Decision	No-	78400
****	A1-V-	

ORIGINAL

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Application of

CALIFORNIA WATER SERVICE COMPANY, a corporation,

for an order authorizing it to issue an additional amount of its First Mortgage Bonds

Application No. 52431 Filed February 4, 1971

OPINION

California Water Service Company requests an order of the Commission authorizing it to issue and sell \$3,000,000 principal amount of its bonds, and to execute and deliver a supplemental indenture.

Applicant is a California corporation engaged in the business of supplying and distributing water for domestic and industrial purposes in various localities in California. For the year 1970, the company reports total operating revenues and net income amounting to \$27,200,251 and \$3,874,877, respectively.

The company's reported net utility plant, after deducting depreciation and amortization reserves, amounted to \$100,273,266 at December 31, 1970. The utility's capital ratios as of such date, after giving effect to the proposed \$3,000,000 bond issue, as set forth in the application, are as follows:

COMMISSIONER HOLMES, Concurring:

While I concur in the foregoing decision, I feel that the Commission must give due consideration to bond interest rates, both on a bid and on a negotiated basis.

The bond issue authorized herein and also by Decision No. 78358 (Appl. No. 52407, San Jose Water Works) are for \$3 Million, the maximum amount issuable without Commission exemption from its competitive bidding rule (D-38614, C-4761, as amended in 1954 by D-49941).

I would agree that due to the abnormally high bond market and the tight money supply of the last two years any commitment, almost without regard to terms and rates, was desirable. However, the Commission's attitude toward bond rates should be flexible and should adjust with the money market which dictates the cost of financing.

One of the obligations imposed upon the Commission is that it does not saddle future consumers with unduly high interest rates. Cost and availability of money should be carefully considered in deciding whether to authorize exemptions from the competitive bidding rule. I feel that my views should be publicly expressed for the benefit of future issuers, future bond purchasers, and the public in general.

Commissioner

Dated at San Francisco, California, March 2, 1971