

Decision No. 78420

ORIGINAL

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Investigation on the Commission's own motion into the Rules Pertaining to Underground Extensions to Commercial and Industrial Developments and to Individual Customers of all Electric and Communication Public Utilities in the State of California. Investigation on the Commission's own motion into Mandatory Requirements for Underground Extensions.

Case No. 8993
(Filed November 4, 1969;
Amended February 20, 1970)

ORDER EXTENDING TIME

California Independent Telephone Association, acting on behalf of a number of independent telephone companies has petitioned by letter received on February 26, 1971, for an extension of forty-five days, in the time limit imposed in ordering paragraph 2 of Decision No. 78294, for filing required changes in tariff rules because of the volume of work involved in filing the revised tariff schedules. It appearing to the Commission that this delay should be granted; therefore,

IT IS ORDERED that ordering paragraph 2 of Decision No. 78294 is modified to read as follows:




"2. Within seventy-five days after the effective date of this order, each respondent providing communication service shall file, in accordance with the procedure prescribed by General Order No. 96-A, the rules substantially as set forth in Appendix C attached to this decision and, concurrently, cancel and revise any

C-8993

of the present tariff sheets as necessary to make them consistent with the rules prescribed in Appendix C. Concurrently, each respondent providing communication service shall file a revised service connection rule which shall be consistent with the provisos prescribed in Appendix C. The new and revised tariff sheets shall become effective on the fifth day after the date of filing."

The effective date of this order shall be the date hereof.

Dated at San Francisco, California, this 9th day of MARCH, 1971.


Chairman

Vernon L. Stinger

Commissioners

Commissioner William Symons, Jr., being necessarily absent, did not participate in the disposition of this proceeding.