

DY .

Decision No. 81180

ORIGINAL

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Application of the City of Placerville,
California, requesting an order author-
izing the reconstruction and widening
of the existing grade separation at
Carson Road and the Camino, Placerville,
and Lake Tahoe Railroad within the City
of Placerville, PUC Crossing
No. 18-7.7A.

Application No. 53827
(Filed February 6, 1973)

O R D E R

The City of Placerville is hereby authorized to reconstruct a crossing at separated grades of Carson Road over the tracks of the Camino, Placerville, and Lake Tahoe Railroad in Placerville, County of El Dorado, at the location and substantially as shown by plans attached to the application, identified as Crossing No. 18-7.7-A.

The priority list of grade separation projects for the year 1973, as set forth in Decision No. 80874, shows this project as Priority No. 17.

Construction and maintenance expense shall be borne in accordance with an agreement entered into between the parties on January 23, 1973. A copy of plans of said crossing approved by the Camino, Placerville and Lake Tahoe Railroad shall be filed with the Commission prior to commencing construction.

Clearances shall be in accordance with General Order No. 26-D and walkway areas adjacent to the railroad tracks shall be maintained free of obstructions and shall promptly be restored to their original condition in the event of damage during construction.

Within thirty days after completion pursuant to this order applicant shall so advise the Commission in writing. This authorization shall expire if not exercised within three years

A.53827 DY

unless the time be extended or if conditions are not complied with. Authorization may be revoked or modified if public convenience, necessity, or safety so require. The effective date of this order shall be twenty days after the date hereof.

Dated at San Francisco, California, this 20th
day of MARCH, 1973.

Vernon L. Sturgeon
President
William J. ...
...
...
Commissioners

Commissioner Thomas Moran, being necessarily absent, did not participate in the disposition of this proceeding.