

Decision No. 77246

ORIGINAL

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Application
of SOUTHERN PACIFIC TRANSPORTATION
COMPANY for an order authorizing the
construction at grade of an industrial
spur track in, upon and across Border
Avenue in the City of Torrance, County
of Los Angeles, State of California.

} Application No. 51822
} (Filed April 15, 1970)

O R D E R

The Southern Pacific Transportation Company is hereby authorized to construct an additional spur track at grade across Border Avenue in the City of Torrance, Los Angeles County, at the location described in the application, to be identified as a portion of Crossing No. 6RCA-18.22-C. Construction of said crossing shall be equal or superior to Standard No. 2 of General Order No. 72, without superelevation and of a width to conform to the portion of the avenue now graded, with tops of rails flush with the roadway and with grades of approach as indicated in plans attached to the application. The existing track located approximately 30 feet west of the proposed track shall be reconstructed to Standard No. 2 of General Order No. 72. Protection shall be by one additional Standard No. 1 crossing sign (General Order No. 75-B) reflectorized with reflex-reflective sheet material. Applicant shall bear entire construction and maintenance expense.

Within thirty days after completion pursuant to this order, applicant shall so advise the Commission in writing. This authorization shall expire if not exercised within one year unless time

be extended or if above conditions are not complied with. Authorization may be revoked or modified if public convenience, necessity, or safety so require.

Applicant requests immediate authority in order to avoid expensive delays to industry to be served by proposed track.

The effective date of this order shall be the date hereof.

Dated at San Francisco, California, this 22nd day of MAY, 1970.

William J. Quinn
President
August
J. M. Strain
Thomas L. Stinson
Commissioners

Commissioner Thomas Moran, being necessarily absent, did not participate in the disposition of this proceeding.