Party 4-Party Suburban Farmer Line	5.00 3.90 3.20 3.70 1.75	6.30 4.60 3.70 Not Offered 1.95*	6.95 4.95 3.95 Not Offered 2.05*

^{*} Applicable to service connecting at special rate area.

A. 51594 JMM/LCR/hih Applicant operates the Ventura Exchange in Ventura County, and serves about 40,000 telephones within the exchange. Applicant proposes to establish the La Conchita Special Rate Area within the Ventura Exchange for urban grades of telephone service and to withdraw the suburban service now offered and furnished within the proposed special rate area. The proposed special rate area is located on the coast approximately 4.5 miles northeast of the Ventura Base Rate Area. There is a public demand for, and it is in the public interest to establish the proposed La Conchita Special Area. The special area as proposed, covers approximately 0.74 square miles and includes about 238 residence dwellings plus business establishments. Presently there are 52 urban and 76 suburban services in the area. Applicant estimates that annual revenues would increase by about \$900 after normal regrading. It appears that granting the application will result in improved service in the public interest; therefore. The Commission finds that a public hearing is not necessary and that such increases in rates and charges as will result are justified and that, for the future, present rates, insofar as they differ from those herein prescribed, would be unjust and unreasonable upon the establishment of the La Conchita Special Rate Area. ORDER IT IS ORDERED that: The Pacific Telephone and Telegraph Company is authorized to establish the La Conchita Special Rate Area as requested in the application. 2. After the effective date of this order, applicant is authorized to file with this Commission, in conformity with -2-

A. 51594 JMM/LCR/hjh General Order No. 96-A, exchange maps revised to show the La Conchita Special Rate Area within the Ventura Exchange with a boundary substantially as shown in Exhibits A and B attached to the application. Applicant is authorized to file, in conformity with General Order No. 96-A, rates for service within the said special rate area, as set forth in Exhibit C attached to the application and rules, as set forth in paragraph V of the application, and after not less than five days' notice to the Commission and to the public, to make said rates effective for service coincident with establishment of the said special rate area. Applicant is authorized to withdraw suburban telephone service within the La Conchita Special Rate Area coincident with establishment of said special rate area. 5. The authorization to establish said special rate area granted herein, will expire unless exercised within nine months of the effective date of this order. The effective date of this order shall be twenty days after the date hereof. , California, this 20th day of Dated at San Francisco FEBRUARY , 1970. resident Commissioners

Commissioner A. W. Gatov, being necessarily absent, did not participate in the disposition of this proceeding.

⁻³Commissioner J. P. Vukasin, Jr., being necessarily absent, did not participate in the disposition of this proceeding.