Decision No. 78372

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

STELLA MAE McCLURE, Nickname - Pat,) Complainant, 2

vs.

Case No. 9159 (Filed December 8, 1970)

ORIGINAL

THE WESTERN UNION TELEGRAPH COMPANY, Defendant.

ORDER OF DISMISSAL

In her complaint against defendant, The Western Union Telegraph Company, the complainant Stella Mae McClure alleges that her personal telegram to her husband was transmitted by defendant, without her permission, over the Peco Corporation's teletype machine and was thereby made public.

She further alleges that by reason of her telegram having been made public her husband was greatly humiliated, all chance of their marriage returning to normal was made impossible, and she was caused great suffering. The complainant requests that she be financially reimbursed by defendant for her suffering.

In its answer filed January 18, 1971, defendant admits that on or about May 25, 1970, at the request of complainant, defendant accepted a telegram to be transmitted to Thomas Frank McClure at the Peco Corporation, Milpitas, California, and that at the said request of complainant, said message was transmitted to Thomas Frank McClure at said address. Defendant states it has no information or belief concerning the personal nature of the message

-1-

or whether said message was made public after its transmission sufficient to enable it to answer the said allegations of the complaint and on that ground denies said allegations. Defendant denies the remaining allegations of the complaint and prays that the complaint be dismissed.

Warren and Hollander v. Pacific Tel. & Tel. Co., 54 Cal. P.U.C. 704, was a proceeding involving errors and omissions in a telephone directory. The Commission held that the determination of legal claims of negligence is reserved to the courts.

In <u>Glynn v. Pacific Telephone Co.</u>, 62 Cal. P.U.C. 511, this Commission held it was without jurisdiction "to determine the existence of liability or to award damages for alleged loss of business resulting from the acts or omissions of public utilities."

In <u>Vila v. Taboe Southside Water Utility</u>, 233 C.A. 2d 469 at 479 the Court said: "The Commission has no authority to award damages. That was sought in <u>M. L. M. Jones v. Pacific Tel. &</u> <u>Tel. Co.</u> (Nov. 1963) 61 Cal. P.U.C. 674, where the Commission asserted its lack of jurisdiction either to make a finding concerning, or to order, damages." In this case the Court sustained the jurisdiction of the Superior Court over actions for damages against public utilities.

-2-

C. 9159 JR

IT IS ORDERED that the complaint in Case No. 9159 is hereby dismissed for failure to state a cause of action within the jurisdiction of the Commission.

	Dated at		San Francis	co_, Califo	ornia, this _	2nd
day of _		MARCH	_, 1971.	0	$n \Lambda$	Λ
					1. allarin	1
			•	10		Chairman
			· · · · ·			
			· ·		ny	men
				Verne	- R	Luige
				-	Sto	
					Co	mmissioners

Commissioner William Symons, Jr., being necessarily absent, did not participate in the disposition of this proceeding.