ORIGINAL

Decision No.

78585

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Application of LOOMIS COURIER SERVICE, INC., a corporation, for a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity to Operate as an Express Corporation.

Application No. 51694 (Filed February 6, 1970; Amended August 5, 1970)

In the Matter of the Application of LOOMIS COURIER SERVICE, INC., a California corporation, to extend freight-forwarder service.

Application No. 52136 (Filed August 17, 1970)

In the Matter of the Investigation into the rates, rules and regulations, charges, allowances and practices of all common carriers, highway carriers and city carriers, relating to the transportation of any and all commodities between and within all points and places in the State of California (including, but not limited to, transportation for which rates are provided in Minimum Rate Tariff No. 2).

Case No. 5432
Petition for Modification
No. 599

And Related Matters.

Case No. 5435
Petition for Modification
No. 159

Case No. 5439
Petition for Modification
No. 126

Case No. 5441
Petition for Modification
No. 205

Marvin Handler, Attorney at Law, of Handler, Baker & Greene, and George H. Hart, Attorney at Law, of Reaugh, Hart, Allison, Prescott & Davis, for Loomis Courier Service, Inc., applicant and petitioner.

Karl K. Roos, Attorney at Law, of Knapp, Gill,
Hibbert & Stevens, for American Courier Corporation,
protestant.

Arthur D. Maruna, H. F. Kollmyer and Arlo D. Poe,
Attorney at Law, for California Trucking Association,
protestant and interested party.

Michael J. Stecher, Attorney at Law, Peter N. Kujachich and Joseph C. Matson, for the Commission staff.

OPINION

Loomis Courier Service, Inc. (Loomis) requests a certificate of public convenience and necessity as an express corporation for the transportation of checks, drafts, money orders, securities, transit items, sales audit items, business records, audit media, tabulation cards, data processing materials, legal documents, printed or reproduced documents or data, film and radioactive pharmaceuticals (Application No. 51694). It also seeks a certificate of public convenience and necessity as a freight forwarder of the same commodities (Application No. 52136). It also seeks an expansion of its rate exemption which was granted by Decision No. 65794 (Case No. 5432, Petition for Modification No. 599, et al.).

The applications and petitions were consolidated for hearing on a common record, which was held at San Francisco before Examiner O'Leary on October 6, 7 and 8, 1970. The matters were submitted upon the filing of concurrent briefs on November 24, 1970.

Loomis presently conducts operations pursuant to highway contract carrier and radial highway common carrier permits in addition to a certificate of public convenience and necessity authorizing operations as a freight forwarder which authorizes the transportation of some but not all of the commodities for which authority is sought in Application No. 52136.

For a number of years Loomis has utilized the lines of air common carriers in the State of California in the movement of property by two methods. One method has consisted of the movement of shipments by tendering them to the airlines as air freight, at the airline's tariff rate (air freight operation). Said operation

is conducted pursuant to Loomis' certificate of public convenience and necessity as a freight forwarder. The second method consists of the movement of shipments whereby an employee of Loomis purchases a passenger ticket and the property is transported as baggage of the employee (baggage operation). Loomis alleges that until recently it considered the baggage operation to be authorized under its certificate of public convenience and necessity as a freight forwarder.

The vice-president and general manager of Loomis testified that presently one account is utilizing the baggage operation
between San Francisco and Los Angeles. In the past more accounts
used the baggage operation; however, because of changes in airline
schedules the accounts previously being served by the baggage
operation are now being served by a charter operation. In the
future, Loomis intends to utilize the baggage operation for
customers presently served by the charter operations should the
airline schedules change so as to meet the time requirements of
customers presently being served by the charter operations.

With respect to Application No. 52136 the vice-president of Loomis testified that there have been requests from customers for the transportation of the commodities for which they presently do not have authority to transport with the exception of radioactive pharmaceuticals; he also testified that another reason for requesting an expanded certificate of public convenience and necessity as a freight forwarder was so that his company would have authority equal to that of its competitors.

A. 51694, et al. KB

Three public witnesses testified in support of the applications. They represented businesses which have occasion to use an express or freight forwarder service; however, none of the witnesses represented firms which would have occasion to ship radioactive pharmaceuticals.

By Decision No. 65794 dated July 30, 1963 Loomis and other carriers were exempted from observance of the rates, rules and regulations in various minimum rate tariffs in connection with the transportation of checks, drafts and/or money orders (moving in process of clearance between banks and/or clearing houses), legal documents, business records, audit media and tabulation cards when transported in a vehicle not exceeding a licensed weight of 4,000 pounds. Loomis, by the within petitions seeks to expand the exemption previously granted to include securities, transit items, sales audit items, data processing materials, printed or reproduced documents or data, film and radioactive pharmaceuticals. The petitions also seek to remove the restriction with respect to the movement of checks and/or money orders. In its petition Loomis alleges that a question has arisen as to whether or not the exemption from minimum rates is applicable to its freight forwarder operations or its contemplated express corporation operations. Because of this Loomis requests that the exemption be expanded to specifically apply to its operations as a highway contract carrier, radial highway common carrier, freight forwarder and express corporation should the latter be authorized by the Commission.

Loomis requests that should the applications be granted that it be authorized to publish airport-to-airport rates rather than door-to-door rates. The vice-president of Loomis testified

that airport-to-airport rates are more desirable since the requirements of individual shippers vary to such an extent that it is impractical to have uniform rates and rules applicable for all.

Loomis points out in its brief that in Decision No. 77646 the Commission granted Brinks, Incorporated (Brinks) express corporation authority and authorized Brinks to publish airport-to-airport rates. The certificate of public convenience and necessity granted to Brinks was not accepted by it and the fact that Decision No. 77646 authorized the publication of airport-to-airport rates is now moot. In recent decisions granting authority similar to that applied for in the instant proceeding publication of door-to-door rates has been required. (Decision No. 77801 in re M. D. S. Courier Services, Inc. and Decisions Nos. 76236 and 76434 in re M. P. A. Courier Corporation, et al.)

As of July 31, 1970 Loomis indicated a net worth of \$452,845 and its net profit from operations for the nine-month period ending July 31, 1970 was \$179,076.

American Courier Corporation (American) opposes a grant of the applications on the grounds that the traffic presently available is not sufficient to support additional express corporation operations and that no need has been shown for the expansion of the freight forwarder certificate to include additional commodities. American also opposes Loomis' proposal to establish only airport-to-airport rates. It believes that grossly unfair competition would result if Loomis is allowed to publish airport-to-airport rates since American is required to file door-to-door rates.

A. 51694, et al. KB

Trucking Association (CTA) or the Commission' staff; however, CTA opposes a grant of the exemption from minimum rates. It believes that the Commission should upon its own initiative prescribe minimum rates, rules and regulations for the involved transportation. This proposal has previously been advanced by CTA. CTA recognizes that there is no evidence in these proceedings upon which meaningful rates, rules and regulations can be prescribed. CTA proposes that the sought exemptions be granted on a temporary basis and that the Commission staff be directed to study the involved transportation and propose minimum rates, rules and regulations therefor. If CTA believes there is a certain level below which rates should not go as their brief indicates, it should come forward with such information in a proper petition for the establishment of minimum rate regulation.

Based on the evidence adduced the Commission finds that:

- 1. Loomis presently holds a certificate of public convenience and necessity as a freight forwarder, a radial highway common carrier permit and a highway contract carrier permit.
- 2. Loomis utilizes the lines of common carriers by air in the movement of property by either tendering the property to the airline as air freight or by having the property transported as baggage accompanying an employee who has purchased a passenger ticket.
- 3. With the exception of film and radioactive pharmaceuticals the commodities which Loomis desires to add to its freight forwarder certificate and seeks authority as an express corporation are commodities which could be classified generally as business documents.

A. 51694, et al. KB

5. The exemption granted by paragraph 4 supersedes the exemption granted to Loomis by Decision No. 65794 which exemption is revoked as to Loomis Courier Service, Inc. concurrently with the effective date of this order.

The effective date of this order shall be twenty days after the date hereof.

		Dated	at	Ten 1	Transferd ,	California,	this	20 st
day	of			APRIL	1971.			•

Chairman

William Armond

Yes State

Commissioners

Commissioner J. P. Vukasin, Jr., being necessarily absent, did not participate in the disposition of this proceeding.

Loomis Courier Service, Inc., by the certificate of public convenience and necessity granted in the decision noted in the margin, is authorized to operate as an express corporation, as defined in Section 219 of the Public Utilities Code, via the lines of air and land common carriers between all points and places within the State of California, subject to the following limitations:

- 1. The authority is limited to the following commodities: Checks, drafts, money orders, securities, transit items, sales audit items, business records, audit media, tabulation cards, data processing materials, legal documents, printed or reproduced documents or data and film.
- 2. Loomis Courier Service, Inc. shall establish door-to-door rates for service between all points of collection and distribution.
- 3. Transportation by land common carriers is authorized only in conjunction with prior or subsequent transportation by an air common carrier except that in case of an emergency such as an airport being closed because of weather conditions which would curtail the operations of air common carriers, land common carriers may be used to perform transportation between airports.

(End of Appendix A)

Issued by California Public Utilities Commission. Decision No. 78585, Application No. 51694. APPENDIX B

LOOMIS COURIER SERVICE, INC.

Original Page 1

Loomis Courier Service, Inc., by the certificate of public convenience and necessity granted in the decision noted in the margin, is authorized to operate as a freight forwarder, as defined in Section 220 of the Public Utilities Code, between all points and places within the State of California subject to the following limitations:

- 1. The authority is limited to the following commodities:
 Checks, drafts, money orders, securities, transit items, sales audit
 items, business records, audit media, tabulation cards, data
 processing materials, legal documents, printed or reproduced documents or data and film.
- 2. Loomis Courier Service, Inc. shall establish door-to-door rates between all points of collection and distribution.

(End of Appendix B)