Decision No. 80314

ORIGINAL

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Investigation into the rates, rules, regulations, charges, allowances and practices of all common carriers, highway carriers and city carriers relating to the transportation of any and all commodities between and within all points and places in the State of California (including, but not limited to, transportation for which) rates are provided in Minimum Rate Tariff No. 2).

Case No. 5432
Order Granting Rehearing
Decision No. 79694
Petition for Modification
No. 668

And Related Matter.

Case No. 5432
Petition for Modification
No. 697
(Filed March 23, 1972)

Richard W. Smith and A. D. Poe, Attorneys at Law,

H. F. Kollmyer, interested party (OGR Decision No. 79694) and petitioner (Petition No. 697).

J. McSweeney, for Delta Lines, respondent.

Jess J. Butcher, for California Manufacturers

Association, and Ronald M. Zaller, for Continental Can Co., Inc., protestants.

Don B. Shields, for Highway Carriers Association;

Robert A. Kormel, for Pacific Gas and Electric Company; Harold Sumerfield, for Bethlehem Steel Corporation; G. B. Fink, for The Dow Chemical Company; Kenneth C. O'Brien, for Traffic Managers Conference of California; and Wayne R. Tinker, for Diamond Shamrock Corporation; interested parties.

Gary E. Haas, for the Commission staff.

OPINION

Order Granting Rehearing (Decision No. 79694, dated February 8, 1972) of Decision No. 79474, dated December 14, 1971 (Petition for Modification No. 668) was issued pursuant to petition

of the California Trucking Association (CTA) filed on December 23, 1971. It was determined that CTA's Petition No. 697 should be heard on a common record with the aforesaid Order Granting Rehearing.

Public hearing was held before Examiner Gagnon at San Francisco on April 12, 1972, and the matters were submitted for decision on said date.

Order Granting Rehearing (Decision No. 79694)

By Decision No. 79474 the volume incentive rate provisions set forth in Item 292 of Minimum Rate Tariff 2 (MRT 2), previously found to be just and reasonable, were continued in effect without being subject to an extended expiration date. In so doing, said decision stated, in part, as follows:

"The current volume incentive rate provisions contained in Item 292 of MRT 2 were initially established some eight years ago on a temporary experimental basis. Since that time...such tariff provision has been extended on a year-to-year basis. Said extension... was authorized in order to afford both shippers and carriers every opportunity to develop empirical knowledge concerning the volume incentive rate provisions. . . . Thereafter, it was expected that the parties would come forward with conclusive evidence concerning final disposition of the existing temporary volume incentive rate provisions. To date, no such probative evidence has been forthcoming and none is assured in the immediate future. The successive extension of temporary tariff provisions over a prolonged period of time...serves no useful purpose, is misleading...and frequently reflects an expensive misuse of the Commission's procedural time and energy.'

Petitioner's evidence on rehearing of Decision No. 79474 contains nothing that would justify or otherwise move the Commission to modify its order in Decision No. 79474. In the circumstances, the Commission's order in Decision No. 79474 should be affirmed and the Order Granting Rehearing (Decision No. 79694) should be vacated.

If is to be noted that, in lieu of presenting further evidence relative to the volume incentive provisions in Item 292 of MRT 2, the California Trucking Association chose to present another special volume incentive rate proposal for Commission consideration (Petition No. 697). Said new tariff item is intended to apply in addition to, and not in lieu of, the like provisions in Item 292 of MRT 2.

Petition No. 697

By this petition the CTA seeks the establishment of lower minimum freight charges for shippers who endeavor to minimize carrier costs and promote efficiencies in trucking operations. The CTA proposes to expand the volume incentive rate provisions currently set forth in Item 292 of MRT 2 by providing a new tariff item for "Special Volume Incentive Service". The CTA explains that its new volume incentive rate proposal involves no increases in rates but, on the contrary, affords reduced rates to shippers who cooperate in tendering shipments in a manner which promotes carrier efficiencies. However, because of the experimental nature and the involvement of new concepts in general freight movement, petitioner requests that the suggested special volume incentive rate provisions be made subject to an expiration date of June 30, 1973.

A summary of the general volume incentive provisions presently set forth in Item 292 of MRT 2 and CTA's proposed special volume incentive tariff rule is hereinafter set forth:

- 1. MRT 2 Item 292 Volume Incentive Service
 - (b)2. Multiply the actual weight of the shipment (but not less than 45,000 pounds per unit of carriers's equipment used) by the applicable rate provided for the next lower rating as provided in paragraph (b)4.
 - (b)3. In no event shall the charge so determined be less than the charge for 50,000 pounds at the class 35.4 rate.

(b)4.	When applicable rating is class	The next lower rating to be applied shall be class
	45 40	40 37 - 1/2
	37-1/2	35
	35 35.1	35.1 35.2
	35.2 35.3	35.3 35.4

2. Proposed Item - Special Volume Incentive Service

4(b) Multiply the actual weight of the shipment, but not less than 96,000 pounds (See Note), by the rate determined in accordance with the table below:

When applicable rating is class	Use the following class rating
45	37-1/2
40	35
37-1/2	35.1
35	35.2
35.1	35.3
35.2	35.4

Note: If more than 2 units of equipment required, minimum weight shall be 45,000 pounds per unit of equipment.

It will be noted from the above summary that under CTA's proposal the applicable rate would be that named in the tariff for a class rating which is two ratings lower than the rating otherwise applicable. Under the current volume incentive provisions in Item 292 of MRT 2 the rate provided for a class rating which is only one rating lower than the rating otherwise applicable applies. The proposed special volume incentive rate provisions are subject to most of the tariff restrictions governing the application of Item 292 of MRT 2. Several major additional and/or comparatively different restrictions, however, are specified in conjunction with CTA's volume incentive rate proposal. A comparative summary of several of the aforementioned major restrictions is set forth below:

1. Item 292 volume incentive service is subject to a minimum weight of 45,000 pounds per unit of equipment (minimum charges to be not less than the charge for 50,000 pounds at class 35.4). The proposed special volume incentive service is subject to a minimum weight of 96,000 pounds for not more than 2 units of equipment (45,000 pounds per unit of equipment whenever more than 2 units of equipment are required).

- 2. The CTA's rate proposal is restricted to shipments released to one-half of actual value or 50 cents per pound per article, whichever is less. Item 292 of MRT 2 does not require shipper's prior release value of shipment.
- 3. Under CTA's proposal shipment must be loaded and unloaded by consignor and consignee, respectively, with power equipment at no expense to carrier. No such restrictions are presently published in connection with Item 292 of MRT 2.
- 4. While CTA's incentive rate proposal is applicable to mixed shipment and Item 292 volume incentive service provisions exclude such shipments, the former tariff proposal is limited to shipments containing not more than five commodities differently described in the Governing Classification.
- 5. CTA's special volume incentive service does not apply to shipments transported from, to or between a job site located off paved road, whereas such restriction in Item 292 is limited to shipments moving under the exceptions ratings named in Item 365 of MRT 2.

While all interested shipper representatives generally supported the CTA's efforts to minimize costs, several parties raised objections to certain restrictive provisions of the rate proposal. Although such objections were generally self-serving, the following two objections merit further comment:

1. The petitioner's rate proposal is restricted to prepaid shipments released to one-half of actual value or 50 cents per pound per article, whichever is less. Several parties objected to this proposed limitation of carrier liability, especially that portion of the released value clause relating to one-half the actual value of the shipment. It is clear, however, that many shipments which move in sufficient volume to take advantage of the reduced rates contemplated in CTA's proposal would have an actual value substantially less than 50 cents per pound. Consequently, these shipments would be fully covered if carrier's proposed limited liability was

restricted to "50 cents per pound per article". Under such circumstances, no prospective cost savings would redound to the carrier for subsequent sharing with shippers. Petitioner's contention that the released value aspect of its rate proposal may result in economies to carrier by way of reduced cargo insurance premiums is well taken, at least on an experimental basis.

- 2. Paragraph 3(d) of CTA's proposed tariff item provides that the item does not apply to: "Shipments which are transported from, to or between oil, water or gas well job sites; a site for drilling any exploratory oil, water or gas well; a job site located off paved road; or a wharf." Petitioner's witness explained that this restriction is predicated upon the provisions in Note 2, Item 365 of MRT 2. This tariff provision has since been further amended and clarified by Decision No. 80066 of May 16, 1972. If said tariff clarification were to be incorporated into CTA's rate proposal, the provisions of paragraph 3(d) of its proposed special volume service tariff item would read as follows:
 - 3(d) Shipments which are transported from, to or between oil, water or gas well job sites; sites for drilling exploratory oil, water or gas wells; job sites, other than oil, water or gas well job sites located off paved roads; or wharves. A job site means a point at which the shipment was, or is to be, used in the construction of a facility. (Underscoring indicates addition.)

While the aforementioned clarification does not eliminate the objections of certain parties to the proposed exclusion of shipments originating or destined to job sites located off paved roads, it does refine the meaning or intent of the reference to points located off paved roads. Application of CTA's rate proposal for a suggested one-year period might well develop information and operating experience which will justify making the reduced special volume incentive rates suggested by CTA applicable to movements involving job sites located off paved roads. In the interim, the petitioner's proposed reduction in rates through efforts of attaining operating

economies and sharing the resulting cost savings with shippers has merit and should be adopted on a temporary basis. In the meantime all parties can evaluate their actual experience under the Special Volume Incentive Service tariff item and determine whether said item should be expanded, modified, continued or terminated.

The Commission finds that:

- 1. Petitioner's proposed further amendment of Minimum Rate Tariff 2, so as to provide for the application of lower minimum rates than otherwise applicable when Special Volume Incentive Service tariff provisions are complied with by shipper, constitutes an effort to promote carrier operating efficiencies and economies resulting in cost savings to be shared with shippers.
- 2. Since highway carriers have not experienced any actual results of operations under the proposed Special Volume Incentive Service, the increased efficiencies and economies of operations anticipated thereunder are not fully known at this time.
- 3. Petitioner's efforts to promote lower transportation costs through increases in operating efficiencies and economies are to be encouraged, have considerable merit and should be adopted.
- 4. Since not all of the "cost savings" resulting under the rate proposal are fully known or capable of evaluation at this time, the publication of a Special Volume Incentive Service tariff provision in Minimum Rate Tariff 2 on an experimental and temporary basis has been shown to be proper and justified.
- 5. The publication of petitioner's proposed Special Volume Incentive Service tariff item, clarified as set forth in the dictum herein, will result in just, reasonable and non-discriminatory minimum rates.

- 1. Minimum Rate Tariff 2 (Appendix D to Decision No. 31606, as amended) is further amended by incorporating therein to become effective September 2, 1972, the revised pages attached hereto and listed in Appendix A, also attached hereto, which pages and appendix by this reference are made a part hereof.
- 2. Tariff publications authorized to be made by common carriers as a result of the order herein shall be filed not earlier than the effective date of this order and may be made effective not earlier than the fifth day after the effective date of this order, and may be made effective on not less than five days' notice to the Commission and to the public if filed not later than sixty days after the effective date of the minimum rate tariff pages incorporated in this order.
- 3. Common carriers, in establishing and maintaining the rates authorized hereinabove, are hereby authorized to depart from the provisions of Section 460 of the Public Utilities Code to the extent necessary to adjust long- and short-haul departures now maintained under outstanding authorizations; such outstanding authorizations are hereby modified only to the extent necessary to comply with this

order; and schedules containing the rates published under this authority shall make reference to the prior orders authorizing long-and short-haul departures and to this order.

- 4. In all other respects Decision No. 31606, as amended, shall remain in full force and effect.
- 5. The Commission's findings and order in Decision No. 79474, dated December 14, 1971, in Case No. 5432 (Petition for Modification No. 668), is hereby affirmed.
- 6. The Commission's Order Granting Rehearing, Decision No. 79694, dated February 8, 1972, in Case No. 5432 (Petition for Modification No. 668) is hereby vacated.
- 7. To the extent the relief sought in this proceeding is not granted said relief is denied.

The effective date of this order shall be twenty-four days after the date hereof.

Dated at Sen Francisco, California, this 25 h

William, Spunser-)

Commissioners

Commissioner Vornon L. Sturgeon, being necessarily absent, did not participate in the disposition of this proceeding.

Commissioner J. P. Vukasin. Jr., being necessarily absent. did not participate in the disposition of this proceeding.

APPENDIX A

LIST OF ORIGINAL AND REVISED PAGES TO MINIMUM RATE TARIFF 2

FORTY-SECOND REVISED PAGE 2

ORIGINAL PAGE 29-A-1

ORIGINAL PAGE 29-A-2

THIRD REVISED PAGE 42-C

THIRTY-FIRST REVISED PAGE 43

THIRTY-FIRST REVISED PAGE 43-A

THIRTY-NINTH REVISED PAGE 44

TWENTIETH REVISED PAGE 44-A

TWENTY-SEVENTH REVISED PAGE 44-B

(END OF APPENDIX A LIST)

FORTY-FIRST REVISED PAGE....2

CANCELS
FORTY-FIRST REVISED PAGE....2

TABLE OF CONTENTS	ITEM Except as Shown (Inclusive)
ABBREVIATIONS, EXPLANATION OF	Page 1-A
ARRANGEMENT OF TARIFF	Page 10
CORRECTION NUMBER CHECKING SHEET	Page 1
FORMS OF DOCUMENTS (SECTION 5)	Puges 68-B68-E
RATES: Class Rates (Section 2)	500-520
Commanders Darbas (Cantrion 1) +	
Canned Goods	
Feed, Animal	635,636 620,630
Feed, Animal	620,630
Grain, Rice, Grain Products, Animal or Poultry Feed, Seeds, and Related	020,030
Articles	652-6543
Hourly Rates, Oil, Water, Gas Well Outlits	720-720-2
Lumber and Forest Products	670-710
Amber and forest froducts	723-726
Petroleum and Petroleum Products	728
Countly Defines	J 729
Soap and Related Articles	730-732
	740-755
Cha like described	758
Article 11. Trans. The condition Country and the contract of t	293,293.1
Taracantona Cartini Carefor	185187-3
Volume Incentive Service	292
ROUTING (SECTION 4)	1-006,006
RULES AND REGULATIONS (SECTION 1):	
Accessorial Charges Not To Be Offset by Transportation Charges	297
) accepted 1 Complete	1 140
Accessorial Complete Not Tooliyled in Common Carrier Patracessorsessorsessors	₹ 240 <u>-</u> 241
Adventation of Failment	1 <u>14/</u>
Alternative Application of Combinations with Common Carrier Rates	+ 210
Alternative Application of Common Carrier Rates	200
Alternative Application of Split Delivery under Rates Constructed by Use of	220
Combinations with Common Carrier Rates	230
Alternative Application of Split Pickup under Rates Constructed by Use of Combinations with Common Carrier Rates	220
Annidation of Class Pates That Are Percentages, Multiples or Proportions	ì
of Specific Class Patingsonsonsonsonsonsonsonsonsonsonsonsonsons	298
lanteness of Complete and Commentation of Class and Commentative Pathagement accommensurations	1 1.90°
languages of Published Dations Named in This Tariffeensessessessessessesses	4 285
	4 1.211
Application of Rates-Deductions	110
Application of Tariff Carriers	40-42
Application of TariffCommodities	1 10-14
(Continued)	

* Addition, Decision No.

80314

EFFECTIVE

ISSUED BY THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA. SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

MINIMUM RATE TARIFF ORIGINAL PACE...29-A-1 SECTION 1--RULES OF GENERAL APPLICATION (Continued) ITEM EXCEPTIONS TO COVERNING CLASSIFICATION AND SECTION 2 OF THE EXCEPTION RATINGS TARTET SPECIAL VOLUME INCENTIVE SERVICE APPLICATION OF RATES (Applies only when specific reference is made hereto) (Items 293 and 293.1) 1. The provisions of this item apply only when the bill of lading is annotated by the shipper with the words "Special Volume Incentive Service Requested." By such request the shipper agrees to the requirements of this item as a prerequisite to application of the provisions herein. 2. The provisions of this item apply only in connection with: (a) Prepaid shipments released to one-half of actual value or 50 cents per pound per article, whichever is less. (b) Shipments consisting of not more than five commodities differently described (by item number) in the Governing Classification and not otherwise excluded under provisions of Paragraph 3. (c) Shipments loaded by consignor and unloaded by consignee with power equipment, furnished and used without expense to the carrier. In such circumstances, physical assistance of the carrier employee is restricted to work within or on carrier equipment and does not include stacking, unstacking, removal or placement of merchandise on pallets. Œ) 293 3. The provisions of this item do not apply in connection with: (a) Shipments, any portion of which requires Temperature Control Service. (b) Shipments transported under provisions of Items 160-163 (Split Pickup) and 170-173 (Split Delivery). Shipments subject to Collect on Delivery (C.O.D.) or Order Notify (d) Shipments which are transported from, to or between oil, water or gas well job sites; sites for drilling exploratory oil, water or gas wells; job sites located off paved roads; or wharves. (e) Shipments moving on government bills of lading. Shipments which are not loaded in their entirety during one calendar day. Shipments subject to provisions of Item 85 (Shipments Transported in Multiple Lots). (h) Shipments containing commodities for which exception ratings have been named in this tariff or the Exception Ratings Tariff (except Sections 2-B, 2-C and 2-D thereof). Permit shipments.

(E) This item expires with July 31, 1973.

* Addition, Decision No.

80314

EFFECTIVE

ISSUED BY THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA.

(Continued in Item 293.1)



		SECTION 1RULES OF GENERAL A	APPLICATION (Continued)	ITEM
		EXCEPTIONS TO COVERNING (SECTION 2 OF THE EXCEPT		
		SPECIAL VOLUME INCE APPLICATION OF (Applies only when specific re (Items 293 and	eference is made hereto)	
u. applied	d as fo	charge for service under the provisi llows: Determine the applicable truckload: Classification or Sections 2-B, 2-C Ratings Tariff.		
	(9)	Multiply the actual weight of the a pounds (see Note), by the rate dete table below:		(E) 293.1
		When the applicable rating determined in accordance with paragraph 4 (a) is:	The rate to apply is that which corresponds to the rating shown below:	A.C.
		45 40 375	37½ 35 35-1	

NOTE.—If more than 2 units of equipment are required to transport the shipment, the minimum weight shall be determined by multiplying the number of units of equipment utilized (whether or not fully loaded) by 45,000 pounds.

(E) This item expires with July 31, 1973.

* Addition, Decision No.

89314

EFFECTIVE

ISSUED BY THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA.
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA.

AND FIRST REVISED PAGE....42-C

MINIMUM RATE TARIFF 2

In Cents Per 100 Pounds (See Exception) Minimum Weight as provided in the Governing Classification.												
						yht as pro Tariff o			LES	MCC		
	35.4	35.3	35.2	35-1	35	374	40	45	But Not Over	Over		
7	19	21	23	24	26	26½	27	304	3	•		
1.	194	22	24	25	27	28	29	32	5	3		
1.	201	23	25	26 _. "	29	291	30	33	10	5		
1	21	24	26	275	30	305	315	344	15	10		
Ì	215	25	27	29	37	31/2	3212	361	10 15 20	5 10 15		
ł	223	26	28	. 30	32	33	34	3712	25	20		
l l	23	264	29	31	34	344	35	38	30	25		
ĺ	24	27	291	32	35	351	36	381	35	30		
1	244	. 28	305	33	36	37	374	40	40	35		
	25	29	31	34	37	38	39	414	45	40		
	26	30	32	35	381/2	3912	401	425	50	45		
1	27	31	33	37	401	42.	424	المنقة	60	50		
ł	29	32	35	39	43	44	45	AAL	70	60		
- }	30	34	37	41	45	46	47	461 481	80	70		
1	317	35	385	43	47	48	49	505	90	80		
	33	37	40	45	485	494	ral.					
ì	341	384	42	46)	50		50½ 52½	52	100	90		
	36 1	40	435	48	52	51	347	54	330	100		
ø50	37	41	444	50	54 54	53 55 57	54 56	56	120	110		
100	38	42	46			22	56	58	130	120		
	30	7	40	51/2	56	57	58	60	140	130		
	39	44	474	53	58	59	60	62	150	140		
1.	40	45	49	55	60	61	62	64	160	150		
İ	414	47	501-	57	62	63	64	66	170	160		
ľ	423	48	52	585	64	65	66	68	180	170		
1	44	49	531/2	60	66	67	68	70	190	180		

74 77

84. 87 90

96

65 68

57 60

544

66

71

55 57

SECTION 2--CLASS RATES (Continued)

EXCEPTION.—Except as provided in Items 160 and 170, rates shown herein will not apply to transportation for which rates are provided in Item 520.

91 94

#NOTE.--Subject to the provisions of Items 292, 293 and 293.1 for volume incentive service and special volume incentive service.

75 79

86

92

95

350 375

EFFECTIVE

issued by the public utilities commission of the state of california, SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA.

Correction

⁽¹⁾ Second Revised Page 42-C was suspended by Supplement 89.

[&]amp; Change, Decision No.

(1) THIRTIETH REVISED PAGE......

MINIMUM RATE TARIFF 2

III	·			eption)	(See Exc	100 Pounds	White Per	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
	n, e Note)	en 290 (Se	rning Class ject to It	in the Gove	provided or this	Weight as ngs Tariff	Minimum tion Rati	Excep	TILES	мх
	35.4	35.3	35.2	35.1	35	37ት	40	45	But Not Over)ver
7	65	74	83	93	100	101	102	106	42 S	400
Į.	67	76	86	96 1	103	104	105	110	450	425
1	69	79	88	99	106	107	108	113	475	450
1	71	81	90	102	109	270	1111	11:5	500	475
	74	83	93	105	üž	III	114	119	525	500
	76	85	95	107	115	126	117	122	\$50	525
1	78	88	98	109	118	119	120	1,25	575	550
1	80	90	100	112	اتقا	122	123	128	600	575
1	82	92.	103	334	123	124	126	131	625	600
1	84	94	105	1337	126	127	129	134	650	625
	86	97	108	פננ	129	130	132	138	675	650
	88	99	110	122	132	133	135	141	700	675
1	90	102	113	124	135	136	138	145	725	700
1	92	104	116	127	138	139	141	148	750	725
	94	106	iis.	130	140	142	144	151	775	750
	96	109	120	132	143.	144	147	155	800	775
25	99	112	123	135	147	148	151	159	850	800
1	102	114	126	138	150	152	155	163	900	850
} .	104	117	129	141	154	156	159	167	950	900
1	106	119	132	244	157	159	162	171	7000	950
<u> </u>	108	121	134	147	160	1,62	165	174	1050	1000
1	110	123	136	150	163	165	168	1.77	7700	L050
1	<u> </u>	125	138	153	165	168	171	180	1150	1100
	226	127	140	156	168	172	174	183	1200	150
									Rnces	or distar
		, l		{	[l		0 miles	ver 1200
1	· .	· 1] -	1 .	ł	i			add for ea
1 .				, ,	[]		fraction	dles or i
1				l' l	1	ł]		in excess	hereof in
1 .	2	2	. 3	1 3 1	3	3	1 3	ų.		£ 1200 m
		I — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — —		1 - 1	1 -	} ~			- · · · •	

EXCEPTION: -- Except as provided in Items 160 and 170, rates shown herein will not apply to transportation for which rates are provided in Item 520.

 \pm NOTE.—Subject to the provisions of Items 292, 293 and 293.1 for volume incentive service and special volume incentive service.

s Change, Decision No.

80314

EFFECTIVE

ISSUED BY THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA.

⁽¹⁾ Thirtieth Revised Page 43 was suspended by Supplement 89.

(1) THIRTIETH REVISED PAGE.....43-A

MINIMUM RATE TARIFF 2

TWENTY-NINTH REVISED PAGE....43-A

	S		2CLAS Cents I				(be		•:	•			
BETWEE	N			AND									
SAN JOSE METRI ZONE GRO		_						e grous	>		. ,		
· · ·	Class Rate					shown below are intermediate in application subject to Note 1,							
	100	92 ¹ 3	85-	77남	70	65	60	- 55	50	50	.1		
Any Quantity	370	352	334	314	295	285	275	259	253	23:	3		
Minimum Weight:								1.2					
5,000 Pounds	142	135	130	121	114	110	106	101	97	9	0		
10,000 Pounds except as provided in Note 2	100	95	90	,85	81	77	74	69	66	6	3		
20,000 Pounds except as provided in Note 3	65	61	58	55	52	50	48	46	44	4	2		
		C.T.								applica tem 290.			
·		45	40	37	4	35	35.1	3	5.2	35_3	35.4		
As provided in Governing Classification, Exception Ratings Tariff or this tariff (see Note 4)		42½	404	39		384	35		2	30	26		

NOTE 1.--If charges accruing under the Class Rates in this item, applied on shipments from, to or between points intermediate between origin and destination points via Routes 8 and 10 shown in Item 900-1 are lower than charges accruing under the Distance Class Rates in Items 500, 501, 502, 503, 504, 505, 506, 506.5, 507 and 508 on the same shipment via the same route, such lower charges will apply.

NOTE 2.--When applied in connection with truckload ratings, minimum weight will be as provided in the Governing Classification, Exception Ratings Tariff or in this tariff, subject to Item 290.

NOTE 3.—When applied in connection with truckload ratings, minimum weight will be as provided in the Governing Classification, Exception Ratings Taxiff or in this taxiff (subject to Item 290) but in no event less than 20,000 pounds.

gNOTE 4.--Subject to the provisions of Items 292, 293 and 293.1 for volume incentive service and special volume incentive service.

(1) Thirtieth Revised Page 43-A was suspended by Supplement 89.

Change, Decision No.

80314

EFFECTIVE

ISSUED BY THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA.
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA.

THIRTY-SEVENTH REVISED PAGE __ 44

MINIMUM RATE TARIFF 2

	SECTION In		SS RAT			d)					3
BETWEEN							AND				
SAN JOSE METROPOLITA		east b	ay met	ropoli	TAN ZO	NE GRO	OP .]			
	Clas	Class Rates shown below are intermediate in application subject to Note 1.								ion	
	100	925	85	773	70	65	60	55	50	50.1	7
Any Quantity	367	350	331	312	293	283	273	257	250	231	
Minimum Weight:		,									1
5,000 Pounds	140	132	127	118	111	108	104	99	95	88	
10,000 Pounds except as provided in Note 2-	28	94	89	84	80	76	73	68	65	67	
20,000 Pounds except as provided in Note 3	63	59	56	54	51	49	461/2	45	43	41	5
	යැන	s Rate	es show	m belo Note 1	w are	inter	mediate	in a	pplicat	ion	_
	45	40	375	35	35.3	1 3	5.2	35_3	35,	.4	
As provided in Governing Classification, Exception Ratings Tariff or this tariff (See Note 4)	413	39	38	37	34		31	29	2:	3	-

NOTE 1.--If charges accruing under the Class Rates in this item, applied on shipments from, to or between points intermediate between origin and destination points via Routes 8 and 10 shown in Item 900-1 are lower than charges accruing under the Distance Class Rates in Items 500, 501, 502, 503, 504, 505, 506, 506.5, 507 and 508 on the same shipment via the same route, such lower charges will apply.

NOTE 2.—When applied in connection with truckload ratings, minimum weight will be as provided in the Governing Classification, Exception Ratings Tariff or in this tariff, subject to Item 290.

NOTE 3.—When applied in connection with truckload ratings, minimum weight will be as provided in the Governing Classification, Exception Ratings Tariff or in this tariff (subject to Item 290) but in no event less than 20,000 pounds.

#NOTE 4.--Subject to the provisions of Items 292, 293 and 293.1 for volume incentive service and special volume incentive service.

(1) Thirty-eighth Revised Page 44 was suspended by Supplement 89.

& Change, Decision No.

80314

EFFECTIVE

ISSUED BY THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA. SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA.

(1) WINETEENIN REVISED PAGE ___44-A

AMD. EIGHTEENTH REVISED PAGE44-A

MINIMUM RATE TARIFF 2

Between				,	מזונא							
SAN FRANCISCO TERRI as described in Item SACRAMENTO, HORTH SJ	270-3	_	ı		LOS ANGELES TERRITORY as described in Item 270-3.							
	CIA	es Rat	es eh	own belo	ow are	intermo	ediate :	in app	licati	on.		
	100	925	85	775.	70	65	60·	55	50	50.1		
Any Quantity	494	469	444	420	395	380	366	347	336	312		
Minimum Weight:						_						
5,000 Pounds	243	230	218	206	194	187	180	171	164	154		
10,000 Pounds except as provided in Note 2-	195	186	175	166	155	150	145	139	133	124		
20,000 Pounds except as provided in Note 3-	164	157	148	140	131	126	121	115	111	104		
	cn	subjec	tes sh	own bel Note 1,	ow are	interm e subj	ediate :	in app Item 2	licati 90.	on		
	45		40	371/2	- 35	35.1	35.	2	35.3	35.4		
As provided in Governing Classification, Exception Ratings Tariff or this tariff (See Note 4)	106		102	101	100	93	83		74	65		

504, 505, 506, 506.5, 507 and 508 on the same shipment via the same route, such lower charges will apply.

NOTE 2.—When applied in connection with truckload ratings, minimum weight will be as provided in the Governing Classification, Exception Ratings Tariff or this tariff, subject to Item 290.

NOTE 3.—When applied in connection with truckload ratings, minimum weight will be as provided in the Governing Classification, Exception Ratings Tariff or in this tariff (subject to Item 290) but in no event less than 20,000 pounds.

MNOTE 4.--Subject to the provisions of Items 292, 293 and 293.1 for volume incentive service and special volume incentive service.

(1) Nineteenth Revised Page 44-A was suspended by Supplement 89.

ø Change, Decision No.

EFFECTIVE

ISSUED BY THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA.

SECTION 2--CLASS RATES (Continued) In Cents Per 100 Pounds

ITEM

Rates in this item apply only to shipments having point of origin in the San Francisco Metropolitan Zone Group and point of destination in the East Bay Metropolitan Zone Group and to shipments having point of origin in the East Bay Metropolitan Zone Group and point of destination in the San Francisco-Metropolitan Zone Group. (See Note 3)

Minimum Weight 20,000 pounds except as provided in Note 1.

					<u> </u>		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
	700	925	85	775	70	65	60	55	50	50.1
ı	ø	58	\$\$	52	495	47%	45	tht .	42	394

Minimum weight as provided in Governing Classification.

Exception Ratings Tariff or this tariff,
subject to Item 290 (See Note 2)

ø520°

45	40	375	35	35.1	35.2	35.3	35.4
40	375	37	36	33	301	28	243

NOTE l.--When applied in connection with truckload ratings, minimum weight will be as provided in the Governing Classification. Exception Ratings Tariff or in this tariff (subject to Item 290) but in no event less than 20,000 pounds.

NOTE 2.--Subject to the provisions of Items 292, 293 and 293.1 for volume incentive service and special volume incentive service.

NOTE 3.--Except as provided in Items 160 and 170, rates are not applicable to split pickup or split delivery shipments.

(1) Twenty-Sixth Revised Page 44-B was suspended by Supplement 89. Change, Decision No.

80314

EFFECTIVE

ISSUED BY THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA.