

Decision No. 78862

**ORIGINAL**

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Application  
of MODESTO INTERURBAN RAILWAY, a  
corporation, to construct standard  
gauge railroad tracks at grade across  
Oregon Drive, in the County of  
Stanislaus, State of California. }

Application No. 52525  
(Filed April 1, 1971)

O R D E R

Modesto Interurban Railway is hereby authorized to construct an additional spur track at grade across Oregon Drive, near Modesto, Stanislaus County, at the location described in the application, to be identified as a portion of Crossing No. 28-1.05-C.

Construction of said crossing shall be equal or superior to Standard No. 2 of General Order No. 72, without superelevation and of a width to conform to the portion of the street now graded, with tops of rails flush with the roadway and with grades of approach not exceeding one percent. Protection shall be by existing Standard No. 1 crossing sign (General Order No. 75-B). Applicant shall bear entire construction and maintenance expense.

Clearances shall conform to General Order No. 26-D. Within the area of the grade crossing construction walkways for a distance of six feet from the rail on both sides of the track shall provide a reasonable regular surface with gradual slope not to exceed one inch vertical to eight inches horizontal in all directions of approach in accordance with the provisions of General Order No. 113.

Within thirty days after completion pursuant to this order, applicant shall so advise the Commission in writing. This authorization shall expire if not exercised within one year unless time be extended or if above conditions are not complied with. Authorization may be revoked or modified if public convenience, necessity or safety so require.

The effective date of this order shall be twenty days after the date hereof.

Dated at San Francisco, California, this 29th day of JUNE, 1971.

[Signature]  
Chairman

[Signature]

[Signature]

[Signature]

Commissioners

Commissioner D. W. Holmes, being necessarily absent, did not participate in the disposition of this proceeding.